Performance of a residential heat pump operating in the cooling mode with single faults imposed
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**Abstract**

The system behavior of a R410A residential unitary split heat pump operating in the cooling mode was investigated. Seven artificial faults were implemented: compressor/reversing valve leakage, improper outdoor air flow, improper indoor air flow, liquid line restriction, refrigerant undercharge, refrigerant overcharge, and presence of non-condensable gas in the refrigerant. This study monitored eight fault detection features and identified the most sensitive features for each fault. The effect of the various fault levels on energy efficiency ratio (EER) was also estimated. Since the studied system employed a thermostatic expansion valve (TXV) as an expansion device, it could adapt to some faults making the fault less detectable. The distinctiveness of the fault depended on the TXV status (fully open or not).

1. Introduction

The characterization of vapor compression system faults has been pursued by many investigators. Breuker and Braun [1] surveyed frequently occurring faults for a packaged air conditioner using field data. Based on the field data, Breuker and Braun sorted field faults into three different categories according to the cause of the fault, service frequency, and service cost. Downey and Proctor [2] also collected data on over 13,000 air conditioners in residential and commercial installations. Refrigerant charge and indoor air flow were major factors in the poor performance of the surveyed systems. Sixty-five percent of the residential units in this survey needed additional refrigerant and/or indoor air flow correction.

Only recently have investigators begun to examine FDD techniques for vapor compression systems rather than the broader area of building/HVAC systems FDD. FDD for vapor compression systems was initially intended to help technicians servicing individual vapor compression systems. Grimmeilius et al. [3] developed an on-line failure diagnosis system for a vapor compression refrigeration system used in a naval vessel or a refrigerated plant. Stylianou and Nikanpour [4] represented a methodology using thermodynamic modeling, pattern recognition, and expert knowledge to determine the state of a reciprocating chiller and to diagnose selected faults. Rossi and Braun [5] developed a device to diagnose system faults by monitoring temperatures and pressures and applying these measurements to a look-up fault chart.

Chen and Braun [6] collected the laboratory data for 17.6 kW (5 ton) rooftop unit with a TXV as an expansion device and imposed artificial faults to the unit for developing two rule-based FDD methods. Smith and Braun [7] performed field tests on a 17.6 kW (5 ton) rooftop unit with a TXV and a 10.6 kW (3 ton) rooftop unit with a fixed orifice expansion device. They proposed a decoupling-based unified FDD technique to handle multiple simultaneous faults. Li [8] re-examined the statistical rule-based method initially formulated by Rossi and Braun [5] and also developed the concept of virtual sensors to estimate characteristic parameters from indirect component modeling. Kim and Kim [9] tested a water-to-water heat pump system with a variable speed compressor and an electrical expansion valve (EEV). They also provided an FDD algorithm along with two different rule-based charts depending on the compressor status. Proctor [10] markets an expert system within a database that uses technician gathered system information to diagnose system faults. All of these investigators are attempting to apply FDD methods to residential and light commercial vapor compression systems.

The objective of the present research was to test a thermostatic expansion valve (TXV) equipped heat pump operating in the cooling mode in order to map system parameters during fault-free and imposed-fault operation. System status was monitored, and performance parameters were recorded. Seven faults were imposed: (i) compressor valve/reversing valve leakage, (ii) improper outdoor air flow, (iii) improper indoor air flow, (iv) liquid line restriction, (v) refrigerant undercharge, (vi) refrigerant overcharge, and (vii) presence of non-condensable gas. A following study will use these collected results for the development of FDD methods.
2. Experimental setup

2.1. System description and implementation of artificial faults

The studied system was an R410A 8.8 kW (2.5 ton) split residential heat pump with seasonal energy efficiency ratio (SEER) of 13 [11]. The unit was comprised of the indoor fan-coil section, outdoor section with a scroll compressor, a thermostatic expansion valve (TXV), and connecting tubing. Both the indoor and outdoor air-to-refrigerant heat exchangers were of the finned-tube type. The unit was installed in environmental chambers and charged with refrigerant according to the manufacturer’s specifications. Fig. 1 illustrates the experimental setup for FDD tests. Detailed specifications of the test rig including indoor ductwork, dimensions, data acquisition and instrumentations were described in Kim et al. [12].

