Interfacial Symmetry Control of Emergent Ferromagnetism at the Nanoscale
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Abstract

The emergence of complex new ground states at interfaces has been identified as one of the most promising routes to highly tunable nanoscale materials. Despite recent progress, isolating and controlling the underlying mechanisms behind these emergent properties remains among the most challenging materials physics problems to date. In particular, generating ferromagnetism localized at the interface of two non-ferromagnetic materials is of fundamental and technological interest. Moreover, the ability to turn the ferromagnetism on and off would shed light on the origin of such emergent phenomena and is promising for spintronic applications. We demonstrate that ferromagnetism confined within one unit cell at the interface of CaRuO$_3$ and CaMnO$_3$ can be switched on and off by changing the symmetry of the oxygen octahedra connectivity at the boundary. Interfaces which are symmetry-matched across the boundary exhibit interfacial CaMnO$_3$ ferromagnetism while the ferromagnetism at symmetry-mismatched interfaces is suppressed. We attribute the suppression of ferromagnetic order to a reduction in charge transfer at symmetry-mismatched interfaces, where frustrated bonding weakens the orbital overlap. Thus interfacial symmetry is a new route to control emergent ferromagnetism in materials such as CaMnO$_3$ which exhibit antiferromagnetism in bulk form.
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The discovery of novel electronic and magnetic ground states in ABO$_3$ perovskite oxide heterostructures has been made possible through control of thin film synthesis at nanometer length scales. Discontinuities in band structure, valence states, or interaction lengths at interfaces give rise to novel behavior confined near the interface. More recently, systematic tuning of the atomic structure by manipulating BO$_6$ octahedral connectivity has opened up new pathways for generating emergent phenomena in perovskite heterostructures. The manipulation of octahe-
dral connectivity can be achieved through epitaxial strain, superlattice periodicity modulation, and introducing crystallographic symmetry (mis)match at the interfaces. For example, ferroelectricity has been predicted in perovskite superlattices where neither constituent material is ferroelectric. In ferromagnetic systems such as La$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$/Eu$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$, LaMnO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$, or La$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$MnO$_3$, the magnetic properties are tunable through interfacial MnO$_6$ octahedral tilt and rotation.

Although octahedral connectivity control is typically used analogously to strain and pressure application, emergent magnetic interfaces present opportunities to realize effects which truly have no bulk analog. In a system with pure interfacial ferromagnetism, such as LaMnO$_3$ or CaMnO$_3$-based superlattices, the impact of these structural variations may be amplified to produce large changes in the magnetism. Even more dramatically, completely switching the interfacial ferromagnetic order on and off may be possible.

CaRuO$_3$/CaMnO$_3$ is an ideal model system composed of an antiferromagnetic insulator (CaMnO$_3$) and a paramagnetic metal (CaRuO$_3$) where emergent interfacial ferromagnetism has been investigated. Nanda et al. attributed the ferromagnetism to double exchange interactions among interfacial Mn ions mediated by itinerant electrons transferred from the adjacent CaRuO$_3$. This charge transfer has been observed through electron energy loss spectroscopy, and density functional theory calculations show that competition between antiferromagnetic superexchange and ferromagnetic double exchange stabilizes a canted ferromagnetic state with a magnetization of 0.85-1.0 $\mu_B$/Mn within the first unit cell.

In this letter, we demonstrate for the first time the ability to switch emergent ferromagnetism on and off through control of octahedral connectivity. More specifically, crystallographic symmetry-mismatch across the interfaces, associated with incommensurate tilt and rotation of the MnO$_6$ and RuO$_6$ octahedra, can modulate and even entirely suppress the interfacial ferromagnetism residing within a single unit cell of the CaMnO$_3$ layer. Our results indicate that the creation of discontinuities in the interfacial structural symmetry inhibits electron transfer from CaRuO$_3$ to CaMnO$_3$, destabilizing the ferromagnetism and illustrating the power of controlling charge transfer at interfaces.

