A Collection of 2D Elliptic Problems for Testing Adaptive Algorithms

William F. Mitchell∗
Mathematical and Computational Sciences Division
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8910

Abstract

Adaptive grid refinement is a critical component of the improvements that have recently been made in algorithms for the numerical solution of partial differential equations (PDEs). The development of new algorithms and computer codes for the solution of PDEs usually involves the use of proof-of-concept test problems. 2D elliptic problems are often used as the first test bed for new algorithms and codes. This paper contains a set of twelve parametrized 2D elliptic test problems for adaptive grid refinement algorithms and codes. The problems exhibit a variety of types of singularities, near singularities, and other difficulties.
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1 Introduction

The numerical solution of partial differential equations (PDEs) is the most compute-intensive part of a wide range of scientific and engineering applications. Consequently the development and application of faster and more accurate methods for solving partial differential equations has received much attention in the past fifty years. Self-adaptive methods to determine a quasi-optimal grid are a critical component of the improvements. Although adaptive grid refinement techniques are now in widespread use in applications, they remain an active field of research, particularly in the context of $hp$-adaptive techniques.

The development of new algorithms and computer codes for the solution of PDEs usually involves the use of proof-of-concept test problems. Such test problems have a variety of uses such as demonstrating that a new algorithm is effective, verifying that a new code is correct in the sense of achieving the theoretical order of convergence, and comparing the performance of different
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algorithms and codes. Nearly every paper on algorithms for solving PDEs contains a numerical results section with one or more test problems.

2D elliptic problems are often used as the first test bed for new algorithms and codes for solving PDEs. This paper contains a set of twelve 2D elliptic test problems for adaptive grid refinement algorithms and codes. Most of the problems are taken from the numerical results section of papers in the adaptive grid refinement literature. The problems exhibit a variety of types of singularities (point and line singularities on the boundary and in the interior), near singularities (sharp peaks, boundary layers, and wave fronts), and other difficulties. Most of the problems are parametrized to allow “easy” and “hard” variations on the problem.

We primarily consider elliptic partial differential equations of the form

$$\begin{align*}
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( p(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) &- \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( q(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + r(x, y)u = f(x, y) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\
u & = g_D(x, y) \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_D \\
p(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} &- q(x, y) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + c(x, y)u = g_N(x, y) \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_N
\end{align*}$$

with coefficient functions $p, q, r$ and $c$, and right hand sides $f, g_D$ and $g_N$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded, connected, polygonal, open region in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with boundary $\partial \Omega = \partial \Omega_D \cup \partial \Omega_N$, $\partial \Omega_D \cap \partial \Omega_N = \emptyset$. Differentiation with respect to $s$ is with respect to a counterclockwise parametrization of the boundary $(x(s), y(s))$ with $||(dx/ds\ dy/ds)|| = 1$. Equation (2) represents Dirichlet boundary conditions, and Equation (3) represents natural boundary conditions if $c = 0$ and mixed boundary conditions otherwise. We assume the data in Equations (1) and (3) satisfy the usual ellipticity and regularity assumptions. Some of the test problems extend this to a coupled system of two equations, and the inclusion of first order derivative and mixed derivative terms. Many of the test problems use the special case of Poisson’s Equation

$$-\nabla^2 u := -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = f(x, y)$$

and Laplace’s Equation, which is Poisson’s equation with $f=0$.

We use the terms singular and singularity rather loosely. We consider a function to be singular (or to have a singularity) if it or a derivative of any order is singular. More precisely, we consider it to be singular if there exists a finite positive $m$ such that the function does not lie in $H^m$, where $H^m$ is the usual Sobolev space of functions whose derivatives of order $m$ are square integrable, and the usual extensions to noninteger $m$. We refer to the smallest such $m$ as the Sobolev regularity of the function.
2 The Set of Test Problems

2.1 Analytic Solution

This is a well behaved problem with a smooth solution that has no trouble spots. It can be used for seeing how an adaptive algorithm behaves in a context where adaptivity isn’t really needed.

- Equation: Poisson
- Domain: unit square
- Boundary conditions: Dirichlet
- Solution: \( 2^p x^p (1 - x)^p y^p (1 - y)^p \)

Parameters: \( p \) determines the degree of the polynomial solution. It should be chosen to be large enough that the highest order finite elements to be used will not give the exact solution.

The solution with \( p = 10 \) is shown in Figure 1 both as a color-mapped image and as a surface in perspective. The other figures that show solutions also present these two views.

2.2 Reentrant Corner

For elliptic partial differential equations, reentrant corners in the domain cause a singularity in the solution. In particular, for a corner with an angle \( \omega \) as shown in Figure 2, the solution behaves like \( r^\alpha \) where \( r \) is the distance from the corner and \( \alpha = \pi/\omega \). The solution is in \( H^{1+\alpha-\epsilon} \forall \epsilon > 0 \) [11].