Table 1 lists the seven types of common faults which were investigated in this study. The presence of non-condensables can result from improper installation while the remaining faults may also result from improper installation or may take place over the heat pump lifecycle. The respective causes may be compressor valve wear, outdoor coil fouling, indoor coil fouling or ice clogging, dirty refrigerant filter/dryer, improper refrigerant recharge service, and refrigerant leakage. These seven faults and their implementation during tests are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

2.2. Experimental procedure and test conditions

A comprehensive test series was carried out to map the performance of the system at normal (fault-free) operation and with imposed faults. Table 2 presents operating conditions for the test program for fault-free tests. Indoor conditions included two temperatures, 21.1 °C (70.0 °F) and 26.7 °C (80.0 °F), and two levels of relative humidity, 50% and dry coil. For outdoor conditions, four temperatures were selected: 21.1 °C (70.0 °F), 27.8 °C (82.0 °F), 32.2 °C (90.0 °F), and 37.8 °C (100.0 °F). Since outdoor relative humidity is not an influential parameter for performance of the condenser, it was controlled roughly around 50% within the range of 40–60%.

The test schedule for fault-free steady-state operation involved 17 different conditions; one ARI 210/240 Standard rating test and 16 full factorial combinations of chamber conditions. The test conditions adopted for the faulty tests are indicated in Table 2 by two asterisks (tests 4, 5, 8, and 9). All fault-free tests were performed twice to check experimental repeatability. In addition, a fault-free test preceded a series of tests carried out for a given fault at each of the four operating conditions.

2.3. Measurement uncertainties

On the refrigerant side, pressure transducers and T-type thermocouple probes were attached at the inlet and exit of every component of the system. The refrigerant mass flow rate was also measured using a Coriolis flow meter. The measurements of temperature, pressure, and mass flow rate took place in the locations indicated in Fig. 1. The air enthalpy method served as the primary measurement of the system capacity, and the refrigerant enthalpy method served as the secondary measurement. These two measurements always agreed within 5%. All uncertainties were calculated at a 95% confidence on the mean value.

As an example, the uncertainty in COP may be calculated from the percent uncertainties listed in Table 3.

\[
\text{COP} = \frac{Q_{EA}}{W_{tot}}
\]

\[
E_{\text{COP}} = \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{COP}}}{\text{COP}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{W_{tot}}}}{W_{tot}} \right)^2
\]

Since the uncertainties in capacity and total power are expressed as percentages of the current value, Eq. (2) simplifies and may be expressed as a relative uncertainty as shown in the following equation:

\[
E_{\text{COP}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{COP}}}{\text{COP}}
\]
Table 1
Description of studied faults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Determination of level of fault during tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compressor leakage (4-way valve leakage)</td>
<td>CMF</td>
<td>% of refrigerant flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper outdoor air flow rate</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>% of coil area blocked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper indoor air flow rate</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>% of air flow rate reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid line restriction</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>% of normal pressure drop through TXV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigerant overcharge</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>% overcharge from the correct charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigerant undercharge</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>% undercharge from the correct charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of non-condensable gases</td>
<td>NON</td>
<td>% of non-condensable gas with respect to system volume</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
ECOP = \sqrt{\left(\frac{E_{W_{\text{tot}}}}{W_{\text{tot}}^{\text{COP}}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{E_{Q_{\text{EA}}}}{Q_{\text{EA}}}\right)^2}
\]  

Table 3 lists characteristic uncertainties of the major quantities measured during this work. A very detailed analysis of uncertainty for testing done according to ASHRAE Standard 37 may be found in [13].

3. Performance test results

3.1. Fault-free test results

Fig. 2 presents variation of selected system parameters at different operating conditions. These tests were performed with the aid of a steady-state detector (SSD) as described in Kim et al. [14]. The SSD allowed consistent indication of steady conditions which greatly enhanced the speed at which data were gathered.

Fig. 2a shows that the refrigerant superheat at the evaporator exit increases as the outdoor temperature decreases particularly for the test with 26.7 °C (80.0 °F) dry-bulb temperature, where the TXV does not open wide enough to provide enough refrigerant flow at the small pressure differential between the evaporator and condenser. The numeric labels in Fig. 2b represent the test number described in Table 2. As the outdoor temperature increases, the compressor requires more power. However, the compressor power in Fig. 2c is a weak function of the indoor operating conditions; the lines representing compressor power for the four indoor conditions considered in this study nearly overlap each other. The EER plot in Fig. 2d shows some differences due to the differences in evaporator capacities.
Table 3  
Measurement uncertainties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Total uncertainty at the 95% confidence level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual temperature</td>
<td>–18 °C to 93 °C (0–200 °F)</td>
<td>±0.3 °C (±0.5 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0–28 °C (0–50 °F)</td>
<td>±0.3 °C (±0.5 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air nozzle temperature</td>
<td>0–1245 Pa (0 in H2O to 5.0 in H2O)</td>
<td>±1.0 Pa (±0.004 in H2O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigerant mass flow rate</td>
<td>0–544 kg/h (0–20 lbm/min)</td>
<td>±1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dew-point temperature</td>
<td>0–38 °C (32–100 °F)</td>
<td>±0.4 °C (0.8 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry-bulb temperature</td>
<td>1–38 °C (35–100 °F)</td>
<td>±0.4 °C (0.8 °F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total power</td>
<td>100–5000 W</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cooling capacity</td>
<td>4.4–10.6 kW (15,000–36,000 Btu/h)</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of performance</td>
<td>2.5–6.0</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Fault-free steady-state (FFSS) reference model

