

\*\*\* EACH PAPER IS TREATED LIKE \*\*\*  
A SEPARATE DOCUMENT AND MAYBE  
VIEWED AND/OR DOWNLOADED THAT WAY

NISTIR 6401

---

---

# COMPUTER-INTEGRATED KNOWLEDGE SYSTEM (CIKS) NETWORK: REPORT OF THE 2<sup>ND</sup> WORKSHOP

---

---



Lawrence J. Kaetzel, Editor  
K-Systems  
Brownsville, MD

Building and Fire Research Laboratory  
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

**NIST**

United States Department of Commerce  
Technology Administration  
National Institute of Standards and Technology

\*\*\* EACH PAPER IS TREATED LIKE \*\*\*  
A SEPARATE DOCUMENT AND MAYBE  
VIEWED AND/OR DOWNLOADED THAT WAY

**NISTIR 6401**

---

---

**COMPUTER-INTEGRATED  
KNOWLEDGE SYSTEM (CIKS)  
NETWORK: REPORT OF THE 2<sup>ND</sup>  
WORKSHOP**

---

---

Lawrence J. Kaetzel, Editor  
K-Systems  
Brownsville, MD

December 1999

Building and Fire Research Laboratory  
National Institute of Standards and Technology  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899



**National Institute of Standards and Technology**  
William M. Daley, *Secretary*  
**Technology Administration**  
Cheryl L. Shavers, *Under Secretary for Technology*  
**National Institute of Standards and Technology**  
Ray Kammer, *Director*



**COMPUTER-INTEGRATED KNOWLEDGE SYSTEM (CIKS)  
NETWORK: REPORT OF THE 2<sup>ND</sup> WORKSHOP**

**Lawrence J. Kaetzel, Editor**

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Increasingly, construction industry private and public organizations are placing greater emphasis and value on *data, information, and knowledge*<sup>1</sup>. Virtually every organization is an information provider and/or information consumer. For many private organizations, advertising, access to construction information and customer service is key to maintaining a competitive advantage. For government and academia, publishing and sharing research results electronically results in a significant advantage over previous methods such as printed materials. Though information technology has provided benefits in faster delivery and vast storage capabilities, it has not delivered significant improvements in searching, interconnecting, and interpreting information. This is largely due to the lack of consistency in representing and defining construction industry knowledge, the distributed nature of the knowledge, the contextual nature of knowledge that is very context dependent and the lack of resources to focus on these issues. The industry needs a non-biased organization that both produces knowledge and has the capabilities to develop and test methodologies and a unified framework. This effort must be performed in unison with construction industry associations and institutes, private companies, academia, and other government organizations. The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has been focusing on important knowledge issues that affect the representation, exchange, and understanding of construction industry materials, products, and systems through its Computer-Integrated Knowledge System Network (CIKS) program. Collaborative efforts with organizations such as the American Concrete Institute (ACI), The Society for Protective Coatings (SSPC), and the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) have resulted in the development of methods to aid knowledge representation and exchange.

In 1996, the first CIKS workshop was held in Gaithersburg, Maryland. This workshop attempted to define the focus and goals of the CIKS program. Keynote presentations describing construction industry applications were given. Representatives from industry, government and academia met in construction materials and information technology working groups to develop agendas for further work, recommendations on collaborative efforts and funding and knowledge user needs. A NIST report titled “A Partnership for a National Computer-Integrated Knowledge Systems Network for High-Performance Construction Materials and Systems: Workshop Report” describing the agenda and results was published in March of 1997 [1]. As a result of the workshop, two CIKS *active* working groups emerged. These working groups representing the concrete and industrial coatings materials areas, began by focusing on three principal areas:

---

<sup>1</sup> For the purposes of this report, *data* is represented by individual observations such as research results, *information* is organized *data* such as tables and directories, *knowledge* is represented as engineering guides and accepted practice such as rules developed by higher-level knowledge staff, such as materials experts. The term *knowledge* will be used in this report as a general term that may also include *data* and *information* except as specifically stated.

