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A capacitance standard based directly on the definition of capacitance was built. Single-electron tunneling devices were used to place \( N \) electrons of charge \( e \) onto a cryogenic capacitor \( C \), and the resulting voltage change \( \Delta V \) was measured. Repeated measurements of \( C = \frac{N e}{\Delta V} \) with this method have a relative standard deviation of \( 0.3 \times 10^{-6} \). This standard offers a natural basis for capacitance analogous to the Josephson effect for voltage and the quantum Hall effect for resistance.

In the past four decades, there has been an accelerating trend in metrology toward standards based on fundamental quantum properties of nature. Until 1960, all units in what is now the International System of Units (SI) were based on carefully constructed artifacts and classical physics (1). Quantum physics first entered the SI in 1960, when the definition of the meter was based on the wavelength of radiation from a transition in the Kr atom. A voltage standard based on the Josephson effect was first adopted in 1972 and refined in 1990, and a resistance standard based on the quantum Hall effect was adopted in 1990 (2, 3). For capacitance, the best existing standards are known as “calculable capacitors” and rely on a special arrangement of several electrodes such that the capacitance per unit length is related to the permittivity of free space (a defined constant in the SI) (4). Realizing such a standard requires precise alignment of electrodes of order 1 m in length, one of which must be movable, and compensation of end effects in order to make a system of finite length behave like an infinite system over a limited range. With the development over the past decade of single-electron tunneling (SET) devices that can precisely manipulate and detect single electrons (5), it is now possible to create a capacitance standard based on the quantization of electric charge (6). Such a standard, which we describe here, places capacitance metrology on a quantum basis and is a natural complement to the voltage and resistance standards adopted in 1990 (7).

Our capacitance standard combines SET devices and a low-loss cryogenic capacitor. We explain the operation of the standard, demonstrate its repeatability and uncertainty, and consider the prospects for developing our prototype into a practical calibration system. This stan-
SET devices exploit the energy required to charge a capacitance $C$, with one electron, $e^2/2C$. For even the smallest value of capacitance in common electronic components, 1 pF, this charging energy corresponds to a temperature of order 1 mK and is negligible in comparison to thermal fluctuations. However, modern nanolithography allows the fabrication of ultrasmall tunnel junctions with $C_0 = 0.1$ fF and $e^2/2C_0 \approx 10$ K. When such junctions are cooled to of order 0.1 K, SET effects completely dominate thermal fluctuations. To illustrate how SET devices allow the precise manipulation of individual electrons, we briefly explain the electron pump (12) shown in Fig. 1A. It consists of a chain of tunnel junctions ($\pm 40$ nm by 40 nm), with a gate capacitor coupled to the island of metal between each pair of junctions (13). With no voltages applied to the gate capacitors, tunneling is suppressed by the charging energy barrier. When the gates are pulsed in sequence, this barrier is selectively lowered to allow tunneling at one junction after another, and a single quantum of charge is transferred through the pump. Using our seven-junction pumps (14), we can routinely transfer billions of electrons with one error in every $10^8$ attempts (15).

In defining capacitance, we consider the transfer of a charge $Q$ between two conductors. The charge transfer creates a potential difference $\Delta V$, and the capacitance is simply $C = Q/\Delta V$. Figure 1B shows a simple implementation of this definition based on counting electrons. Our SET capacitance standard, shown in Fig. 2A, has three critical components: (i) a seven-junction electron pump (8, 9), (ii) a two-junction SET transistor/electrometer (5) ("E" in Fig. 2A) that can detect a charge of order $e/100$ at its input capacitor, and (iii) a cryogenic vacuum-gap capacitor (10) having nearly ideal properties, in particular, extremely small leakage and frequency dependence. This capacitor has a three-terminal design with a well-defined value that is insensitive to stray capacitance.

Operation of the SET capacitance standard occurs in two phases, which we select by setting the mechanical cryogenic switches (9) N1 and N2. The configuration in Fig. 2A is used to determine $C$ by counting electrons. As the pump transfers electrons, the voltage across it must be kept near zero to avoid errors. The electrometer accomplishes this by acting as a null detector for a feedback circuit that applies a voltage to the outside of $C$ in order to keep the island between the pump and capacitor at virtual ground. This also ensures that all charge transferred through the pump appears at $C$ and not at the 10-pF stray capacitance. After N electrons have passed through the pump, we stop the pump and measure $\Delta V$. The configuration in Fig. 2B is used to compare $C$ with another capacitor $C_{ref}$ at room temperature using a conventional ac bridge. With voltages $V_1$ and $V_2$ adjusted to balance the bridge, $C/C_{ref} = V_2/V_1$.

Figure 3 shows the voltage across the capacitor as the pump transfers electrons in one direction, stops for 20 s, transfers the same number in the other direction, and repeats. We averaged the voltage data on each 20-s plateau and calculated voltage differences between successive plateaus, thus obtaining many values of $\Delta V$ for each set of data like that in Fig. 3. The average $\langle \Delta V \rangle$ determines the capacitance

$$C = Ne/\langle \Delta V \rangle \quad (1)$$

While the standard is kept at its operating temperature of 40 mK, the relative variations in the value of $C$ are of order $1 \times 10^{-5}$ part per million (ppm), as demonstrated in Figs. 4, A and B (16). The relative standard deviation of each set of data is 0.3 ppm for Fig. 4A, and 0.7 ppm for Fig. 4B. The larger scatter in Fig. 4B is probably due to fluctuations in the dimensions of the vacuum-gap capacitor that did not occur during the shorter measurement period of Fig. 4A. We tested the voltage dependence of the value of $C$ by pumping different numbers of electrons onto the capacitor, and Fig. 4C shows that there is no voltage dependence within the resolution of our measurements.

