Frustration driven stripe domain formation in Co/Pt multilayer films
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We report microscopic mechanisms for an unusual magnetization reversal behavior in Co/Pt multilayers where some of the first-order reversal curves protrude outside of the major loop. Transmission x-ray microscopy reveals a fragmented stripe domain topography when the magnetic field is reversed prior to saturation, in contrast to an interconnected pattern when reversing from a saturated state. The different domain nucleation and propagation behaviors are due to unannihilated domains from the prior field sweep. These residual domains contribute to random dipole fields that impede the subsequent domain growth and prevent domains from growing as closely together as for the interconnected pattern. © 2009 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.3179553]

Magnetic thin films with strong perpendicular anisotropy have been extensively studied for their fascinating magnetization reversal processes and important applications in perpendicular magnetic recording.1–7 These films typically undergo macroscopic magnetization reversal by nucleation and growth of microscopic domains. More generally, similar domain formation is observed in a wide range of other materials such as polymers, superconductors, liquid crystals, and ferrofluids,8,9 which have drastically different interactions that govern their behaviors. The domain topographies resulting from these interactions and from external effects such as field cycling, temperature, and strain may possess similarities within this diverse class of systems, and can certainly impact their macroscopic behavior.

Recent studies on perpendicular-anisotropy films have highlighted the role of the film microstructures on the macroscopic magnetization reversal.5,10–15 The presence of structural defects lead to numerous local minima in the energy landscape of the system. Under magnetic field cycling, these minima and the whole energy landscape evolve, which in turn determine the path the system takes to reconfigure. While it is common that the magnetic configurations depend on whether the system is cycled along the major or a minor loop,11 in most cases the minor loops themselves lie inside the major loop. In this letter we demonstrate a frustrated domain growth mechanism that leads to an unusual behavior in [Co(4 Å)/Pt(7 Å)]x multilayers where part of the minor loops actually protrude outside of the major loop.

The samples under investigation are Pt(200 Å)/[Co(4 Å)/Pt(7 Å)]x multilayer thin films with varying bilayer repeat X. The samples were grown by magnetron sputtering at 0.4 Pa (3 mtorr) Ar pressure and ambient temperature onto Si3N4 coated Si substrates, as well as Si3N4 membranes for transmission x-ray microscopy (TXRM) measurements. After deposition the films were capped with a 20 Å Pt layer. More details of the sample preparation can be found elsewhere.5,10,14

Magnetic properties were studied using an alternating gradient magnetometer at room temperature with the applied field perpendicular to the film. For detailed study of magnetization reversal behaviors we have employed a first-order reversal curve (FORC) technique, which quantifies the irreversible components of the magnetization with a FORC distribution: ρ = −∂2M(H, H∥)/∂H∂H∥, as described earlier.10,16,17 All measurements have been done with the same field sweep rate and dwell time after field setting. TXRM observation of the magnetization reversal process was obtained using the XM-1 zone-plate imaging microscope on beamline 6.1.2 at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory—Advanced Light Source (ALS). The x-ray energy was tuned to the Co L3 absorption edge (778 eV). Additionally, magneto-optical Kerr microscopy studies were carried out at the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

A family of FORCs and the corresponding FORC distribution for the X = 20 sample are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. The value of ρ(μωH∥, μωH) is represented by different contour shadings and reveals three distinct reversal stages:10 a horizontal ridge for −0.02 T < μωH∥ < 0 corresponds to an initial rapid and irreversible domain propagation from already nucleated sites, which corresponds to a

![FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) A family of FORCs and (b) the corresponding FORC distribution for a [Co(4 Å)/Pt(7 Å)]20 film.](image-url)
precipitous drop in the magnetization; a featureless plateau with $p=0$ for $-0.10 \ T < \mu_o H_R < -0.02 \ T$ due to a second stage of mostly reversible labyrinth domain expansion/contraction without significant change of the domain morphology; and finally a vertical negative/positive pair of peaks for $-0.25 \ T < \mu_o H_R < -0.10 \ T$ due to the irreversible domain annihilation process. While details of these features vary with $X$ (e.g., the nucleation and saturation fields, and the size of the $p=0$ plateau), qualitatively similar FORC distributions have been observed in samples with $X$ ranging from 10 to 150.

Close inspection of Fig. 1(a) reveals that while most of the FORCs reside within the major loop, some actually protrude outside of the ascending-field branch of the major loop. This unusual behavior is observed in samples with $X$ ranging from 10 to 50 and is more explicitly shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c) for samples with $X=20$ and 50, respectively. Highlighted in these figures are three key FORCs corresponding to (i) where the protrusion begins, (ii) where the protrusion is a maximum, and (iii) reversal from negative saturation. To better illustrate the reversal stages at which FORCs (i)–(iii) occur, the corresponding $\mu_o H_R$ values are marked as points (i)–(iii) on the FORC-derived switching field distribution (FORC-SFD) in Figs. 2(b) and 2(d). The FORC-SFD was obtained by projecting $p$ onto the $\mu_o H_R$ axis and yields the amount of irreversible switching that has occurred at a given $\mu_o H_R$.  