1. Investigation, testing, and adoption of relevant information technologies and knowledge issues facing the construction industry materials area.
2. The development of consistent methods, such as formats and terminology for aiding in the representation and exchange of knowledge.
3. Further development of decision support applications to aid in the use and understanding of construction industry knowledge.

The groups, working independently and with technical associations and institutes have jointly produced several industry standards and applications that address specific sponsor needs for knowledge representation and exchange and decision making and will also benefit construction industry organizations as a whole. These efforts include; guides and standards for the identification and representation of concrete constituent material properties, a guide for the representation and exchange of industrial coating product data, engineering decision support systems for bridge coating and concrete hydraulic structures, and methods for promoting the exchange of construction industry knowledge. These results are described in detail later in this report. In addition, the NIST CIKS staff produced a report on a proposed CIKS framework that was published in September 1997 [2].

During the process of investigating information technologies and user needs for CIKS, it became obvious that several critical factors hindered its success and for the program to provide significant gains, these factors must be addressed. These factors included:

- ❑ Existing knowledge bases are distributed and fragmented and costs for redesign are too great.
- ❑ The construction materials industry lacked consistent terminology and formats for representing electronic data.
- ❑ The construction materials industry lacked universal activity and process models for building unified or interoperable knowledge bases.
- ❑ The construction materials industry lacked criteria for evaluating knowledge.

Based on these factors and the need to advance the CIKS program, a 2<sup>nd</sup> CIKS workshop was held. The goals of this workshop were to focus on the critical factors stated above by bringing together organizations having active programs and projects that could provide synergy. This workshop was held in September 1997 and is the focus of this report. During the 2<sup>nd</sup> workshop, keynote presentations focused on the critical elements for knowledge interoperability and several working groups met to discuss their progress and to plan further work.

Today, the concrete and industrial coatings working groups remain active within committees of the American Concrete Institute and the Society for Protective Coatings, respectively. Also, NIST continues to pursue the CIKS goals in a more focused direction, namely, the Partnership for High-Performance Concrete Technology Program. Technical societies have adopted CIKS methodologies for knowledge sharing and organizations such as the Federal Highway Administration, Turner-Fairbanks Research Center and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers are actively developing engineering decision-support systems for their structures.

The realization of CIKS has enormous potential for the construction industry. It will require increased collaboration between NIST, the private sector and other government organizations. NIST maintains a neutral position and can play an important role by assisting societies and institutes that need to address the needs of their constituents. Undoubtedly, further meetings and workshops will be necessary in order to present knowledge producers and consumers with innovative techniques and to ensure a synergistic approach. There remains a need to influence information technologists in the development of appropriate technologies that address the needs of scientific and technical information.

Finally, construction industry feedback on CIKS is vital and comments are welcome. This report is being made available in printed form as a National Institute of Standards and Technology Internal Report and is available on the CIKS website at the address: [www.ciks.nist.gov](http://www.ciks.nist.gov).

The recommendations from the workshop were:

- ❑ A strawman should be developed based on the coatings and concrete working group activities. This strawman should have priority in the next phase in the CIKS development and should be presented at a future CIKS workshop.
- ❑ A future CIKS workshop should include greater representation from the construction industry user community.
- ❑ Working groups should continue to meet at 6 month intervals in order to maintain progress in their respective areas.
- ❑ The report from the workshop should be distributed to attendees and construction industry organizations interested in knowledge base activities.
- ❑ Industry should express its support to NIST for CIKS

## ABSTRACT

The 2<sup>nd</sup> workshop on a National Partnership on Computer-Integrated Knowledge Systems (CIKS) Network for High-Performance Construction Materials and Systems (NPCMS) was held in Gaithersburg, MD on September 24<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup>, 1997. The workshop was sponsored by the Building and Fire Research Laboratory of the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the Construction Materials Council of the Civil Engineering Research Foundation, and the American Society for Testing and Materials. The workshop mission was to:

*Provide a forum for developing and participating in an industry-wide effort to address the information needs of private and public organizations within the construction industry.*

The workshop objectives were to:

- ❑ Identify and prioritize current and future information needs of the construction industry as they relate to construction materials and systems.
- ❑ Critique the proposed framework for developing and implementing CIKS.
- ❑ Identify and assess opportunities for private and public partnerships for developing CIKS.

