Contact Damage Resistance and Strength Degradation of Glass-infiltrated Alumina and Spinel Ceramics
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Abstract. All-ceramic crowns are coming into widespread use because of their superior esthetics and chemical inertness. This study examines the hypothesis that glass-infiltrated alumina and spinel core ceramics are resistant to damage accumulation and strength degradation under representative oral contact conditions. Accordingly, Hertzian indentation testing with hand spheres is used to evaluate damage accumulation in alumina and spinel ceramics with different pre-form grain morphologies and porosities. Indentation stress-strain curves measured on fully infiltrated materials reveal a marked insensitivity to the starting pre-form state. The glass phase is shown to play a vital role in providing mechanical rigidity and strength to the ceramic structures. All the infiltrated ceramics show subsurface core fracture and quasi-plastic deformation above critical loads $P_c$ (cracking) and $P_y$ (yield), depending on sphere radius, with $P_y < P_c$. Strength degradation from accumulation of damage in Hertzian contacts above these critical loads is conspicuously small, suggesting that the infiltrated materials should be highly damage-tolerant to the "blunt" contacts encountered during mastication. Failure in the strength tests originates from either cone cracks ("brittle mode") or yield zones ("quasi-plastic mode"), with the brittle mode more dominant in the spinels and the quasi-plastic mode more dominant in the aluminas. Multi-cycle contacts at lower loads, but still above loads typical of oral function, are found to be innocuous up to $10^7$ cycles in air and water, although contacts at $10^8$ cycles in water do cause significant strength degradation. By contrast, contacts with Vickers indenters produce substantial strength losses at low loads, suggesting that the mechanical integrity of these materials may be compromised by inadvertent "sharp" contacts.
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Introduction

Glass-infiltrated ceramics are in clinical use as core materials in all-ceramic crowns and as inlays and onlays (Cluys, 1990; Probstler and Deyhle, 1992; Probstler, 1993; Hornberger, 1995; Rinke et al., 1995; Seghi and Sorensen, 1995; Wolf, 1995; Giordano, 1996; Hornberger et al., 1996; Wolf et al., 1996; Kelly, 1997). The distinctive advantage of these ceramics is easy near-net-shape forming, yet high strength in the infiltrated state. However, these ceramics are subject to damage accumulation from repeated oral contact loading, even when covered by porcelain veneers. This disposition to contact damage is borne out by some reports of failures of all-ceramic crowns after a few years of use (Gindrat et al., 1996; Kelly, 1997). It is therefore important to understand the damage and failure mechanisms in infiltrated ceramic materials under clinically relevant contact loading conditions in controlled laboratory settings. Accordingly, this study examines the hypothesis that multi-cycle contact fatigue in water does not cause significant strength degradation in glass-infiltrated alumina and spinel dental ceramics under representative oral loading conditions.

Of the available glass-infiltrated dental ceramics for use as core materials in crowns, alumina and spinel are the most widely used clinically. Alumina is the stronger of the two, while spinel is the more translucent (and may potentially be used without a veneer [Giordano, 1996]). These materials are supplied under the commercial name In-Ceram (Vita Zahnfabrik, Bad Sackingen, Germany)—as powders for slip-casting (alumina), and as dry-pressed partially sintered blocks for machining (alumina and spinel). Previous studies on alumina indicate that the microstructures of the slip-cast and dry-pressed materials are different: Whereas the microstructure in the slip-cast material is coarse and more heterogeneous, with platelike grains (Hornberger, 1995; Hornberger et al., 1996), the dry-pressed material is finer and more homogeneous (Peterson et al., 1998). In this context, it is useful to understand any effects of pre-form archi-
Materials preparation and characterization

Specimens of alumina and magnesium-aluminate spinline were supplied by Vita Zahnfabrik (Bad Sackingen, Germany), in both pre-form and infiltrated states. (The mention of any commercial product in this paper does not imply endorsement by NIST.) The alumina pre-form structures were received in two forms, dry-pressed and slip-cast. The slip-cast alumina material (Vita In-Ceram®) is designed to be applied in layers onto a die and is sold commercially as a powder; the dry-pressed material (Vita Celay In-Ceram®) is designed to be machined from blanks into the shape of the restoration by means of the CELAY system (Kappert and Knobe, 1993; Seghi and Sorensen, 1995; Giordano, 1996). The degrees of porosity of these two pre-forms were 20 vol% and 30 vol%, respectively (manufacturer’s specifications). The pre-forms of spinline (Vita In-Ceram Spinline®) were also received in two forms; both dry-pressed but with different degrees of porosity, 12 vol% and 20 vol% (manufacturer’s specifications). All the pre-forms for this study were machined into bars measuring 3 x 4 x 25 mm before infiltration by the supplier. Some uninfilled pre-form bars were set aside for comparison testing.