We systematically probed the magnetic and atomic structure of $((\text{CaRuO}_3)^n/(\text{CaMnO}_3)^m)_{10}$ superlattices, designated $(n/m)$, grown on (001) oriented SrTiO$_3$ across the range $n = 2-4$ and $m = 3-19$. In bulk, both materials are isostructural and possess orthorhombic unit cells with $Pbnm$ symmetry doubling the pseudocubic perovskite unit cell. Unless otherwise noted, we refer to the CaRuO$_3$ and CaMnO$_3$ pseudo-cubic structure and lattice parameters of 0.386 nm and 0.373 nm, respectively. References to the orthorhombic system are designated with a subscripted $O$.

Figure 1: (a) XRR of a (3/17) superlattice with theoretical fit. (b) PNR and fit for spin-up (blue) and spin-down (red) neutrons. The spin-up (blue) reflectivity has higher intensity at the second order Bragg peak. (c) Nuclear (black) and magnetic (red) depth profile associated with the fit shown. (d) Theoretical reflectivity at the second order Bragg peak for interfacial ferromagnetic layer thicknesses of 1-3 unit cells. Error bars correspond to ±1 standard deviation.

X-ray reflectivity (XRR) and polarized neutron reflectometry (PNR) (Figure 1) reveal uniform superlattices, individual layers within 0.7% of designed thicknesses, and interfacial roughnesses of 0.5 nm. These techniques probe several nonlocal sources of roughness, such as long-range thickness variation. Locally, even...
smoother interfaces are expected, in agreement with our scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) measurements.

Having established structural quality, we probed the magnetic depth profile using PNR measurements of \((3/10), (3/11), (3/17), (3/18),\) and \((4/19)\) superlattices using the PBR beamline at the NIST Center for Neutron Research (NCNR). Figure 1b shows neutron spin-up and spin-down reflectivities for the \((3/17)\) superlattice along with the reflectivity calculated from a model (Figure 1c) composed of 10 uniform stacked layers of \(\text{CaRuO}_3\) and \(\text{CaMnO}_3\) with a single unit cell of ferromagnetic \(\text{CaMnO}_3\) at each interface. The spin-splitting near the critical edge and first Bragg peak is sensitive to the magnitude of the magnetization and is consistent with SQUID magnetometry. In contrast, the second order peak provides sensitivity to ferromagnetic layer thickness, illustrated in Figure 1d. Models of the \((3/17)\) superlattice with ferromagnetic layer thicknesses of 1, 2, and 3 unit cells predict a 28%, -1%, and -26% splitting between spin-up and spin-down reflectivities at the second order Bragg peak respectively. The observed second order Bragg peak splitting for the \((3/17)\) sample is 46(±2)% favoring a single unit cell of ferromagnetism. Similar results are obtained for the \((3/18)\) and \((4/19)\) samples. Together, all results give greater than a 99.4% confidence that the ferromagnetic layer is confined to a single unit cell of \(\text{CaMnO}_3\) at the interface and conclusively rule out thicknesses exceeding 2 unit cells.

SQUID magnetometry (Figure 2a) indicates the coexistence of interfacial ferromagnetic and interior antiferromagnetic \(\text{CaMnO}_3\) layers, through the shift of the magnetization loops after cooling in ±5 T. The shift is a manifestation of exchange bias which results from exchange coupling between the ferromagnetic and adjacent antiferromagnetic layer. Exchange bias is observed below 70 K for all periodicities and increases linearly with \(\text{CaMnO}_3\) thickness throughout the measured range. Figure 2a also shows the typical temperature dependence of the magnetization revealing a \(T_C\) of 100(3) K for the interfacial ferromagnetic \(\text{CaMnO}_3\) layers. Plotting saturation magnetization \((M_{Sat})\) for \((3/m)\) as function of \(\text{CaMnO}_3\) thickness (Figure 2b), reveals a modulation from 0.5 to 1.0 \(\mu_B/\text{Mn}\) (normalized by the number of interfacial Mn sites) with \(\text{CaMnO}_3\) layer thickness. Once \(m \geq 12\), \(M_{Sat}\) of all samples converges to 1.0 \(\mu_B/\text{Mn}\). Element specific magnetic characterization using X-ray absorption (XA) and XMCD in total electron yield (TEY) mode were performed at beamlines 6.3.1 and 4.0.2 of the Advanced Light Source and are shown in Figure 2c. They confirmed the magnetometry measurements, i.e. superlattices with \(“m = \text{even}”\) number of \(\text{CaMnO}_3\) layers exhibit significantly larger dichroism on the Mn L\(_{3,2}\) absorption edge than superlattices with \(“m = \text{odd}”\) number of \(\text{CaMnO}_3\) layers.