In the pursuit of these objectives, experts representing private and public construction industry organizations and information technologists presented information on projects that comprised a major information representation and distribution component. Also, representatives from facility owners, technical associations and societies, consultants and engineers, and universities met in working groups to identify knowledge user needs and to critique the relevance of keynote presentations to their project and organizations goals. Two working groups; 1) concrete and 2) coatings developed substantial agendas and recommendations for pursuing the CIKS mission and objectives. The consensus of the group revealed that CIKS could significantly improve the distribution and use of electronically stored knowledge and that the concrete and coatings working groups should be a model for other working groups to pursue. This report presents information contained in the keynote addresses and results of the working group sessions.

Keywords: computer integrated knowledge system; construction industry; high-performance construction materials; information technology, knowledge interoperability, knowledge-based systems; workshop.

## **DISCLAIMER**

The reference to commercial equipment, instruments, computer hardware and software in this report are identified in order to provide examples of experimental procedures and methodologies. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor is it intended to imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	iv
ABSTRACT .....	vii
DISCLAIMER .....	viii
1. INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Purpose and Goals of the CIKS Network .....	1
1.2 Status of CIKS and the Testbed .....	2
1.3 Workshop Objectives .....	3
1.4 Workshop Organization .....	4
1.5 Report Scope and Contents .....	5
2. KEYNOTE PRESENTATIONS	
The Link Between Terminology and Data Element Dictionaries, By Sue Ellen Wright, Kent State University .....	6
An Intermediate Model for Interoperation of Web-distributed Applications With A Design Model, by James Andrew Arnold, Stanford University .....	18
Jess and Knowledge Sharing Consideration and Issues, by Yannis Labrou and Timothy Finin, University of Maryland, Baltimore County .....	43
Knowledge for the Coatings Industry: Needs and Progress, by Bernard Appleman, The Society for Protective Coatings .....	48
Computer-Integrated Knowledge Systems Network: Proposed Framework, by Thomas Kurihara, National Institute of Standards and Technology .....	58
Construction Supernetwork, by Alan Sparkman, Aberdeen Group (Summary) .....	64

Life-Cycle Computer-Aided Data Project, by Kenneth Humphreys, Pacific Northwest Laboratories (Summary) . . . . .	65
Software Agents, by Timothy Finin, University of Maryland, Baltimore County (Summary) . . . . .	66
3. WORKING GROUP REPORTS . . . . .	69
3.1 Aluminum Materials Group . . . . .	69
3.2 Coatings Materials Group . . . . .	70
3.3 Composites and Geosynthetic Materials Group . . . . .	74
3.4 Concrete and Masonry Materials Group . . . . .	78
4. WORKSHOP CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS . . . . .	81
5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS . . . . .	83
6. REFERENCES . . . . .	83
APPENDICES	
A. Workshop Program . . . . .	85
B. Sponsoring Organizations, Steering and Organizing Committees . . . . .	87
C. Listing of Workshop Participants . . . . .	88
D. Working Group Members . . . . .	92

## 4. Workshop Conclusions and Recommendations

The 2<sup>nd</sup> CIKS Workshop was a success from several perspectives, but not all. Information gained from the wrapup sessions and working group recommendations provided realistic projections on the direction and magnitude of the vast amount of work and collaboration required to make CIKS a successful knowledge based network for the construction industry. The primary points covered in the wrapup discussions and the consensus of the attendees are listed below.

### 4.1 Conclusions

*Workshop attendance* – Participants in the workshop were mainly representatives of facility owners, researchers, managers, and information technologists. A significant base of knowledge users was lacking.