Most of the bars were infiltrated by the supplier with a La2O3-Al2O3-B2O3-SiO2 glass (Vita In-Ceram A3.5®) by heat treatment for 80 min at 1100°C in the case of dry-pressed alumina, for 6 hrs at 1100°C in the case of slip-cast alumina, and for 20 min at 1130°C in the case of the spinline. After the bars cooled, the excess glass was removed by sandblasting. All specimens were ground to 10 μm finish on the top and bottom surfaces and were subsequently polished on the top surface to 1 μm in our laboratories. Selected polished surfaces were carbon-coated and examined in the scanning electron microscope so that the microstructures would be revealed.

For reference, some bulk glass specimens were prepared as disks 35 mm in diameter and 5 mm thick from the supplied glass powder by being heated to 1200°C in a gold-platinum crucible. After being air-cooled, these disks were given a second (annealing) heat treatment at 940°C for 1 hr. The surfaces of the disks were ground flat and polished, as described above.

Young’s modulus was measured for the infiltrated structures and for the bulk glass infiltrate material by means of a routine pulse-echo sonic technique (McKamin, 1981; Blessing, 1988). In this technique, an ultrasonic pulse is sent into the material, and the time interval between successive echoes is monitored by means of a transducer. Given the distance traveled by the signal during this time interval and the velocity of the signal, plus the density of the material (measured here by Archimedes’ method), Young’s modulus and Poison’s ratio can be calculated. In the present work, measurements were made on 5 specimens for each material.

We made Vickers diamond pyramid indentations on all materials to determine values of hardness (Tabor, 1951) and toughness (Amstis et al., 1981) from measurements of plastic impression diagonals and radial crack lengths in conjunction with conventional indentation formulae. A minimum of 20 indentations was used on at least 4 specimens for each material over load ranges for which the hardness impressions and radial cracks were well-formed (from 5 to 10 N for hardness, and from 30 to 100 N for toughness).

Figure 1. Schematic of Hera trim contact test, with sphere of radius r at load P over contact radius s. Specimen is pre-sectioned (vertical dashed line) to form ‘bonded-interface’ specimen.
Contact damage and strength tests

Contact damage in the alumina and spinel specimens was introduced by means of the Hertzian test configuration shown in Fig. 1, with spherical tungsten carbide (WC) indenters. This test has been described in detail elsewhere (Guiberteau et al., 1993; Peterson et al., 1998), and only a brief description will be given here. All indentations in the contact experiments were made at crosshead speeds of 0.2 mm/min, in air. We used hard WC spheres rather than a softer material similar in modulus to enamel, simply to avoid excessive deformation of the indenters, noting that softer indenters may still produce the same damage but at higher loads (Tabor, 1951).

Elastic-plastic responses of the aluminas and spinels were quantified by means of indentation stress-strain curves (Shear and Lawn, 1969; Guiberteau et al., 1993; Cai et al., 1994). Contact radii were measured from residual traces on gold-coated specimen surfaces at prescribed loads P and sphere radii r, enabling plots of indentation stress, \( p = P/r^2 \), against indentation strain, \( \varepsilon \), to be calculated. Nonlinearity in such curves indicates the presence of plastic damage in the materials. In the present tests, a range of WC sphere sizes, \( r = 1.54-1.54 \, \text{mm} \), was used to determine these curves. On these plots, each individual indentation produces a single point. A minimum of 20 indentations on 5 specimens was used to construct the curve for each material.