The variation in \(M_{Sat}\) for \(m < 12\) (Figure 2b) is commensurate with the periodicity of the orthorhombically distorted perovskite unit cell - double that of the pseudo-cubic unit cell. That is, superlattices with an even number of pseudocubic \(\text{CaMnO}_3\) unit cells per layer \((m = \text{even})\) exhibit significantly larger magnetization than \(“m = \text{odd}”\) superlattices. Thus, to un-
Figure 3: Schematic of (a) [110]O and (b) [001]O domains in CaRuO$_3$ and CaMnO$_3$, viewed along the film growth axis. Raw intensity of the (0 1 2 3) and (11 3 2) XRD peaks for a (c) (3/3), (d) (3/4), (e) (3/5), and (f) (4/4) superlattice. The black arrows indicate whether the peak shown is associated with the top or bottom x-axis. Schematics show an in-plane view of the expected symmetries present in each superlattice. In these schematics, the growth axis is parallel to the y-axis of the plot.

Understand the underlying mechanism behind the $M_{Sat}$ modulation with CaMnO$_3$ layer thickness, we performed detailed structural studies of the interfaces using x-ray diffraction at beamline 7-2 of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory.

The orthorhombic distortion symmetry may have either out-of-phase or in-phase rotations of the oxygen octahedra when viewed along the growth axis, giving rise to [110]O (Figure 3a) and [001]O (Figure 3b) oriented orthorhombic domains respectively. The alignment of the [110]O or [001]O directions along the growth axis is indicated by the presence of the (210)$_O$ or (203)$_O$ diffraction peaks, corresponding to (0 1 2 3) and (11 3 2) peaks respectively. The presence or absence of these two peaks enables identification of the orthorhombic domains present in our superlattices. Figures 3c-3f show the relative intensities of the (0 1 2 3) and (11 3 2) peaks for a representative subset of superlattices with $m < 6$. All possible super-lattice periodicity combinations, (even/even), (odd/odd), (even/odd), and (odd/even), were studied. We find that (even/even) superlattices are [110]O out-of-plane dominant while (odd/odd) superlattices are [001]O out-of-plane dominant. Superlattices with (even/odd) and (odd/odd) are in a mixed state, with moderate intensities in both (0 1 2 3) and (11 3 2) peaks. Examining the entire thickness range revealed that the (0 1 2 3) intensity grows rapidly with increasing CaMnO$_3$ thickness, implying that the bulk of the CaMnO$_3$ is [110]O oriented. In contrast, the (11 3 2) peak does not scale with CaMnO$_3$ thickness, suggesting sensitivity to changes in domain orientation near the interfaces.

Figure 4 shows the dependence of $M_{Sat}$ on the (11 3 2) peak intensity (probing domains in the [001]O orientation). All samples collapse onto a universal curve in which a moderate (11 3 2) peak intensity is associated with a sharp reduction in magnetization. Further, after accounting for the aforementioned (0 1 2 3) intensity dependence...
on CaMnO$_3$ thickness, we find that the $(0\frac{1}{2}\frac{3}{2})$ peak is most intense for (even/even) samples, modest in (odd/odd) and (even/odd) samples and smallest for (odd/even) samples. Thus, a mixture of [001]$_O$ and [110]$_O$ domains at the interface results in lower magnetization while the presence of a dominant domain orientation (either [001]$_O$ or [110]$_O$ domains) at the interface results in higher magnetization.