*Keynote presentations* – Part I of the keynotes described important methods and information tools that are necessary for a distributed and heterogeneous CIKS system. The presentation on terminology raised awareness of the importance of this topic for knowledge sharing. Information sharing is complex and futuristic topic, but one that must be addressed in the CIKS environment. Actual demonstrations of prototype systems are essential to convey the information that can be digested and used by construction industry segments.

Part II of the keynotes involved discussions of actual applications for construction industry use. These presentations presented information that can be used by the workshop participants.

*Critique of the CIKS framework* – The vast scope of CIKS and its objectives made it difficult for some of the participants to relate to their particular needs. This demonstrates the need for partnership among organizations that are actively pursuing solutions to knowledge user needs and the need to team with information technologists to “interpret” information technology methodologies. The need for a strawman was obvious and the Coatings Working Group activities showed how one segment of the construction materials area is addressing key issues for knowledge use. Also, greater emphasis should be placed on the CIKS testbed to assist industry segments in testing and building prototype systems.

*Working group results* – Two of the working groups; coatings and concrete made significant progress during their breakout sessions: coatings in the area of addressing future work for developing decision support systems and creating partnerships and concrete, in the area of partnerships and the development of an agenda for sharing information and methodologies for pavement management systems and hydraulic structures.

The joint Composites and Geosynthetic Materials Group developed useful information that is necessary for defining their user needs and characterizing the CIKS system.

It was apparent that the assistance provided by members of the Information Technology Group during the working group sessions helped to explain the details of specific information tools for the materials specialists.

*Expectations for future workshops* – Perhaps the strongest justification for future workshops is the need to demonstrate applications and technologies to system developers, users, and managers. These demonstrations would include organizations actively engaged in developing knowledge bases and who have legacy systems and those who are just beginning. Using a strawman and model systems should be considered as a core for future meetings. A major focus should be in the areas of coatings and concrete materials.

#### 4.2 Recommendations

The main recommendations from the workshop concerned the CIKS framework and future workshops. They are:

- ❑ A strawman should be developed based on the coatings and concrete working group activities. This strawman should have priority in the next phase in the CIKS development and should be presented at a future CIKS workshop.
- ❑ A future CIKS workshop should include greater representation from the construction industry user community.
- ❑ Working groups should continue to meet at 6 month intervals in order to maintain progress in their respective areas.
- ❑ The report from the workshop should be distributed to attendees and construction industry organizations interested in knowledge base activities.
- ❑ Industry should express its support to NIST for CIKS

## **5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The workshop organizers very much appreciate the support from the sponsors which contributed to the success of the workshop:

The Civil Engineering Research Foundation (CERF)

The CERF Construction Materials Council (CONMAT)

The American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

They also wish to express their appreciation to the workshop participants for making the workshop a success. Special thanks to the keynote presenters and to the working group chairmen.

The workshop organizers thank the contribution by Janice Hagood for coordinating the workshop facilities and to the reviewers of this report.

Finally, the editor wishes to thank Mary McKnight, Geoffrey Frohnsdorff, Dale Bentz and Thomas Kurihara of NIST for their review comments, suggestions, and support in the development of this report. Also, Zhanmin Zhang of the University of Texas at Austin for his effort as WERB reviewer.

## **6. REFERENCES**

1. Clifton, J.R. and Sunder, S.S., "A Partnership for a National Computer-Integrated Knowledge Systems Network for High-Performance Construction Materials and Systems: Workshop Report," NISTIR 6003, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD (March 1997).
2. Kurihara, T.Y. and Kaetzel, L.J., "Computer Integrated Knowledge System (CIKS) for Construction Materials, Components, and Systems: Proposed Framework," NISTIR 6071, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899 (September 1997).
3. Martin, J.W., "A Systems Approach to the Service Life Prediction Problem for Coating Systems," ACS Symposium Series 722, Chapter 1, pp. 1-20, American Chemical Society, Oxford University Press, Washington, DC 20036 (1999).
4. SSPC, "Technology for a Diverse Industry," Proceedings of the SSPC 96 Seminars, The Society for Protective Coatings, Pittsburgh, PA (November 1996).