Surface and subsurface damage produced by the Hertzian contacts was observed by means of bonded-interface specimens (Mulhearn, 1995; Guiberteau et al., 1994; Peterson et al., 1998), in which two polished half-blocks were bonded together side-to-side with cyanacrylate-based adhesive (Locite Corp., Newton, CT) prior to indentation. Single-cycle indentations were made on the top surfaces of the specimens, with WC spheres of radius \( r = 3.18 \, \text{mm} \) at load \( P = 2500 \, \text{N} \), in a row along the interface trace, spaced at least 4 mm apart. After completion of the indentations, the glue was dissolved in acetone to separate the surfaces. A thin coating of gold was applied to improve the contrast for qualitative identification of damage modes by optical microscopy in Nomarski interference.

Critical indentation loads for the onset of deformation, \( P_C \), and first cracking, \( P_C' \), were measured over a range of sphere radii \( r = 1.54-1.54 \, \text{mm} \). These critical loads were determined visually from post-failure optical microscopy (Davies, 1949; Peterson et al., 1998) by means of Nomarski interference contrast on the indented specimen surfaces—in these observations, a

![Figure 2. Scanning electron micrographs showing microstructures of glass-infiltrated In-Ceram materials (A) alumina, slip-cast, 20 vol% glass; (B) alumina, dry-pressed, 30 vol% glass; (C) spinel, dry-pressed, 12 vol% glass; (D) spinel, dry-pressed, 20 vol% glass. As-polished surfaces, carbon-coated. Light phase is glass. Backscattered electron images.](http://example.com/figure2.png)
post-contact gold coating was useful in enhancing image contrast. Means and uncertainty bounds for $P_1$ were determined from the load ranges over which the yield zones were both completely undetectable (lower limit) and clearly visible (upper limit). Analogous limits for $P_2$ were determined from the load ranges over which the cone cracks first appeared on the surfaces as incipient shallow arcs and finally completed themselves as full surface rings around the contact. A minimum of 5 indentations at each ball size on each of 3 specimens was used to determine these limits.

Strength tests were conducted on bend bars after indentation with WC spheres of radius $r = 3.18$ mm. Single-cycle indentations were made at loads up to $P = 2500$ N, in air. Multi-cycle indentations were made at load $P = 500$ N for up to $n = 10^6$ cycles at frequency 10 Hz, in either air or water. In the slip-cast alumina, a few comparison tests were run on specimens indented with a Vickers diamond pyramid, at load $P = 100$ N. The indented specimens were then placed in a four-point bend fixture, inner span 10 mm and outer span 20 mm, with the indentations centrally located on the tensile side. A drop of silicone oil was placed onto each indentation site prior to bending, and the bending tests were conducted at a fast loading rate (500 mm/min), fracture time $< 40$ ms, to minimize environmental effects in the strength data (Manhall and Lawn, 1980). Surface tension maintains the oil within the cracks in the inverted specimens during bending. Beam theory was used to determine the failure strengths from the breaking loads. Means and standard deviations for the strength values were obtained from an average of 5 breaks at each prescribed contact load.

## Results

### Materials characterization

Fig. 2 shows scanning electron micrographs of the infiltrated microstructures of alumina (Figs. 2A, 2B) and spinel (Figs. 2C, 2D). Dark areas indicate pre-form grains and light areas $\text{LaO}_2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{B}_6\text{O}_{12}\text{Si}_2$ glass infiltrate. In the alumina, the grains in the slip-cast material (Fig. 2A) are larger and more elongate than those in the dry-pressed material (Fig. 2B), reflecting differences in the size and shape distributions of the starting powders (Hornberger, 1995; Hornberger et al., 1996). (The texture in the former microstructure indicates some alignment of the alumina platelets from the pre-form slip-casting process.) In the spinel, the different glass contents reflect the pre-form porosities of 12% (Fig. 2C) and 20% (Fig. 2D). The dry-pressed spinel microstructures are geometrically similar to that of the dry-pressed alumina, though the spinel is substantially coarser.

Values of Young’s modulus $E$ measured by means of the pulse-echo technique are listed in the Table, along with values of hardness $H$ and toughness $KIC$ from Vickers indentations, for the infiltrated structures and for the bulk infiltrate glass. Density measurements on the infiltrated materials indicate about 2 vol% residual porosity in the two aluminas and in the 20 vol% glass spinel material, and about 4 vol% residual porosity in the 12 vol% glass spinel.