- Equation: Laplace
- Domain: \((-1,1) \times (-1,1)\) with a section removed from the clockwise side of the positive \( x \) axis, as shown in Figure 2
- Boundary conditions: Dirichlet
- Solution: \( r^\alpha \sin(\alpha \theta) \) where \( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \) and \( \theta = \tan^{-1}(y/x) \)

Parameters: \( \omega \) determines the angle of the reentrant corner, and consequently \( \alpha \) and the strength of the singularity. Varying \( \omega \) can be used to study the
effect of the strength of the singularity on adaptive algorithms. Using $\omega = 3\pi/2$ gives the infamous “L domain” problem used heavily in the adaptive refinement community. With $\omega = 2\pi$ the domain is a square with a slit. A solution with $\omega$ slightly larger than $\pi$ is nearly linear.

The solutions for $\omega = \pi + 0.01, 5\pi/4, 3\pi/2, 7\pi/4,$ and $2\pi$ are shown in Figures 3-7.

2.3 Linear Elasticity

Several papers [2, 3, 4, 5] use a problem from linear elasticity as an example. This is a coupled system of two equations with a mixed derivative in the coupling
Figure 4: The solution of the reentrant corner problem with $\omega = 5\pi/4$.

Figure 5: The solution of the reentrant corner problem with $\omega = 3\pi/2$.

Figure 6: The solution of the reentrant corner problem with $\omega = 7\pi/4$. 
Figure 7: The solution of the reentrant corner problem with $\omega = 2\pi$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mode</th>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5444837367825</td>
<td>0.5430755788367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9085291898461</td>
<td>-0.2189232362488</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Parameters for the linear elasticity problem.

term. In [2] the equations are given as

\[
\begin{align*}
-E\frac{1-\nu^2}{2-2\nu}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} - E\frac{1-\nu^2}{2-2\nu}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - E\frac{1-\nu^2}{(1-2\nu)(2-2\nu)}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x\partial y} &= F_x \\
-E\frac{1-\nu^2}{2-2\nu}\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - E\frac{1-\nu^2}{2-2\nu}\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} - E\frac{1-\nu^2}{(1-2\nu)(2-2\nu)}\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x\partial y} &= F_y
\end{align*}
\] (4)

where $u$ and $v$ are the $x$ and $y$ displacements, $E$ is Young’s Modulus, and $\nu$ is Poisson’s ratio.

Two solutions are given in [2] in polar coordinates; a mode 1 solution

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= \frac{1}{2\nu}r^\lambda[(\kappa - Q(\lambda + 1)) \cos(\lambda \theta) - \lambda \cos((\lambda - 2)\theta)] \\
v &= \frac{1}{2\nu}r^\lambda[(\kappa + Q(\lambda + 1)) \sin(\lambda \theta) + \lambda \sin((\lambda - 2)\theta)]
\end{align*}
\] (5)

and a mode 2 solution

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= \frac{1}{2\nu}r^\lambda[(\kappa - Q(\lambda + 1)) \sin(\lambda \theta) - \lambda \sin((\lambda - 2)\theta)] \\
v &= -\frac{1}{2\nu}r^\lambda[(\kappa + Q(\lambda + 1)) \cos(\lambda \theta) + \lambda \cos((\lambda - 2)\theta)]
\end{align*}
\] (6)

where $\kappa = 3 - 4\nu$, $G = E/(2(1+\nu))$, and $\lambda$ and $Q$ are constants. The solutions have a point singularity at the origin and are in $H^{1+\lambda-\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ [3].

The domain is taken to be a square with a slit (a cracked plate) as in [3]. Some of the other references use an L-shaped domain.

Equation: coupled system of two equations given by Equation 4

Domain: $(-1,1) \times (-1,1)$ with a slit from $(0,0)$ to $(1,0)$
Figure 8: The $u$ component of the mode 1 solution of the linear elasticity problem.

Figure 9: The $v$ component of the mode 1 solution of the linear elasticity problem.

Boundary conditions: Dirichlet
Solution: Two solutions as given in Equations 5 and 6. Parameters: All the above references use $\nu = 0.3$ and $E = 1$. The values for $\lambda$ and $Q$ differ in the two solutions, and are given in Table 1. See [2] for the derivation of these constants. With these solutions and parameters, $F_x = F_y = 0$.

The solutions are shown in Figures 8-11.

2.4 Peak

This problem has an exponential peak in the interior of the domain. It is based on Problem 10 in [13].

Equation: Poisson
Domain: Unit square.
Boundary conditions: Dirichlet
Figure 10: The $u$ component of the mode 2 solution of the linear elasticity problem.

Figure 11: The $v$ component of the mode 2 solution of the linear elasticity problem.
Figure 12: The solution of the peak problem with $\alpha = 1000$, $(x_c, y_c) = (0.5, 0.5)$.

Figure 13: The solution of the peak problem with $\alpha = 100000$, $(x_c, y_c) = (0.51, 0.117)$.

Solution: $e^{-\alpha((x-x_c)^2+(y-y_c)^2)}$

Parameters: $(x_c, y_c)$ is the location of the peak, and $\alpha$ determines the strength of the peak.

