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1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This is the final report of a joint project between the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and SEMATECH. It elaborates on two areas:

1. The potential for using Java in conformance testing
2. Preparation and dissemination of specification documents in electronic and paper formats from a single source

The key benefit of Java is its ability to run on heterogeneous computer platforms. This capability has been long sought in the computing industry, and its feasibility has been demonstrated several times with platform-independent interpreted languages and semicompilers. Wide acceptance of Java will make this approach practical. The use of Java and the Object Management Group's Common Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA) for developing a conformance testing environment is only one way that the SEMATECH member companies can benefit from this distributed object paradigm. Although the performance and security of Java are still issues, the industry trend towards its adoption is currently the greatest advantage that Java has over other object-oriented languages.

With the wide acceptance of Hypertext Markup Language (HTML) and the World Wide Web (Web), NIST feels that it is more important than ever to consider the adoption of HTML as a standard publication format and even as an exchange and working format. This can be done with conventional word processing tools with HTML capability or with specialized HTML-based tools. The reorientation to Web publication and HTML will require some reorganization of the CIM Framework Specification text. The plan to partition the text so that individual focus teams can work on components of the Specification independently will also help make the text more manageable on the Web. NIST strongly supports this approach.

2 INTRODUCTION

A year ago, NIST submitted the first report Roadmap for the Computer Integrated Manufacturing Application Framework [1]. A number of recommendations from that report have already been acted upon. The Computer Integrated Manufacturing (CIM) Application Framework Specification 1.3 [2] has been made public in electronic form, it has been converted to a more accessible word processing format, and it has been divided into more manageable subdocuments for rapid evolution. In addition, SEMATECH has gone beyond the recommendations by adopting a networked-based document management system (Lotus Notes) that will support a much larger and distributed group of people participating in the further development of the CIM Framework.

During this past year, NIST concentrated on two areas: conformance testing and specification management. Both topics are extensions of last year's work with more attention to the latest technological developments. How Java might be used to support testing of individual components of the CIM Framework is discussed in this report. Java was not mentioned in the last report because its quick acceptance and wide availability were not anticipated.

NIST made a case for single-source specification management in last year's report. This means that the CIM Framework Specification is maintained in a single-source format from which all the necessary distribution forms can be derived as automatically as possible. When paper was the only distribution form, version control was the only issue when changes were made to the document.
Now documents must be distributed in paper, on the Web, and the formal specification portions must be suitable for object request brokers (ORBs). NIST has previously discussed the role that HTML might play in this process. However, since the last report Internet technology has grown remarkably. NIST’s recommendations are updated in response to those changes.

The Web has taken a central role in this work. It is the infrastructure that makes electronic publication of documents like the SEMATECH CIM Framework Specification practical. One of the consequences of this rapid change to Internet-based technology is that the references in this paper include universal resource locators (URLs), the keys to finding information on the Web. Two other significant technologies in this work are also based on the Internet and converging with the Web: the Java programming language and ORBs.

While certain commercial products are identified in this paper, this is for clarity only. Such identifications imply neither a recommendation or endorsement by NIST, nor do they imply that the products are among the best available.

3 CONFORMANCE TESTING USING JAVA

3.1 Overview

According to a Java overview on one of Sun Microsystems’ Web pages [3]:

Java is a simple, robust, object-oriented, platform-independent multi-threaded, dynamic general-purpose programming environment. It’s best for creating applets and applications for the Internet, intranets and any other complex, distributed network.

One of the major advantages of HTML documents is that they can contain hyperlinks to additional information about the original text. Java is intended to extend the WEB to include the capability of downloading software applications (or applets) in addition to HTML documents. One of the primary benefits of Java is that it will allow applications to be written once and run on any platform that supports a Java execution environment. Providing a test harness that is portable across a wide variety of heterogeneous platforms makes conformance testing difficult. Java solves this problem by running in an interpreted environment. Java programs can be developed either as an applet (runs in a Web browser) or an application (runs as a stand-alone application in a Java environment). Although Java programs run in an interpreted environment, there is a compile step. Sun currently provides a free Java compiler for Solaris, Windows 95, Windows NT, and Macintosh platforms. Development for other platforms is underway.

The major platform that currently has no Java capability is Windows 3.1. One reason for this is the lack of threads in a Windows 3.1 environment. However, Netscape has announced plans [4] to support Java for Windows 3.1 in a future beta release.