### Damage and strength properties

Indentation stress-strain data for the glass-infiltrated alumina and spinel structures (filled symbols), along with data for the corresponding pre-forms (open symbols), are shown in Fig. 3. The solid curves are empirical fits through the data. The curves for the pre-forms are strongly dependent on porosity, architecture, and degree of sintering—note that the pre-form with lower porosity is harder in the spinel, whereas the opposite is true in the aluminas. On the other hand, the curves for the infiltrated structures are relatively insensitive to these factors. The data for the infiltrated aluminas (Fig. 3A) are slightly higher on the stress scale than those for the infiltrated spinels (Fig. 3B), consistent with slightly higher values of modulus and hardness in the Table. All the data show some non-linearity in the high-stress region, indicating the onset of “yield”.

Micrographs from bonded-interface specimens show surface and subsurface damage patterns in the infiltrated structures, for contacts at $r = 3.18$ mm and $P = 2500$ N (Fig. 4a): (A) infiltrated slip-cast alumina, (B) dry-pressed alumina, (C) 12 vol% glass spinel.
vol% glass spinel, and (D) 20 vol% glass spinel. These micrographs show well-developed quasi-plastic deformation and cone cracking. The largest of these cone cracks appear to be comparable in depth to the damage zones in the aluminas, but deeper in the spinels. Multiple cone cracks are visible in each material.

Critical loads for yield, \( P_c \), and for cone cracking, \( P_{cc} \), are plotted in Fig. 5 as a function of sphere radius \( r \) for all infiltrated materials. Both \( P_c \) and \( P_{cc} \) increase monotonically with \( r \), indicating higher damage thresholds for "blunter" contacts. Note that these loads are insensitive to pre-form architecture or porosity. The values of \( P_c \) are lower than those of \( P_c \) in all material systems, although the differences are substantially less in the spinel (Fig. 5B) than in the aluminas (Fig. 5A). The relatively large load range for primary cone cracking (up to \( +25 \% \) of mean value) encompasses a prolonged evolutionary development in the crack geometry: initially as shallow, partial surface area; then as circumferential, shallow surface rings; and finally as fully developed cones. At higher loads, the surface traces of the primary cone cracks become engulfed within the expanding quasi-plastic compression-buckling zone and close up, accounting for the initiation of secondary, shallower ring cracks of greater surface radii seen in Fig. 4 (Lawn et al., 1998). The shaded areas in Fig. 5, labeled "orale zone," represent "typical" mas- ticatory conditions (Peterson et al., 1998). Note that in both material systems the \( P_c \) and \( P_{cc} \) data lie above these orale zones over most of the data range—intersections with this zone occur only at very small \( r \), and only with the \( P_c \) data.

Strengths of the glass-infiltrated alumina and spinel materials are shown as a function of Hertzian contact load in Fig. 6, after indentation at \( r = 3.18 \) mm, in air. In these plots, the data points are experimental results, and the solid curves are empirical fits through the data. Filled circles represent failures from indentation damage sites: in the alumina, frac- ture originates from either cone cracks or quasi- plastic zones, in the manner shown in Fig. 7; in the spinel, fracture origi- nates almost exclusively from cone cracks. Open circles in Fig. 6 represent failures from natural flaws in indented specimens. Open boxes at the strength axes represent fail- ures from natural flaws in unindented (as-polished) infiltrated specimens. Lower shaded boxes on these same axes represent failures from natural flaws in unindented porous pre-forms—clearly, the infiltration greatly strengthens the structures. Shaded boxes on the load axes indicate the ranges of \( P_c \) and \( P_{cc} \) for \( r = 3.18 \) mm (from Fig. 5). The strength data show a very modest decline in strength above the onset of contact damage in all four materials, out to high loads, \( P = 2500 \) N. Note the higher post-damage strengths of the aluminas in comparison with the spinels, consistent with the higher toughness values in the Table.