The objective of the FFSS tests described above was to take measurements at different environmental operating conditions at different system locations to monitor key systems parameters — referred to as features — and to develop models to correlate these features. The outdoor dry-bulb temperature, T_{OD}, indoor dry-bulb temperature, T_{ID}, and indoor dew-point temperature, T_{IDP}, are the independent variables that define operating conditions. All features, \( \phi \), can be explicitly described by multivariate polynomials correlated to the FFSS data as a function of T_{OD}, T_{ID}, and T_{IDP}. Eq. (4) represents the general formulation of a 2nd order multivariate polynomial equation with crossterms used in this research. Table 4 shows the root mean squared (RMS) error for the model listed in Eq. (4).

\[
\phi_i = a_0 + a_1 T_{OD} + a_2 T_{ID} + a_3 T_{IDP} + a_4 T_{OD} T_{ID} + a_5 T_{ID} T_{IDP} + a_6 T_{IDP} T_{OD} + a_7 T_{OD} T_{IDP} + a_8 T_{ID} T_{IDP}
\]  

3.3. Performance variation under single faults

The feature residuals were calculated as shown in Eq. (5). The reference value, \( \phi_{i\text{reference}} \), was based upon the 2nd order polynomial model shown in Eq. (5) and discussed in Kim et al. [12]

\[
R(\phi_i) = \phi_i\text{measurements} - \phi_i\text{reference}
\]  

3.3.1. Compressor/reversing valve leakage

The compressor/reversing valve leakage fault (CMF) involved at least three fault levels. The fault level was calculated as the ratio of refrigerant mass flow through the system with the fault imposed divided by the refrigerant mass flow rate during the fault-free operation.

Fig. 3a and b show that the residuals for the refrigerant saturation temperatures, \( T_{ER} \) and \( T_{CR} \), have similar responses for all operating conditions. Also, the change in air temperature difference across the evaporator and condenser was similar for the operating conditions studied. This system characteristic corresponds to the similar slopes of the capacity lines for the evaporator in Fig. 3c. \( W_{cmp} \) in Fig. 3d slightly decreased with the increasing level of fault because of the corresponding reduction of the temperature (pressure) lift produced by the increased evaporator temperature and decreased condenser temperature.

3.3.2. Improper outdoor air flow rate (condenser fouling)

Fault levels of 5%, 10%, 20%, and 35% were implemented by blocking the corresponding percentage of the finned frontal area of the condenser. The change in \( T_{CR} \) is minor, but \( T_{ER} \) increases significantly for a higher condenser fouling level. The compressor discharge-line wall temperature, \( T_{CW} \), in Fig. 4a also increases with an increased level of this fault.

Fig. 4c with the residual of the liquid line temperature change, \( \Delta T_{LL} \), provides an indication of the lack of refrigerant subcooling, or small subcooling, at the condenser outlet. Since the heat transfer between the liquid line and ambient is typically small, the temperature change in the liquid line is negligible unless two-phase refrigerant is entering the line or refrigerant flashes in the line due to its pressure drop. If the refrigerant is two-phase, the
condition.

respective reductions of the air flow rate from the no-fault
approximately 10%, 20%, and 30%, which corresponded to the
mates evaporator fouling. The tests included three fault levels at
at the end of the ductwork. Reducing the indoor air flow approxi-

3.3.3. Improper indoor air flow rate (evaporator fouling)

The improper indoor air flow rate fault was implemented by
controlling the speed of the nozzle chamber booster fan located
at the end of the ductwork. Reducing the indoor air flow approxi-
mates evaporator fouling. The tests included three fault levels at
approximately 10%, 20%, and 30%, which corresponded to the
respective reductions of the air flow rate from the no-fault
condition.

