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Abstract—A special purpose ac voltage divider system with ratios of 600, 480, 360, 277, and 240 V to 120 V has been developed to extend the range of primary electric power calibrations from 120–600 V at 50 and 60 Hz. The developmental goal has been to realize ac voltage scaling within 5 μV/V overall relative uncertainty. By employing a technique consisting of a special two-stage resistive divider compensated with an active circuit, the overall uncertainty achieved after calibration is 4 μV/V.

Index Terms—Active voltage divider, calibration, power measurement, precision.

I. INTRODUCTION

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), the primary realization of the quantity of ac electric power, the watt, is accomplished by means of a “power bridge” [1]. The bridge is optimized for operation at 120 V and 5 A. Calibrations at other voltages and currents are possible using scaling transformers within the power bridge. To further extend the voltage range to 600 V, it was decided to investigate an amplifier-compensated resistive divider technique, similar to that successfully applied to high-voltage capacitive dividers [2]. The goal was to develop a multi-ratio divider for voltage ratios of 600 V/120 V having a relative uncertainty within 5 μV/V.

II. OPERATING PRINCIPLE

The basic circuit is an active, two-stage voltage divider with each stage configured as an operational amplifier. As originally implemented in a related development of a high-voltage capacitive divider [2], the analogous resistive divider is shown in Fig. 1(a). The first-stage divider designated as the coarse divider is formed by amplifier, A1, and the resistors, Rs3 and Rs4. It provides an approximate output voltage, V21, given by the following equation:

\[ V_{21} = -V_1(R_{S4}/R_{S3})(1 - \epsilon_1) \]

where the error term, \( \epsilon_1 \), is caused by the finite loop gain of A1 and the connected passive circuit; the second error term, \( \epsilon_2 \), results from the small difference between the two nominally-equal resistance ratios, \( (R_{S4}/R_{S2}) \) and \( (R_{S2}/R_{S1}) \). Since most of the signal contributing to the output voltage, \( V_2 \), is supplied by A1, the second stage amplifier, A2, has to provide only a small error voltage, \( V_{22} \), given by the error terms in (1). In practice A2 supplies such an error voltage, but with a small error of its own, \( \epsilon_3 \), due to the finite loop gain of A2 and the passive network connected to it. Thus

\[ V_{22} = V_1(R_{S2}/R_{S1})(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)(1 - \epsilon_3). \]

Amplifier A3, with its network of four equal resistances subtracts \( V_{22} \) from \( V_{21} \). By subtracting (2) from (1) the following expression relating input and output voltages is obtained:

\[ V_2 = V_{21} - V_{22} = V_1(R_{S2}/R_{S1})[1 - (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)\epsilon_3]. \]

While in the preceding analysis the subtracting amplifier circuit is treated as ideal, in practice it has its own errors caused by the finite loop gain and small differences in the resistance values. The result is that the error terms in (3) are modified but the overall error is similar, consisting of a product of two small quantities, each \(< 10^{-3} \).

The circuit of Fig. 1(a) is useful also for the analysis of the dynamic stability of the entire instrument. Both feedback loops, the first consisting of A1, Rs4, and Rs3, and the second involving A2, A3, Rs2, and Rs1 must be stable. It should be noted that the two loops do not interact with each other with respect to feedback performance. The output of A1 is added in the second loop as an equivalent controlled source. The second loop is more difficult to stabilize as it contains two amplifiers, doubling the phase shift in the critical high-frequency region. Careful compensation is required to produce a stable circuit.

The circuit of Fig. 1(a) can be simplified by combining in A1 the original function with the signal adding function of A3 as shown in Fig. 1(b). The output of A2 is connected to the summing point of A1 through a resistor \( R_{S4}^r \) having the same nominal value as \( R_{S4} \). This adds the output of A2 directly to the output of A1 without any amplification or attenuation. A different value for \( R_{S4}^r \) will increase or decrease the gain of the loop containing A2. The previously derived equations are equally applicable to the circuit of Fig. 1(b). With respect to stability considerations, A2 by itself must be stable, and the loop containing A1 and A2 must be stable. The circuit of Fig. 1(b) is superior for the intended application to that of the equivalent implementation of Fig. 1(a) because an amplifier is eliminated, the number of circuit components is reduced, and the stability and interference problems are slightly mitigated.
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The Ratio Correction (RC) is defined by the equation: \( \text{True Ratio} = \text{Nominal Ratio} \times (1 + \text{RC}) \).

Positive phase angle of the divider ratio means that the output voltage phasor leads the input voltage phasor.

The data set 2 for the divider ratio at 120 V was obtained 30 days after data set 1.

### TABLE II
**MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Uncertainty</th>
<th>Ratio Error ((x 10^{-6}))</th>
<th>Phase Angle ((\mu \text{rad}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial calibration</td>
<td>(\pm 1.2)</td>
<td>(\pm 1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic circuit</td>
<td>(\pm 0.6)</td>
<td>(\pm 0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient temperature</td>
<td>(\pm 0.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power coefficient</td>
<td>(\pm 1.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation</td>
<td>(\pm 0.6)</td>
<td>(\pm 0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead impedances</td>
<td>(\pm 0.6)</td>
<td>(\pm 0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSS</td>
<td>(\pm 2.0)</td>
<td>(\pm 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(RSS)</td>
<td>(\pm 4.0)</td>
<td>(\pm 3.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The estimated uncertainties of the divider, excluding variations in the resistance ratio due to the power coefficient, which effectively are applied as corrections, are given in Table II. The bottom line in the table represents 0.95 probability of the uncertainties being within the stated limits.

### VI. CONCLUSIONS

A voltage divider for extending the calibration range of wattmeters and watthour meters has been developed and constructed. It easily meets the accuracy requirements for calibration of industrial standards for which an uncertainty of \( \pm 50 \times 10^{-6} \) is offered. For the highest accuracy standards such as those involved in international comparisons among national standards laboratories for which voltage scaling uncertainty within \( \pm 5 \times 10^{-6} \) is required, calibration of the instrument at the operating voltage is needed. An amplifier circuit enables operating moderately high-resistance-value resistors as precision three-terminal impedance elements and also provides low-impedance output without contributing any additional errors.

At the present time the accuracy limitation is imposed by the power coefficient of the precision resistors, the reduction of which is being explored.
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