Results of a contact fatigue cress study on slip-cast alu- mina are shown in Fig. 8 for indentations at load \( P = 500 \) N and \( r = 3.18 \) mm in water. In this case study, the slip-cast alumina is chosen because of its relative susceptibility to quasi-plasticity, the load \( P = 500 \) N because it lies just above \( P_c \) for yield (but well below \( P_c \) for cracking) (Fig. 5), and water because it represents a highly deleterious environ- ment. In Fig. 8A, strengths are plotted as a function of num- ber of cycles. These strengths remain unaffected up to \( n = 10^5 \) cycles, but fall off precipitously at \( n = 10^6 \). The indented surface, relatively featureless up to \( n = 10^6 \), shows signs of surface "fretting" damage after 10^5 cycles in water (Fig. 8B). Examination of the specimens after strength testing indicates breaks from natural flaws up to \( n = 10^6 \) (open symbols); at \( n = 10^6 \), breaks occur from the damage zone with the same failure pattern as Fig. 7B, indicating the build-up of strength- degrading subsurface quasi-plasticity.

The bar chart of Fig. 9 compares strength data for the infiltrated alumina and spinel materials, for unindented (as-polished) and indented \( r = 3.18 \) mm, \( P = 500 \) N status, and for single-cycle and multiple-cycle contacts. For each material group, the bar sequence is as follows: a, as-polished, unin- dented; b, single-cycle indentations, in air; c, multi-cycle indentations, \( n = 10^5 \), in water; and d, multi-cycle indentations, \( n = 10^6 \), in water. In all materials, fractographic ob- servations confirm failures from natural flaws in the states a-c, and fav- out indentation sites, specifically quasi-plastic zones, in states d. ANOVA and Newman Keuls Multiple Comparisons tests show no significant differences (p > 0.05) among states a-c within each material group, indicating a high degree of damage tolerance in these materials. Strength reductions for the state d at 10^5 cycles in water relative to states a-c are sig- nificant for the first three material groups (p < 0.05), but not for the 20 vol% spinel (p > 0.05).

Comparisons between the strengths of the four materials across all test conditions found no significant strength differ- ences between the infiltrated slip-cast and dry-pressed alumina, or between the infiltrated spinels (p > 0.05). On the other hand, the difference in strengths of the two spinels rela- tive to the two aluminas in Fig. 9 is significant (p < 0.0001).

A comparison set of strength tests on slip-cast alumina after Vickers indentation at a relatively low sin- gle-cycle load \( P = 100 \) N shows a much greater drop in strength, to 199±38 MPa (cf. 430±26 MPa for Hertzian indentation at \( P = 2500 \) N, Fig. 6), characteristic of a more brittle response. This simple result indi- cates that the damage tolerance implied above for single-cycle blunt contacts does not extend to extreme sharp contacts.

---

**Table. Material parameters (means ± standard deviations) for glass-infiltrated alumina and spinel ceramics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Pre-form</th>
<th>Glass Content (vol%)</th>
<th>Young's Modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>Hardness (GPa)</th>
<th>Toughness (MPa m&lt;sup&gt;1/2&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alumina</td>
<td>slip-cast</td>
<td>271 ± 9</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.89 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumina</td>
<td>dry-pressed</td>
<td>254 ± 4</td>
<td>11.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinel</td>
<td>dry-pressed</td>
<td>12 ± 7</td>
<td>11.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinel</td>
<td>dry-pressed</td>
<td>203 ± 3</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>infiltrate</td>
<td>101 ± 3</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Measured over Vickers load range 5-10 N for hardness, and 30-100 N for toughness.*
Discussion

We have demonstrated that glass-infiltrated alumina and spinel dental ceramics are subject to damage accumulation in high-load contacts. We identify two distinct modes of damage (Fig. 4), cone cracking (brittle mode) and yield deformation (quasi-plastic mode). In their well-developed states, these two competing damage types appear to be of comparable scales. However, the critical load for yield, \( P_y \), is considerably lower than that for cracking, \( P_c \), over a wide range of indenter radii (Fig. 5), especially in the aluminas. These results imply a class of forgiving ceramic materials that are able to absorb a substantial portion of the contact strain energy in quasi-plastic deformation rather than in fracture, and that are less susceptible to catastrophic failure than traditional brittle materials like glasses, porcelains, and other fine-grain ceramics.