Along with the power to download an application from the Internet and run it on a local machine, there are inherent dangers involved in this architecture. Work is underway to ensure that the Java environment is a controlled one in which the users can decide Java’s level of access to their local computer systems. This report does not focus on the security issues involved with Java, but the conformance testing work (in addition to all other Java-related work) will rely heavily on the ability of developers to provide a safe Java environment.
3.2 Approach

This initial proof-of-concept project will test only a small portion of the CIM Framework Specification. A complete system will contain a full set of tests that could be developed with combined automatic and manual test generation techniques (see [1]).

As indicated in Figure 1, the JavaScripted test and the supplier’s implementation are both based on the CIM Framework Specification. A typical scenario will involve a developer of a CIM Framework-compliant application (e.g., a scheduler application) accessing a Web site that contains the Java test suite. The supplier will be asked to fill out a form indicating which tests it wants to run. An a la carte menu will allow developers of individual classes to pick tests for their own classes. In addition, test suites for a component of the CIM Framework or groups of components could be selected. Once the tests are selected, the next step will be for the user to fill in information about the ORB to be used during the testing via an HTML form interface or a Java abstract window toolkit (AWT) interface. This information will be required to determine the location (name or address) of the ORB that will be used to connect the supplier’s implementation under test with the test itself. Note that in some configurations multiple ORBs might be used to communicate between the test and the object under test. The address of the ORB will then be passed to the test applet or application before it is downloaded to the user. The first thing the applet will do when it is actually downloaded by a user will be to register itself with the local ORB. The ORB could either be local to the supplier’s machine or remote. For the planned proof-of-concept testing, all three of the main elements testing system (ORB, implementation, and test) will reside on the same machine. In some cases it may be that the supplier’s local ORB may not have an interface to Java. In this case, another ORB (which supports an interface to Java) will be used and the inter-ORB communication will be required to actually run the test.

![Figure 1 Conformance Testing Environment Using Java and CORBA](image)

The test could either be a low-level test for a given class; for example, it might exercise one of the finite state machines described in the CIM Framework Specification. Or, the test could actually be a test script that exercises the implementation under test by simulating some series of steps within a semiconductor manufacturing facility. In the case of a low-level object, a factory simulator may or may not be required depending on the objects being tested. In this scenario, the test itself will provide the emulation of the factory. If a given object is waiting for an event to occur before
proceeding to its next state, the test will be required to generate that event to force the object (or system) under test to continue. As the test executes, it will indicate status via the Web browser from which the test was initiated.

### 3.3 Other Technologies Considered

In developing a recommended approach for a robust and highly portable testing environment for the SEMATECH CIM Framework Specification, several other programming languages have been considered and are still being evaluated: Python, Tcl/Tk (tool command language/tool kit), Perl, Visual Basic, and C/C++.

Python [5] is an interpreted, interactive, object-oriented programming language. It is often compared to Tcl, Perl, or Java. Python shares many common characteristics with Java. For instance, Python is source-code and byte-code portable to many systems, and has a graphics module portable across X Windows, MS Windows, and the Macintosh. Python incorporates separate name spaces for imported modules and includes exception handling and garbage collection.

Some of Python’s disadvantages are that it does not directly support static typing. Static type checks can be done in C. Exceptions can then be raised in Python if the C type violates the static type checking requirements. Another disadvantage of Python is simply that it does not have as much industry support or documentation as Java. A Web browser called Grail is available that will run Python applications; however, this would require suppliers to install the Grail browser if they were not currently using it.

One of Python’s advantages over Java is that it is a higher-level language in which everything is a first class object. Also, Python has the flexibility of being a dynamically typed language, it can be operated in an interactive mode, and it provides full access to metadata. In addition, Python has built-in generic container classes for lists, tuples, and dictionaries (also known as associative arrays in Perl). Python has extension modules to support many things that will be required to conduct CIM Framework testing. This includes an Internet Inter-ORB Protocol (IIOP) interface for communicating with a CORBA ORB. There is a second interface under development that will use CORBA’s dynamic invocation interface (DII). Since Python is dynamically typed this should allow Python to access CORBA objects directly. There will be no need to compile a special module for each object interface.

Technically, the Python language is a viable alternative to using Java for the entire testing process; however, since it is not as widely used and supported, it is not recommended as the sole language on this project. For this reason, its role on the project will be limited to those tasks it can perform that Java cannot.

Tcl/Tk [6] refers to Tcl, which is an embeddable scripting language, and Tk, which is a graphical user interface toolkit based on Tcl. Both packages are freely available. The Tcl/Tk project at Sun Labs (headed by John Ousterhout) is leading the development of Tcl and Tk and building the infrastructure to use them as a universal scripting platform for the Internet. Tcl/Tk allows programmers to implement user interfaces quickly for their applications. Although Tcl/Tk runs on many platforms it is not focused on solving the same problems as Java. Instead Tcl/Tk provides an easy-to-use technology for developing user interfaces and small scale applications. John Ousterhout has described the relationship between Tcl/Tk and Java. “C++ and Java are system
programming languages, and Visual Basic and Tcl/Tk are scripting languages. In the 21st century, Tcl/Tk will be to Java what Visual Basic has been to C++.”