5. Kaetzel, L.J., "Using the Internet for Group Communication," *Journal of Protective Coatings and Linings*, Volume 14, No. 8, pp. 35-42, Technology Publishing Co., Pittsburgh, PA (August 1997).
6. Kaetzel, L.J. and Kogler, R.A., "A Systematic Approach to Coating System Selection for Highway Bridges Using the BRCOAT Knowledge Based System," *Proceedings of SSPC '98*, Orlando, Florida, SSPC 98-11, pp. 10-19, Society for Protective Coatings, Pittsburgh, PA (November 1998).

## APPENDIX A: WORKSHOP PROGRAM

### *Program* *2<sup>nd</sup> Annual CIKS Workshop*

*September 24, 1997*

8:00-8:30	Registration	
8:30-8:40	Welcome and Introduction	Lawrence Kaetzel (Workshop Chair)
8:40-9:00	Sound Decisions in Design, Construction and Use of Construction Facilities	Richard Wright, Director NIST, Building and Fire Research Laboratory

#### ***Keynote Presentations I: Building Blocks for Knowledge Exchange***

9:00-10:30	The DARPA Approach to Knowledge Sharing	David Gunning, Program Manager, (DARPA) Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
	Terminology: Need for Consistency and Current Efforts to Standardize	Sue Ellen Wright, Kent State University
	Tools for Knowledge Sharing	Timothy Finin, University of Maryland Baltimore Campus
	Electronic Commerce for the Engineering Enterprise	James Andrew Arnold Center for Integrated Facility Information, Stanford University
10:30-10:45	Break	

#### ***Keynote Presentations II: Knowledge Exchange Efforts and the CIKS Framework***

10:45-12:15	Society for Protective Coatings (SSPC) Coating Knowledge Center	Dr. Bernard Appleman, Executive Director, Society For Protective Coatings
10:45-12:15	Construction Supernetwork	Alan Sparkman, Publisher Aberdeen Group, Electronic Media Division

	Life-Cycle Computer-Aided Data Project	Ken Humphreys Pacific Northwest Laboratory
	CIKS Framework and Testbed	Tom Kurihara, Computer Specialist, NIST, Building and Fire Research
12:15-12:45	Panel Discussion	
12:45-1:00	Charge to Material Working Groups	J. Meyer (Workshop Co-Chair), Director, Research and International Programs, CERF
1:00-2:00	Lunch	
2:00-5:00	Material Working Groups: Session I Goal 1: Identify user needs Goal 2: Critique CIKS Framework	
5:00-5:15	Break	
5:15-5:45	Summary of Working Group Sessions (Working Group Chairs)	
6:30	Cocktails (cash bar)	
7:00	Dinner	John Rumble, Chief NIST, Office of Standard Reference Data

***September 25, 1997***

8:30-9:00	Plenary Session Preliminary reports from material working groups	
9:00-12:30	Material Working Groups: Session II Goal 1: Opportunity assessment, develop recommendations Goal 2: Develop working group reports	
	Information Technology Working Group: Session I	
12:30-1:30	Lunch	
1:30-2:30	Summary, wrapup and next steps	
2:30-5:00	Tour and demonstrations of NIST/CIKS test bed	
5:00	ADJOURN	

## **APPENDIX B: SPONSORING ORGANIZATIONS, STEERING AND ORGANIZING COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

### *Sponsoring Organizations*

- ❑ Civil Engineering Research Foundation (CERF)
- ❑ Construction Materials Council (CONMAT), CERF
- ❑ American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)