The stress-strain data for the pre-form and infiltrated alumina and spinel materials (Fig. 3) provide some instructive intra-comparisons. The key finding in these data is the relative insensitivity of the responses of the infiltrated materials to the starting pre-form microstructures. This insensitivity extends to the critical loads for yield and cracking (Fig. 5). On the other hand, the comparative stress-strain curves for the pre-forms in Fig. 3 lie well below those for the corresponding infiltrated materials, indicating that porosity (as well as architecture—recall the inversion of the 30% and 20% data in Fig. 3A) can be a vital factor in performance. This indication is consistent with previous observations of greatly enhanced quasi-plasticity in porous alumina ceramics, in which deformation may accumulate rapidly from pore collapse or enhanced micro-cracking (Latella et al., 1997). The implication is that the...
properties of the alumina and spinel materials are not highly dependent on the processing route, provided the glass infiltration is essentially complete (recall the porosities 2–4% in our infiltrated materials).

Some caution needs to be exercised when using the bonded-interface technique to evaluate the extent of damage. As previously pointed out (Peterson et al., 1998), comparisons with polished sections made after indentation indicate that the damage configurations are qualitatively representative of those in bulk specimens, but that absolute dimensions of the damage types can be affected by the presence of the soft adhesive interface between the two half-specimens. Specifically, the sizes of the cone cracks on the separated faces can be exaggerated relative to the true values in a bulk specimen. These crack sizes may also be enhanced by residual stresses associated with the quasi-plastic zones—such stresses are known to continue to drive existing cracks, and even to create new cracks, well after indentation is complete, especially in the vicinity of free surfaces (Lawn et al., 1985; Fadnure, 1993). (For this reason, it is desirable to keep the adhesive layer as thin as possible, preferably ≤ 10 μm.) Accordingly, the bonded-interface specimen should not be regarded as a reliable source of quantitative analysis. Nevertheless, as a qualitative tool for observing the form of the damage modes, particularly the quasi-plasticity modes, the bonded-interface provides much more striking visible information than conventional polished sections.

Strength degradation associated with damage from single-cycle "blunt" Hertzian contact occurs at high loads (P > 1000 N for indenters of radius r = 3.18 mm, Fig. 6) in all three infiltrated materials studied here. The strength losses in this...
high-load region are associated with failures from either cone cracks or quasi-plastic zones in the alumina (Fig. 7), predominantly from cone cracks in the spinel. However, these losses are modest, unlike the abrupt falloffs at \( P = P_c \) that typify traditional failures from cone cracks (Lawn et al., 1973, in press) and more like the gradual losses that characterize failures from quasi-plastic zones (Lawn et al., 1998; Lee and Lawn, in press; Peterson et al., 1998). The fact that, in the alumina, the failures mostly initiate from quasi-plastic zones instead of from cone cracks—not only confirms that the quasi-plastic zones are themselves far from benign but also suggests that these same quasi-plastic zones interact with the cone cracks to neutralize the latter’s effectiveness. The fact that the ring cracks form gradually into the full cone configuration over a broad spread of indentation loads (Figs. 5, 6), instead of spontaneously and abruptly from an initially invisible surface flaw at a well-defined critical load, suggests a strong moderating influence of the quasi-plasticity on the fracture mode.

For slip-cast alumina specimens containing “sharp” Vickers indentations at relatively low load \( (P = 100 \text{ N}) \), the strength loss is much more substantial (down to \( 199 \pm 3 \text{ MPa} \), relative to \( 430 \pm 26 \text{ MPa} \) for Hertzian indentations at \( P = 250 \text{ N} \), Fig. 6). Thus, concentrated sharp contacts, which traditionally produce more deleterious (radial) cracks at much lower loads (Lawn and Wilshaw, 1975; Lawn et al., 1976), could negate any damage tolerance.