For $X=20$ the protrusion begins with the FORC reversing at $\mu_o H_R=-62 \ mT$ ($M/M_s=-0.32$) [FORC (i) in Fig. 2(a)]. This value of $\mu_o H_R$ is within the second stage of reversal, dominated by mostly reversible domain expansion [point (i) in Fig. 2(b)]. At more negative $\mu_o H_R$ the FORCs continue to extrude further outside the major loop until $\mu_o H_R=-135 \ mT$ ($M/M_s=-0.85$) [FORC (ii) in Fig. 2(a)], where the reversal is dominated by domain annihilation [point (ii) in Fig. 2(b)]. Further reducing $\mu_o H_R$ toward negative saturation, the FORCs begin to conform onto the ascending-field branch of the major loop [FORC (iii) in Fig. 2(a)] and the FORC-SFD becomes zero [point (iii) in Fig. 2(b)]. A similar trend is observed for $X=50$ where the protrusion also begins during the reversible domain expansion/contraction stage, and the maximum protrusion occurs during the annihilation stage [FORC/point (ii) in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)] with $M/M_s \sim -0.85$. One interesting difference to note is for $X=50$ the FORCs crossover the major loop at negative fields compared to positive fields for $X=20$. This difference is manifested in a monotonically demagnetization remanence curve for $X=20$ and a nonmonotonic one for $X=50$ [dashed line in Figs. 2(b) and 2(d), respectively].

Although the appearance of minor loops or FORCs crossing over the major loop has been observed before, the underlying mechanism is either unknown or not applicable to the present system. Thus we have employed TXRM to correlate the observed macroscopic behavior from FORC with the microscopic processes. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show two TXRM micrographs of the magnetic domain structure for $X=50$ over the same sample area, taken at applied fields of: (a) $90 \ mT$ and (b) $96 \ mT$ after first applying fields of (a) 350 and 306 mT, respectively. The dark features correspond to reversed domains. For $\mu_o H_{max}=350 \ mT$, the magnetization reversal starts from a completely saturated state; as the field is reduced reversal domains nucleate from only a few sites and propagate uniaxially through the sample, forming large and interconnected labyrinth domain networks. On the other hand the domain pattern for $\mu_o H_{max}=306 \ mT$ is largely disconnected, consisting of about 45 separate domain networks over the same sample area [Fig. 3(b)]. The key difference is that the $\mu_o H_{max}=306 \ mT$ is not enough to fully saturate the sample. This leaves behind many residual domains that become the nucleation sites for reverse domains during the subsequent field sweep. Along a given FORC, the size of each interconnected labyrinth domain grown from a residual domain is limited by the separation between the adjacent residual domains due to dipole fields; after the reversed domains have propagated throughout the sample (e.g., near zero applied field for this $X=50$ sample), the up and down domains balance out each other except for frustrated mo...
ments at the end points of the labyrinth domains. The result is an increased net magnetization. As $\mu_o H_R$ approaches negative saturation (a later FORC), the number of residual dom-

ains decrease and the size of the interconnected labyrinth networks increases; consequently the total frustrated moments reduce and a smaller net magnetization is observed. The overall effect is the protrusion of the FORCs outside of the major loop.

The differences in the domain pattern for $\mu_o H_{max} = 306$ mT compared to $\mu_o H_{max} = 350$ mT also extend to a difference in the number of topological defects in the domain structure. Here we define topological defects as “end points” where domain growth has terminated and “branch points” where domains branch into at least three directions. The domain pattern for $\mu_o H_{max} = 306$ mT has an 18% increase in end points and 59% decrease in branch points compared to $\mu_o H_{max} = 350$ mT. This difference is another indication that there is restriction of the domain growth due to frustration. In particular, the substantial decrease in branch points shows that domains are unable to branch out to maximize the areal coverage and are instead restricted to single linear patterns. Additionally, approximately 40 topological defects within the viewing area (corresponding to $1.8 \times 10^9$ defects/cm$^2$) remain at the same locations for both reversal fields, poten-
tially caused by structural defects (i.e., pinning sites) in the film.

It is worth noting that the history-dependent domain nucleation process does not always lead to protruding FORCs. Figures 3(c) and 3(d) show Kerr microscopy studies of a sample with $X=2$, which does not exhibit the aforementioned crossover behavior in the FORCs. The sample is first saturated in a field of $3.5$ mT, and a single reverse domain nucleates and propagates through the film with increasing fields [Fig. 3(c)]. When negative saturation is reached at $-15$ mT and the field is cycled through a new $\mu_o H_{max} = 3.5$ mT and brought back to $-3.5$ mT, multiple additional domains have nucleated [Fig. 3(d)]. Note that the domains during reversal are much larger than those in the $X=50$ sample.

The different reversal characteristics can be understood by considering the corresponding energies involved. As shown earlier, due to the competition between magnetostatic and domain wall energies, the domain size in these [Co(4 Å)/Pt(7 Å)]$_x$ films depends on $X$. For samples with $X<10$ the energy gain forming a domain state is so small and the sample prefers to be uniformly magnetized and reversed by large micron-sized domains. This results in square major loops with abrupt switching once nucleation has begun and no crossover behavior is observed. For $X>10$ there is significant energy gain forming the stripe phase. However, during the reversal process, the disconnected and irregular labyrinth domain patterns cause random dipole fields which impede the domain growth from renucleated domains, preven-
ting the domains from dense packing and growing togeth-
ether as closely as the interconnected domain structures. As a result, the different amount of frustrated moments gives rise to the protruded FORCs. Micromagnetic simulations have shown that along protruding portions of the FORCs the total energy is greater than that along the ascending-field branch of the major loop. This metastability of the microcopic magnetic configurations is to be expected in a frustrated system.

In summary we have demonstrated a microscopic mechanism for protruded FORCs observed in (Co/Pt)$_x$ multilayers due to frustrated domain growth. Magnetic imaging reveals significant changes in the domain topography when the sample is exposed to magnetic fields of different strengths. The isolated residual domains, which act as nucleation sites for the subsequent field sweep, contribute to random dipole fields that hinder domain growth, effectively setting boundaries for interconnected stripe domains. The different amounts of frustrated moments lead to the observed crossover behavior. These results may be relevant to other systems that exhibit stripe domains.
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