Mixed domain states occur only in superlattices with an odd number of pseudocubic CaMnO$_3$ unit cells per layer, and may be indicative of one of several structural configurations: crystallographic symmetry-mismatch across all interfaces, crystallographic symmetry-mismatch across every other interface, or crystallographic symmetry-matched interfaces with equal populations of the two orthorhombic domain types. However, symmetry-matched interfaces would not differentiate samples with even and odd CaMnO$_3$ layers with respect to magnetization. If the reduced magnetization results from interfacial symmetry-mismatch, then the sharp switching of magnetization between $(n/m)$ and $(n/m+1)$ samples can be explained in terms of complete suppression of ferromagnetism through symmetry-mismatch at half of the interfaces. For this configuration, in which ferromagnetism is suppressed at half of the interfaces, the depth dependence of our XMCD results requires that CaMnO$_3$/CaRuO$_3$ be the nonmagnetic interface while the CaRuO$_3$/CaMnO$_3$ interface is magnetic. This interpretation is consistent with SQUID magnetometry, PNR, and XMCD data.

For superlattices with an even number of pseudocubic CaMnO$_3$ unit cells per layer, there is a dominant orthorhombic domain orientation and each interface is symmetry-matched. With interfacial symmetry matching, we expect strong interfacial bonding which enables charge transfer and emergent ferromagnetism. Therefore although the two cases do not have the same symmetry, both (even/even) and (odd/even) superlattices exhibit higher magnetization. Specifically, (even/even) superlattices exhibit majority [110]$_O$ domains regardless of CaRuO$_3$ layer thickness, while (odd/even) superlattices are majority [001]$_O$. This suggests that the structural distortions in CaRuO$_3$ and its surface termination play a role in determining the emergent ferromagnetic behavior.

![Graph](image.png)

**Figure 4:** $M_{Sat}$ vs. integrated $(11\frac{3}{2})$ peak intensity. (even/even) samples in green and (odd/even) samples in yellow exhibit high magnetization, while (odd/odd) and (even/odd) samples (i.e., m = odd) in red have suppressed magnetization.

The observed correlation between orthorhombic growth domains and $M_{Sat}$ demonstrates that interfacial ferromagnetism can be tuned effectively through lattice distortions and interfacial crystallographic symmetry (mis)match. We propose that this symmetry-mismatch occurs at half of the interfaces in superlattices with an odd number of CaMnO$_3$ unit cells per superlattice layer, altering the Ru-O-Mn bonding in a way which reduces orbital overlap. We postulate that the symmetry-mismatch and reduced orbital overlap suppresses electron transfer at half the interfaces, stabilizing purely antiferromagnetic interactions and reducing the overall ferromagnetic moment. Thus modification of the superlattice periodicity by only a single unit cell dramatically changes both the interfacial symmetry and the resultant magnetic order.

In summary, we have demonstrated that interfacial ferromagnetism can be tuned and even suppressed through interfacial crystallographic symmetry-mismatch. Although octahedral tilting and rotations are typically secondary ef-
fects, in the present case the extreme sensitivity of the interfacial ferromagnetism to modification of the electron transfer enables the octahedral superstructure to play a major role. In contrast to past examples which were complicated by strain and thickness variation, the fully interfacial nature of the magnetism in isostructural CaRuO$_3$/CaMnO$_3$ superlattices along with the oscillatory thickness dependence provides a truly unambiguous demonstration of the power of octahedral connectivity on the magnetic ground state. These observations unveil new approaches towards designing emergent magnetic order and reveal a system in which there is a delicate balance of exchange interactions that result in abrupt switching between ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic order. Although further theoretical investigation is warranted, it is clear that octahedral connectivity plays a critical role in tuning magnetism at the nanometer length scale. Such tunability is promising for future incorporation of these oxide interface systems into spintronic applications.
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