### *Steering Committee*

John D. Meyer, Chair, CERF  
Bernard Appleman, The Society for Protective Coatings  
Douglas Barno, SPI Composites Institute  
Timothy Finin, University of Maryland, Baltimore County  
David Fowler, University of Texas at Austin  
Geoffrey Frohnsdorff, NIST/BFRL  
David Jefferson, Consultant  
Larry Kaetzel, NIST/BFRL  
Gil Kaufman, The Aluminum Association

### *Organizing Committee*

Larry Kaetzel, Chair, NIST/BFRL  
Richard Belle, CERF  
James Clifton, NIST/BFRL  
Dave Evans, NIST/BFRL  
Janice Hagood, NIST/BFRL  
Randolph Kissell, TGB Partnership  
Robert Koerner, Geosynthetics Institute  
Robert Kogler, Federal Highway Administration  
Tom Kurihara, NIST/BFRL  
Charles Sturrock, NIST/MATLS  
Nancy Wilkin, NIST/BFRL  
Mike Zupanick, Consultant

## APPENDIX C: LISTING OF WORKSHOP PARTICIPANTS

Bernard Appleman  
The Society for Protective Coatings  
40 24<sup>th</sup> St., 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222-4643

James Andrew Arnold  
Stanford University  
Bldg 550, Rm. 553  
Stanford, CA 94305

Douglas Barno  
Composites Institute  
2635 Old Columbus Rd.  
Granville, OH 43023

Joannie Chin  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Bldg 226, B350  
Gaithersburg, 20899

James Clifton  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Bldg 226, B350  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Peter Emmons  
Structural Preservation Systems  
3761 Commerce Drive  
Baltimore, MD 21227

David Evans  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Building 224, B250  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Grace Hsuan  
GRI/Drexel University  
33 Lancaster Ave.  
Philadelphia, PA 19104

Clarissa Ferraris  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Building 226, B350  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Timothy Finin  
University of Maryland, Baltimore County  
Dept of Computer Science and Electrical  
Engineering  
Baltimore, MD 21250

Russ Fleming  
National Fire Sprinkler

David Fowler  
University of Texas at Austin  
Center for Aggregates Research  
Austin, TX 78712

Michael Galler  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Bldg 226, B350  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Pravin Gandhi  
Underwriters Laboratory  
333 Pfingsten Rd.  
Northbrook, IL 60062

Jeffrey Greenwald  
National Concrete Masonry Association

Janice Hagood  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Bldg 226, B350  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Kenneth Humphreys  
Pacific Northwest Laboratories  
P.O. Box 999  
Richland, WA 99352

Robert Kogler  
FHWA, Turner Fairbank Highway  
Research Center  
6300 Old Georgetown Pike  
McLean, VA 22101

Kristin Iden  
FHWA, Turner Fairbank Highway  
Research Center  
6300 Georgetown Pike  
McLean, VA 22101

Tom Kurihara  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Bldg 226, B350  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Nora Jason  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Bldg 224, A252  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Yannis Labrou  
University of Maryland, Baltimore County  
Dept of Computer Science and Electrical  
Engineering  
Baltimore, MD 21250

David Jefferson  
8121 Langport Terrace  
Gaithersburg, MD 20877

Kenneth Litkowski  
CL Research  
20239 Lea Pond Place  
Gaithersburg, MD 20879

Lawrence Kaetzel  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Bldg 226, B350  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Colin Lobo  
NRMCA  
900 Spring St.  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Randy Kissell  
TGB  
1325 Farmview rd.  
Hillsborough, NC 27278

Jonathan Martin  
NIST  
100 Bureau Drive, Stop 8621  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Robert Koerner  
Geosynthetic Institute  
130 Wood Road  
Springfield, PA 19064

James McDonald  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
Waterway Experiment Station  
3909 Halls Ferry Rd.  
Vicksburg, MS 39180

John Meyer  
CERF  
1015 15<sup>th</sup> St., NW  
Washington, DC 20005

Mary McKnight  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Bldg 226, B350  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Arantza Murphy  
CERF  
1015 15<sup>th</sup> St., NW  
Washington, DC 20005