Perl [7] is a very popular and widely used scripting language. It is one of the most widely used languages for writing common gateway interface scripts (cgi-scripts) on the Web. These cgi-scripts are programs that run on Web servers and handle the data input to HTML forms. Perl has been described as being somewhere between UNIX shell-scripting languages and C programming. Perl is easier to program than C, but like C it can be written in a very concise and cryptic form. An advantage of Perl is that it is interpreted rather than compiled. Like Java, it eliminates pointers, which greatly simplifies the language. As with most of the other languages mentioned in this report, Perl runs on most major platforms; however, it does not provide the same type of Web client-based execution environment that Java does.

Visual Basic is primarily a Windows tool used to develop user interfaces and small applications. A recent development from Microsoft is their Visual Basic script that allows developers to link and automate a wide variety of objects in Web pages, including ActiveX controls and Java applets. One of Microsoft's Web pages describes ActiveX as follows [8]: “ActiveX is a term used to refer to a broad range of client/server technologies from Microsoft that are designed to increase the dynamic designs of a Web site.” There is also work ongoing to integrate Microsoft's ActiveX technology with Java. This will allow developers to write Java applets that interface to ActiveX controls. Currently ActiveX components require Microsoft's Internet Explorer 3.0 Beta 1.

The use of C/C++ was also considered since C programs will run on virtually any computer. The main drawback to C and C++ is that they currently cannot be downloaded and automatically run in a controlled environment as is possible with Java.

Again it is important to point out that the benefits of using the testing architecture described in this report are heavily dependent on the portability of Java. If Java fails to realize its promise of providing platform-independence for applications, then this concept of providing highly portable conformance testing will be somewhat less fruitful than predicted. However, it should be noted that Java is similar enough to C that there are tools that will translate Java to C; therefore, it should be relatively easy to convert tests developed in Java to C if desired.

In addition, there is much ongoing work with Java and its interface to CORBA objects as well as significant industry interest in Java. One likely result of this will be a large pool of knowledgeable Java programmers as well as general industry support for the language. As with some of the other languages discussed in this report, Java can be used to develop user interfaces that will run across heterogeneous platforms. Having an easily accessible, highly portable, and extensible conformance testing environment will provide significant cost savings to the SEMATECH member companies. Such an environment will also help semiconductor suppliers develop higher quality products that are conformant to the CIM Framework. Because of the many potential benefits to the SEMATECH member companies, Java and CORBA have been selected as the primary technologies for this project. Although other languages may be used in the implementation of this project, the primary goal is to evaluate the feasibility of using Java and CORBA technologies to provide a conformance testing environment for the SEMATECH CIM Framework.
3.4 Possible Future Work

Combining automatic test generation tools with the Java/CORBA conformance testing environment described in this report would be a useful follow-on project to this work. This initial work is focused on the conformance testing environment for developers of applications that conform to the CIM Framework Specification. This work could be extended to include mechanisms for certifying, through the use of digital signatures, for example, that official versions of the test suite were run against a given object under test. The test could also generate a signature for the object being tested so that in the future one could easily verify whether the application a customer is running had actually passed certification. Having an automated mechanism for certifying that an application is conformant will save the money normally required to pay for certifying officials to verify the execution and performance of a given implementation.

There is a lot of ongoing activity to enable various programming languages to run in an environment similar to Java's; for example, the Grail environment for Python, Microsoft's efforts with the ActiveX objects, and IBM's Rexx [9], NetRexx, and Object Rexx languages are other industry examples. Future work may involve the integration of several of these and other languages/environments in a conformance testing and certification environment, which draws on the strengths of each technology.

4 SINGLE-SOURCE SPECIFICATION MANAGEMENT

4.1 Overview

Following up on last year's report, one task for this year has been exploring the problems of maintaining complex documents, specifically specifications, in a single format with multiple uses and multiple distribution formats. NIST introduced the notion of single-source specification management (S3M). While this may not seem a problem, years of working with information-intensive computing projects have proven it to be a difficult and critical issue. The rise of desktop computing power has brought many new tools for document preparation, but there has not been a corresponding advance in managing the resulting complex documents. The S3M task is looking at pragmatic solutions to the problem of maintaining complex documents with multiple distribution formats while using combinations of readily available software. NIST is trying to come up with the best partial solution that can be applied now rather than an ideal solution based on research software or a theoretical solution based on untested ideas.
4.2 Document Formats

The first question is to understand the different kinds of document formats and see how each serves specific needs in the overall document management process. Three document formats have been identified:

**Working formats**

These file storage formats are native to specific word processors or editors (collectively referred to as tools). They are usually proprietary to a specific tool and version of the tool. As a result, these formats are usually named for the tool/version or the associated file extension. The idea of a working format is used by other tools such as applications. Some examples are MS Word 6.0 (DOC), WordPerfect 6.1 (WPD), Framemaker 5 (fm or doc).