From the standpoint of clinical relevance, the results presented in this study suggest that glass-infiltrated alumina and spinel cerametics are not highly susceptible to damage, at least for Hertzian contacts within the typical coronal radius range, 2.4 mm (Wheeler, 1958), and normal mastication loads up to 200 N (DeLong and Douglas, 1983; Anusavice, 1989; Phillips, 1991; Crisp, 1997) (oral zones, Fig. 5). Even the clear presence of well-developed cone cracks above critical initiation loads or above a critical number of cycles may not catastrophically diminish the strength of a damaged restoration (Fig. 4). On the other hand, the inadvertent contact with a sharp particle during chewing, even at loads well below the maximum in mastication, carries a real threat of introducing fatal flaws that could lead to premature failure. This enhanced susceptibility to sharp contacts is most pertinent to restorations that have direct access to the chewing environment, as with inlays and onlays. When the materials are used as core materials for crowns, porcelain veneers afford partial protection—there, the strength of the underlying core is threatened only by imperfect finishing of the initial restoration (e.g., from machining or sandblasting flaws (Peterson et al., in press)). In that case, the limiting factor becomes the strength of the porcelain veneer, or of the veneer/core interface.

A key factor in the oral environment is the presence of water. Water is notorious as a deleterious environment in crack growth (Wiederhorn, 1967) and diffuse damage accumulation (Gubertet et al., 1993) in ceramics. In Fig. 8A, the influence of water on the contact process is demonstrated in multi-cycle contact tests at a load level well below \( P_c \) but above \( P’_L = 500 \text{ N} \), \( r = 3.18 \text{ mm} \)—cf. Fig. 5. In those tests, no significant strength degradation is observed until a critical number of cycles is attained \((n \approx 10^8)\). In the critical region, superficial surface fretting (Fig. 8B), attributable to fractional sliding at asperities between indenter and speci-
men in an annular contact region (Johnson, 1985), somewhat obscures the true strength-degrading damage—subsurface quasi-plasticity in the slip-cast alumina and incipient surface ring cracking in the other materials. (While not usually deleterious to strength, the fretting damage may nevertheless be highly pertinent to wear properties.) Kennedy et al., 1994a.1 Such tests indicate that the values of $P_c$ and $P_i$ measured in ordinary atmospheres (e.g., Fig. 5) can be substantially diminished by chemical interactions. Earlier single-cycle Hertzian studies on soda-lime glass have demonstrated that the values of $P_c$ for cone fracture may be diminished by more than a factor of three by prolonged exposures to water under sustained loads (Langston and Lawn, 1970). Nevertheless, the $P_c$ values for the glass-infiltrated materials studied here lie far above the oral zone in Fig. 5 that water is unlikely to diminish the fracture thresholds sufficiently to pose the threat of brittle failure. On the other hand, the values of $P_i$ lie much closer to the oral zone, so that water-induced chemical effects may well be a crucial issue in the accelerated development of quasi-plasticity (Guthbertson et al., 1993). In this context, recall that the failure at $n = 10^6$ cycles in Fig. 8 indeed occurred in the quasi-plastic node. The micromechanics of chemically enhanced quasi-plasticity in dental ceramics requires further study.

As indicated in the “Experimental” section, hard WC spheres rather than lower modulus spheres more representative of tooth enamel were used in the present Hertzian tests. The use of WC spheres simply preserves the lifetime of the indenters. This choice of indenter material should not be seen as a limitation, because even soft indenters can create the same kind of contact damage in harder materials, albeit at higher loads. This is because the indentation pressures continue to rise well beyond the point of first yield in monotonic loading, so even a yielding indenter can ultimately generate high contact stresses (Tabor, 1951; Peterson et al., 1998). Testing with harder spheres would appear to place a lower bound on performance. On the other hand, the tests in the present study were conducted in purely normal loading, without the attendant sliding, spurious sharp contacts, or other deleterious conditions representative of actual mastication that might enhance failure of restorations. In this context, the Hertzian test should be viewed as an ideally simple tool for evaluating and screening prospective dental materials, rather than as a strict simulator of actual dental function.

From a materials processing standpoint, we have shown that the indentation stress-strain responses (Fig. 3), critical loads for the onset of damage (Fig. 5), and strengths of infiltrated materials after damage (Fig. 6) are somewhat insensitive to material pre-form architecture (again, provided the infiltration is complete). Thus, the infiltration processing route is very “forgiving”, and not as critically dependent on the skills of the dental technician, consistent with the relatively high survival rates of molar crowns prepared by this technology, at least in the short term (Proobster, 1993, 1996). The pre-form architecture itself may then be chosen on the basis of other factors (e.g., ease of processing, machinability), without compromising ultimate clinical lifetime.