Alan Sparkman  
The Aberdeen Group  
Electronic Media Division  
1735 Stadium  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

James Pielert  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Bldg 226  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Jeff Stewart  
ITW Devcon  
353 Meade Dr.  
Moon TWP, PA 15108

William Plenge  
American Concrete Institute  
P.O. Box 1009  
Pasadena, MD 21123

Charles Sturrock  
NIST/SRD  
Bldg 820, 113  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Bruce Rink  
The Construction Specification Institute  
601 Madison St.  
Alexandria, VA 22314

L. David Suits  
N.Y. State DOT-Geotech  
1220 Washington Ave.  
Albany, NY 12232

John Rumble  
NIST  
Bldg 820, 113  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Louis Sumbry  
Amoco Corp.  
3700 Bay Area Blvd.  
Houston, TX 77058

Gajanan Sadnis  
Howard University  
404 Northview Ave.  
Silver Spring, MD 20905

Brian Trimble  
Brick Institute of America  
11490 Commerce Park  
Reston, VA 20191

Diane Schulman  
The Aberdeen Group  
1735 Stadium  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

Terry Weigel  
University of Louisville  
Dept of Civil Engineering  
Louisville, KY

Sue Ellen Wright  
Kent State University  
Kent, OH 44242

Charles West  
Northwestern University/Quest  
1801 Maple Ave.  
Evanston, IL 60201

Richard Wright  
NIST, Building and Fire Research Lab  
Bldg 226, B216  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Zhanmin Zhang  
University of Texas at Austin  
Center for Aggregates Research  
DCJ 5.200  
Austin, TX 78712

## **APPENDIX D: WORKING GROUP MEMBERS**

### **WG1 – Aluminum**

Randy Kissell, Chair	TGB Group
James Clifton	NIST
Charles Sturrock	NIST
David Jefferson	Consultant

### **WG2 – Coatings**

Bernard Appleman, Chair	The Society for Protective Coatings
Michael Galler	NIST
Gajanan Sabnis	Howard University
Arantza Murphy	Civil Engineering Research Foundation
Sue Ellen Wright	Kent State University
Mary McKnight	NIST
Ken Litkowski	CL Research
Jeff Stewart	ITW Devcon
Charles West	Northwestern University
Yannis Labrou	University of Maryland, Baltimore County
Louis Sumbry	Amoco
Robert Kogler	FHWA
Lawrence Kaetzel	NIST
Charles Sturrock	NIST

### **WG3 – Composites & Geosynthetics**

Douglas Barno, Chair	Composites Institute
Robert Koerner	Geosynthetic Institute
Grace Hsuan	GRI/Drexel University
Joannie Chin	NIST
L. David Suits	N.Y. State DOT

#### **WG4 – Concrete and Masonry**

David Fowler, Chair	University of Texas at Austin
Peter Emmons	Structural Preservation Systems
Clarissa Ferraris	NIST
Jeffrey Grennwald	National Concrete Masonry Association
Tom Kurihara	NIST
Colin Lobo	NRMCA
James McDonald	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers/Waterway Exp Station
William Plenge	American Concrete Institute
Diane Schulman	The Aberdeen Group
Brian Trimble	Brick Institute of America
Terry Weigel	University of Louisville
Zhanmin Zhang	University of Texas at Austin

#### **WG5 – Fire Safety**

David Evans, Chair	NIST
Glenn Forney	NIST
Nora Jason	NIST
Russell Fleming	National Fire Sprinkler Association
Pravin Gandhi	Underwriters Laboratories

#### **WG6 – Information Technology**

Timothy Finin, Chair	University of Maryland, Baltimore County
Tom Kurihari	NIST
Lawrence Kaetzel	NIST
Yannis Labrou	University of Maryland, Baltimore County
David Jefferson	Consultant
Sue Ellen Wright	Kent State University
Charles Sturrock	NIST
Diane Schulman	The Aberdeen Group
Nora Jason	NIST