**Exchange formats**

These file formats are designed for transferring documents from one tool to another. Their success depends on the prevalence and adequacy of their implementation. A candidate exchange format must be widely adopted (become standard) and well implemented (correctly transfer most of the document’s structure and information) to be useful. Two limited examples are Rich Text Format (RTF) and ASCII text (TXT).

**Distribution formats**

These are final output formats, that is, electronic formats that are suitable for printing or browsing. HTML [10] is the most important example for publishing on the Web, but ASCII text is also widely used over the network because it is simple and, until the spread of HTML, was the only format that could be read on any computing platform.

The best known examples for producing paper copies are the printer driving formats, like Adobe PostScript (PS) and Hewlett-Packard PCL. Adobe has also defined Portable Document Format (PDF), a more modern format adapted to electronic browsing but capable of being printed. Its predecessor, PostScript, was designed for printing and is adaptable to browsing only with special viewers (e.g., GhostView).
With the right tools, any format can be pressed into service in a different class. Normally a word processing tool has printing functionality; therefore, its working format could be viewed as an output format if one has the right word processor. Likewise, a working format serves as an exchange format for any group of people using the same word processor. Distribution formats, for printing, are largely limited to the output function because the transformation from working format or exchange format is not easily reversible. This irreversibility has some potential advantages in the protection of intellectual property rights, but right now this is largely a side-effect.

HTML and ASCII text are special cases. They are equally useful as working, exchange, and electronic distribution formats. ASCII text has been used these ways for many years with text editors and simple printer drivers. Much more recently, word processing tools have become available that either work directly in HTML or can import and export it. As the capability of handling HTML becomes more widespread in commercial word processors, HTML will become more useful as an exchange format. As an example, this document was prepared using an HTML editor, SoftQuad's Hotmetal, so that it would be immediately available for publication on the Web. Then the HTML version was read into Microsoft's Word/Internet Assistant to produce the hardcopy version. This demonstrates the point of the task: a single source in HTML provided both electronic and hardcopy results.

There is an important case of working formats serving as exchange formats. In highly competitive markets, like DOS and Windows, it is an advantage to be able to import competing working formats. So, these word processors typically can read (import) other working formats, especially their own earlier versions. They are less successful at reading competitors' formats. Because new versions of word processing tools can read only existing versions of competitors' formats, there is a never ending round of updating.
4.3 Electronic Distribution Formats

Electronic documents should be presented in one or more of a small number of formats that are nearly universally readable. This can, and should, be done regardless of the document processing application that was used to edit the documents. These distribution (or output) formats, in order of desirability, are as follows:

1. HTML—good control of appearance and page layout but can be affected by browser settings. It includes hypertext (links to other Web documents) and image capability and is universally readable with all browsers, if standard HTML is followed.

2. PDF—excellent (nearly perfect) control of appearance and page layout. Hyperlinks are not yet practical. Graphics in the document are perfect. Readers are freely available for the PC, Macintosh, Sun, and other platforms.

3. ASCII text (TXT)—appearance is single font and size while page layout control is minimal. There are no hyperlinks and no graphics, but it can be read and printed universally. For simple documents that do not merit sophisticated formatting, text is often the easiest and best alternative. If the user is not concerned about format, then ASCII text is fine for posting on the Web.

4. PostScript (PS)—Some systems, like Macintosh and Sun, produce PS output almost exclusively, and PS printers can always print it. Outside that environment, PS can be problematic. With special software, PS can be viewed directly from a workstation, but it is most practical when used to produce hardcopy with a PS printer.

Note that HTML and ASCII text files are easily indexed by search engines whereas PDF and PS files are not.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 3 Using a Single Source With Multiple Distribution Formats
Which ones should be used? If HTML is available, that is the first choice. If ASCII text is satisfactory for the document, then it is sufficient. If a PS file is available, NIST recommends that it should also be made available in PDF (easy to do). Other documents that cannot be easily converted to HTML should be distributed in PDF. For complex documents in HTML, which involve many linked pages, a supplemental PDF version that can be viewed or printed as a single document may be worth considering. It may also still be desirable to produce a PS version along with a PDF version for those in a Macintosh or Sun environment who are not using Acrobat. This is not difficult to do. Adobe’s PDF with the Acrobat Reader is far superior to Adobe’s PS with GhostView. One can create PDF from PS or create native PDF files from any Windows PC application. When distributing HTML documents in another format, it is useful if the URLs are preserved in the text (e.g., in brackets).