The change in $Q_{ER}$ in Fig. 5a is the strongest indication of the re-
duced air flow. This change is very similar for all four operating
conditions used. A change in the air temperature drop across the
evaporator, $\Delta T_{sh}$, in Fig. 5b is the second consistent system
response for all operating conditions. The condenser saturation tem-
perature also drops uniformly with the increased fault level; how-
ever, the temperature drop is only 0.6 °C (1.0 °F) for a 30% reduc-
tion in the indoor air flow. Both the sensible and latent capac-
ity change linearly with reduced air flow. The sensible heat ratio
(SHR) decreases since more moisture is condensed due to lower
air velocity and the reduced evaporator refrigerant saturation
temperature.

3.3.4. Liquid line restriction

The restriction fault was implemented by modulating the set-
tings of two valves, as seen in Fig. 1, installed in parallel in the li-
quid line. The level of the liquid line restriction fault was numerically assigned by the ratio of the increase in the liquid line
pressure drop and the pressure differential between the upstream
and downstream of the TXV at the no-fault condition.

In a system equipped with a variable opening expansion device – such as a TXV – the expansion device tends to compensate for
over-restriction in the liquid line. Consequently, the over-restric-
tion will not change the system performance as long as the expa-
dision device can open enough to maintain refrigerant mass flow rate
at the smaller available pressure differential that exists between
the expansion device inlet and the inlet to the evaporator. In our
case, the TXV was able to compensate for the restriction fault up
to approximately a 10% increase in liquid line pressure drop. Only
after the restriction exceeded the 10% fault level did the residuals
for the selected features start to show a departure from their
fault-free values. The fault was most pronounced for $\Delta T_{sh}$ and
$\Delta T_{LL}$ shown in Fig. 6. All of the performance parameters were basi-
cally unaffected up to the 10% fault level. Beyond this point, all per-
formance parameters deteriorated.

3.3.5. Refrigerant undercharge and overcharge

The fault level for refrigerant undercharge (or refrigerant over-
charge) was calculated as the ratio of the charge reduction (or
charge excess) and the optimal refrigerant charge in the system.
The test program included six fault levels: ±10%, ±20%, and ±30%,
where negative and positive signs represent undercharge and over-
charge, respectively. With improper refrigerant charge, $\Delta T_c$
(Fig. 7a) is the most indicative of the fault regardless of the operat-
ing conditions. A reduced refrigerant charge causes $T_{sh}$ (Fig. 7b) to
decrease beginning at a 10% undercharge depending on the operat-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>$T_c$</th>
<th>$\Delta T_{sh}$</th>
<th>$T_{sh}$</th>
<th>$\Delta T_{sh}$</th>
<th>$\Delta T_c$</th>
<th>$\Delta T_{ca}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMS error °C (°F)</td>
<td>0.168 (0.303)</td>
<td>0.383 (0.689)</td>
<td>0.448 (0.806)</td>
<td>0.071 (0.127)</td>
<td>0.208 (0.374)</td>
<td>0.078 (0.140)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Variation of system parameters at the compressor/reversing valve leakage fault: (a) residual of $T_{sh}$, (b) residual of $T_{sh}$, (c) $Q_{ER}$, and (d) $W_{cmp}$.
3.3.6. Presence of non-condensable gas

This fault was implemented by charging a controlled amount of dry nitrogen into the system. The mass of charged nitrogen divided by the mass of nitrogen that could occupy the system at atmospheric pressure indicated the fault level. It was estimated that a typical level of this fault found in the field installation should not exceed 5%, and for this level we performed tests for all four operating conditions applied to other faults. To include more severe scenarios, we carried out tests at 10%, 15%, and 20% fault levels at two extreme operating conditions of #5 and #8 in Table 2.

The full range of non-condensable gas fault tests was performed at the lowest temperature lift (test #5) and highest temperature lift (test #8) to reduce testing effort; only the 5% fault level was performed at the other conditions. The residuals for $T_{DW}$ (Fig. 7c) provide non-linear signals with a strong dependence on operating conditions at undercharge fault. The capacity (Fig. 7d) is more affected by undercharging than overcharging. The EER plot (Fig. 7e) has a maximum, which is reached near the optimal refrigerant charge.

![Fig. 4. Variation of system parameters at the improper outdoor coil air flow rate fault: (a) residual of $T_{DW}$, (b) residual of $T_{SC}$, (c) residual of $D_{TSC}$, and (d) EER.](image)

![Fig. 5. Variation of system parameters at the improper indoor coil air flow rate fault: (a) residual of $T_{ER}$, (b) residual of $D_{TEA}$, (c) residual of $T_{CR}$, and (d) SHR.](image)
Fig. 6. Variation of system parameters for the liquid line restriction fault: (a) residual of $\Delta T_{sh}$ and (b) residual of $\Delta T_{LL}$.