Before considering the accuracy of the SET capacitance standard, we must discuss the uncertainty (17) of each factor in Eq. 1. From independent tests of the pump immediately before and after operation of the standard, $N$ has a relative uncertainty of 0.01 ppm. The uncertainties of $e$ and $\langle \Delta V \rangle$ are small, and to explain them, we must briefly review the voltage standard adopted in 1990. An array of Josephson junctions excited at a frequency produces a voltage $N_90/V_90$, where $n$ is an integer and $K_90 = 2e/h$, where $h$ is the Planck constant. In 1990, the following exact value was adopted by international convention: $K_90 = 483.957.9$ GHz/V. This adoption of a defined value with no uncertainty established the 1990 volt, which is denoted by $V_90$, and is related to the SI volt by $V_{90}/V = K_{90}/K_90$. The value of $K_{90}$ was chosen so that the 1990 volt is expected to be equivalent to the SI volt, but this equivalence has a relative uncertainty of 0.8 ppm because of uncertainties in our knowledge of various
fundamental constants (2). We measured $\Delta V$ in terms of $V_{\text{ref}}$ (18), whereas for comparison with another fundamental capacitance standard we must express $C$ in terms of the SI farad. If we naively use the recommended uncertainties (19) for the SI value of $e$ (0.6 ppm) and for $V_{\text{ref}}/V$ (0.8 ppm), we find a combined uncertainty of $(0.6^2 + 0.8^2)^{1/2} = 1$ ppm. This implies that no matter how small the experimental uncertainty of the SET capacitance standard, the total uncertainty of $C$ in SI units cannot be smaller than 1 ppm. However, this approach ignores the fact that the uncertainties in $e$ and $V_{\text{ref}}/V$ are correlated. To account for this correlation, we express $e$ in terms of the finite structure constant $\alpha$ and $K_0$ as follows: $e = (4\alpha/\mu_0 c)(1/K_0)$, where $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}\text{N}/\text{A}^2$ is the permeability of free space and $c = 299792458\text{m/s}$ is the speed of light in vacuum (6). The expression for $C$ then becomes

$$C = \frac{N e}{(4\alpha/\mu_0 c)(1/K_0)} = \frac{N(4\alpha/\mu_0 c)}{(\Delta V)^2} \text{V}$$

$$= \frac{N(4\alpha/\mu_0 c)}{\Delta V} \text{V}$$

where $|x|$ denotes the dimensionless numerical value of $x$ when it is measured in the system of units $s$. Because $\mu_0$, $c$, and $K_0$ are defined constants, the only nonexperimental uncertainty in Eq. 2 is that of $\alpha$. Currently, the recommended value (19) of $\alpha = 7.29735358 \times 10^{-3}$ has a relative uncertainty of $0.69\text{ppm}$, but this is expected to decrease by about 10% in the near future. Thus, the SET capacitance standard potentially offers a value of $C$ in SI units with a total uncertainty of order 0.01 ppm if the experimental uncertainties in $N$ and $\langle\Delta V\rangle$ can be made sufficiently small.

The accuracy of the SET capacitance standard can be tested by comparing the value of $C$ found by counting electrons with the value measured in terms of another fundamental standard, such as a calculable capacitor. We accomplished this by using a commercial capacitance bridge (20) operating at 1000 Hz and calibrated with a 10-pF silica-dielectric capacitor traceable to NIST’s calculable capacitor at 1592 Hz. Figure 3 shows the values of $C$ found from counting electrons and from the bridge for the same experimental runs as Fig. 4. A and B. The uncertainty bars on the electron counting values are $U_{\text{tot}} C$, where $U_{\text{tot}} = [0.09 + 0.12 + 0.12 + (2\sigma)^2]^{1/2}$. The combined relative uncertainty (in parts per million) from $\alpha$, $N$, the voltmeter itself, and the statistical variations in each set of data. The uncertainty bars on the bridge values are $\pm 2.4\text{ppm}$ owing to the uncertainty in the value of the 10-pF capacitor at 1000 Hz. Within these uncertainties, the measurement from counting electrons agrees with the measurement traceable to a calculable capacitor. We think that the actual systematic errors in the SET capacitance standard are smaller than the upper bound of $\pm 2 \text{ppm}$ demonstrated here, and we are pursuing a better comparison with a calculable capacitor.

The performance of our prototype is already impressive enough to suggest that a capacitance standard based on counting electrons will play an important role in electrical metrology. However, there are several issues that must be addressed before the SET capacitance standard can fulfill this promise (11). We briefly mention here three important issues, with further details to be presented elsewhere. (i) The frequency dependence of the cryogenic capacitor must be very small because the measurement of $C$ by counting electrons occurs at an effective frequency much lower than that used for bridge comparisons. (ii) The input noise of the electrometer limits the ability of the feedback circuit to maintain virtual ground between the pump and the capacitor. Reducing this noise, which has a 1/10 power spectrum and is caused by moving charged defects within or near the electrometer, will be important in achieving the full potential of the standard. (iii) A thorough analysis of the circuits in Fig. 2 must be performed to determine the magnitudes of all possible uncertainties in both phases of operation.

The SET capacitance standard described here makes it possible to place capacitance metrology on a quantum basis, as was done previously for voltage and resistance. For this new standard to become a practical tool for metrologists, it must be developed into a system that is robust and easy to use, with a total red with uncertainties of order 0.1 ppm. Although the engineering challenges involved are substantial, our present understanding of the requirements for reliable operation of SET devices indicates that they can be met. We hope that ultimately the SET capacitance standard will join the Josephson voltage standard and the quantum Hall resistance standard as a widely adopted natural standard for electrical metrology.
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