4.4 The Exchange Question

The question of document exchange can be stated precisely in terms of the requirements that must be met to convert a document from one working format to another with minimal loss of content or structure. This is an important question because a document is often revised with a different word processor. The question can be answered in any of three ways:

**Exchange Formats** These are formats that can be read and written by many word processors. This is a classic solution used in many computing applications in which information must be transferred among many different applications. Each application is designed to read and write its proprietary format and one common (exchange) format. If the exchange format is open and in a publicly available specification, then anyone can write tools to work with that format. Such tools are not limited to other word processors but can include the ability to index, search, reorganize, and much more.

**Import/Export** This is the capability to read or write other widely used working formats. For this to be a solution to the exchange question, each word processor (and each version) would have to be able to read most other working formats. Some users could be dealing with three different word processors, each with a current version and a previous version with distinct working formats. For importing to be a complete solution, each of the six tools would have to implement one writer (for its own format) and six readers for all the formats. That makes 42 transformations that must be programmed. This is in contrast to 24 transformations that must be programmed for the exchange format solution (two readers and two writers for each of the six tools).
Conversion

There are a number of commercial and freeware products specifically designed to convert from one format to another. Some try to handle a large variety of formats. These are usually commercial products and are often limited to the formats associated with one platform. Others are designed to handle certain commonly found conversions between two formats. Freeware and commercial products are both common. The same approach is found for other files formats, especially graphics formats.

4.5 Format Conversion

The goal of format conversion is to put documents into a single common format that can serve all the diverse needs discussed above. NIST conducted a number of tests with Microsoft Word 6.0c (including the Internet Assistant), Novell (now Corel) WordPerfect 6.1 (including the Interactive Publisher), and several HTML editors. One of the authors of this report also participated in another series of tests to select a common format for his division. Those tests included Adobe Framemaker 5.0 in addition to the two word processors already identified. All three could create HTML documents, but only Word with the Internet Assistant could also import HTML. This capability of reading HTML documents is sufficiently important to be the basis for the near-term recommendation. In addition, this recommendation is compatible with the current SEMATECH word processing environment.

The latest versions of Word and WordPerfect for Windows 95 will provide more features for electronic publication with HTML, but they were not available for testing during this study. This technology is expected to advance rapidly; therefore, many products may provide the necessary features in the future. Another possible approach is to define a new standard generalized markup language (SGML) document type definition (DTD). SGML is an international standard (ISO 8879) for defining document structure. HTML is a special case of an SGML DTD, so this amounts to designing an alternative to HTML. These are all areas for future study and evaluation.

As a result of those tests, there are three levels of recommendation:

1. Near-term: Use Word with the Internet Assistant and stay within the HTML template as much as possible.
2. Middle-term: Use HTML once the definition of HTML is rich enough to support the Specification and related documents and there are tools to support it.
3. Long-term: Explore an SGML document type definition tailored to the needs of the CIM Framework project.

The definition of HTML and the quality of tools that can use HTML are expanding so rapidly that there may be no need to go to the more sophisticated solution suggested in level 3. If the Specification needs more content tags than HTML provides, then defining a new DTD based on HTML is an alternative.

HTML features have quickly spread to conventional word processing and many stand-alone HTML tools have been introduced in the last year. NIST's first report could recommend only exploring HTML. Now using HTML as the format for the single source is very nearly feasible.
NIST recommends that developments in this area of technology be tracked closely. The potential for improved document management is great, particularly for Web documents.

The CIM Framework Specification, like many technical documents, relies heavily on graphics. The standard format for Web publication is GIF. There are now many tools for converting other graphics formats into GIF, but the problem is that the graphics are often embedded in the original electronic text. Based on experience, NIST recommends that all graphics be separated from the text and managed as independent files that are linked to the base document. All graphics are linked when using HTML with the use of image (IMG) or anchor (A) tags. By separating out the graphics, the documents will be ready for full use of HTML. GIF files can be linked to Word files as easily as to HTML files.

While NIST has not made any specific recommendations about the important issue of configuration management and version control of complex documents, SEMATECH's decision to adopt Lotus Notes to support this function, as well as others, is a sign of good practice. It would be impractical to manage such an extensive and distributed project without networked, interactive tools.
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