Fig. 7. Variation of system parameters at the improper refrigerant charge fault: (a) residual of $\Delta T_{sc}$, (b) residual of $T_{ER}$, (c) residual of $T_{DW}$, (d) evaporator refrigerant-side capacity, and (e) EER.

Fig. 8. Variation of system performance at the non-condensable gas fault: (a) residual of $\Delta T_{sc}$ and (b) residual of $T_{CR}$. 
high pressure side of the system and raise the condensing pressure above that corresponding to the temperature at which the refrigerant is actually condensing. This increases power consumption and reduces capacity. The excess pressure is caused by the partial pressure of the non-condensables.

4. Comparative evaluation of fault effects

Fig. 9 presents a histogram of estimated fault levels that would cause a 5% reduction in EER relative to the fault-free EER. The bars within the chart marked by an asterisk represent linear extrapolation of fault tests to the level that would cause 5% degradation in EER. When reviewing this figure we should bear in mind that the studied faults were imposed by different methods, and it is difficult to compare the degree of their severity. For example, the measure of fault level for improper outdoor air flow rate (condenser fouling) was the percentage of the coil area blocked, but for the indoor air flow rate (evaporator fouling) it was the actual flow rate reduction.

From the chart, EER is relatively insensitive to evaporator fouling or refrigerant overcharge faults. Since this is a heat pump
system, the evaporator is larger than would be seen in a comparable cooling-only air conditioner; this makes the heat pump system less susceptible to EER degradation due to improper indoor airflow. For refrigerant overcharge, the fault is the most distinctive at the highest temperature lift (indoor and outdoor temperature difference) test #8 showing the low capacity. Fig. 9 indicates that the 5% degradation in EER occurs more readily at the high capacity low lift test #5 than at the low capacity high lift test #8. This trend appears to be true for all faults except evaporator fouling or refrigerant overcharge. All faults, except the compressor leakage fault, must have a fault level greater than 10% to produce a 5% decrease in EER.

Fig. 10 presents estimated EER degradation due to five different faults relative to the fault-free EER. The figure shows that highest EER degradation occurs with a 20% refrigerant undercharge, especially at the lowest temperature lift occurring for test #5. EER degradation due to overcharge is much smaller.

5. Concluding remarks

This paper provides basic information on the behavior of a TXV-equipped R410A residential unitary split heat pump operated in the cooling mode during fault-free and imposed single-fault conditions. Table 5 presents the most sensitive features for each studied fault. Besides detail differences, the results and general trends of data presented in this paper are in agreement with those of Chen and Braun [6] reported for a TXV-equipped 17.6 kW rooftop unit. One of the differences is the selection of features for detecting the improper outdoor airflow fault; Chen and Braun [6] selected the residual of condensing temperature in their Sensitivity Ratio Method, while our study indicated $\Delta T_{SC}$ and $T_{DW}$ as the most sensitive features. Our measurements show the residual of condensing temperature to have indeed a clear and consistent trend for all operating conditions studied, however, $\Delta T_{SC}$ could indicate an improper condenser airflow at a lower fault level while $T_{DW}$ became a strong fault indicator at the higher level of the fault.

Since the studied system was controlled by a TXV, it could adapt to some faults making the fault less detectable. The distinctiveness of the fault depended on the TXV status (fully open or not). For the tests with liquid line restriction, little degradation of capacity and EER occurred due to the TXV control of superheat. Once the liquid line was restricted to the point at which the TXV was fully open in the attempt to maintain the target superheat, the system response to greater liquid line restriction was typical to that of a fixed-area expansion device system. In this case, a TXV with a larger orifice size would be required to control the superheat, which implies that the fault effect can be influenced by the effective orifice size of the TXV.
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Table 5

| Fault Feature Comment  | | |
|------------------------|--|
| Compressor/reversing valve leakage $T_{CR}, T_{DR}$ | |
| Improper outdoor airflow $\Delta T_{SC}, \Delta T_{D T}, \Delta T_{CR}$ Only seen after faults greater than 10% | |
| Improper indoor airflow $T_{IN}, \Delta T_{IA}$ TXV mitigates this fault until a fault level of greater than 10% | |
| Liquid line restriction $\Delta T_{SC}, \Delta T_{LL}, T_{DTW}$ EER more affected by undercharge | |
| Refrigerant undercharge $T_{DW}$ | |
| Refrigerant overcharge $\Delta T_{SC}, \Delta T_{D W}, \Delta T_{CR}$ | |
| Non-condensable gas DTSC, TDW, TCR | |