The solutions of two instances of this problem are shown in Figures 12 and 13. The first has a mild peak at the vertex $(0.5,0.5)$ with $\alpha = 1000$. The second has a sharp peak at $(0.51,0.117)$, which is not a vertex, with $\alpha = 100000$.

2.5 Battery

This problem comes from [5], where it is attributed to Ivo Babuška and Sandia National Laboratories. It features piecewise constant coefficient functions $p$ and $q$ and right hand side $f$, and mixed boundary conditions. The solution has multiple point singularities in the interior of the domain. The equation models heat conduction in a battery with nonhomogeneous materials. The domain is the rectangle shown in Figure 14. The numbered regions indicate the areas of different material constants, with the constants given in Table 2. The
Figure 14: Domain for the battery problem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Piecewise constant coefficient functions for the battery problem.

The location of the line segments that separate the regions are given in Table 3. The coefficients of the mixed boundary conditions are given in Table 4. The solution has singularities at the points where three or more materials meet. For any \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists coefficients such that the solution is in \( H^{1+\epsilon} \). By observing the rate of convergence with uniform \( h \)-refinement and comparing it with the theoretical a priori error bound, we estimate that \( \epsilon \) is about 1/2 for the given set of coefficients. The solution is shown in Figure 15.

Equation: \(- \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (p \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (q \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}) = f\) with \( p, q \) and \( f \) given in Table 2.

Domain: \((0, 8.4) \times (0, 24)\)

Boundary conditions: Mixed, with \( c \) and \( g_N \) given in Table 4.

Solution: Unknown.

Parameters: None.
Table 3: The locations of the line segments that separate the regions of the battery problem. For example, the line $y = 24.0$ is the top of the region in Figure 14.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
x & y \\
\hline
0.0 & 0.0 \\
6.1 & 0.8 \\
6.5 & 1.6 \\
8.0 & 3.6 \\
8.4 & 18.8 \\
& 21.2 \\
& 23.2 \\
& 24.0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Table 4: Boundary condition coefficients for the four sides of the battery problem domain in Figure 14.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{side} & c & g_N \\
\hline
\text{left} & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\text{top} & 1.0 & 3.0 \\
\text{right} & 2.0 & 2.0 \\
\text{bottom} & 3.0 & 1.0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Figure 15: The solution of the battery problem.
2.6 Boundary Layer

This problem comes from [1]. It has an $O(\epsilon)$ boundary layer along the right and top sides of the domain. It is a convection-diffusion equation with first order terms.

Equation: $-\epsilon \nabla^2 u + 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = f$

Domain: $(-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$

Boundary conditions: Dirichlet

Solution: $(1 - e^{-1-x}/\epsilon)(1 - e^{-1-y}/\epsilon) \cos(\pi(x+y))$

Parameters: $\epsilon$ determines the strength of the boundary layer.

Figures 16 and 17 show solutions with a mild boundary layer from $\epsilon = 10^{-1}$, and a steep boundary layer from $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$.

2.7 Boundary Line Singularity

Many papers [5, 6, 10, 12] use a 1D example with a singularity of the form $x^\alpha$ at the left endpoint of the domain. The solution is in $H^{\alpha+1/2-\epsilon} \forall \epsilon > 0$ [6]. This
can be extended to 2D by simply making the solution be constant in $y$. On the unit square, the result is a solution that is singular along the left boundary.

Equation: Poisson
Domain: Unit square
Boundary conditions: Dirichlet
Solution: $x^\alpha$

Parameters: $\alpha \geq 1/2$ determines the strength of the singularity. All of the cited references use $\alpha = 0.6$.

The solution with $\alpha = 0.6$ is shown in Figure 18.

2.8 Oscillatory

This problem is inspired by the wave function that satisfies a Schrödinger equation model of two interacting atoms [8]. It is highly oscillatory near the origin, with the wavelength decreasing closer to the origin. The number of oscillations, $N$, is determined by the parameter $\alpha = \frac{1}{N\pi}$. We use a Helmholtz equation for this problem.

Equation: $-\nabla^2 u - \left(\frac{1}{(\alpha + \pi)^2}\right) u = f$, where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$
Domain: Unit square
Boundary conditions: Dirichlet
Solution: $\sin\left(\frac{1}{\alpha + \pi}\right)$

Parameters: $\alpha$ determines the number of oscillations.

The solutions of a relatively easy problem with $\alpha = \frac{1}{10\pi}$ and a more difficult problem with $\alpha = \frac{1}{50\pi}$ are shown in Figures 19 and 20. In the surface plot of Figure 19 we have zoomed in on the origin to show the detail.

2.9 Wave Front

A commonly used example for testing adaptive refinement algorithms is Poisson’s equation with a solution that has a steep wave front in the interior of the domain. Usually it is a circular wave front given by an arctangent, or sometimes
Figure 19: The solution of the oscillatory problem with $\alpha = \frac{1}{10\pi}$

Figure 20: The solution of the oscillatory problem with $\alpha = \frac{1}{50\pi}$
a hyperbolic tangent. Parameters determine the steepness and location of the wave front. With the arctangent wave front, there is a singularity at the center of the circle. By observing the convergence rate with uniform $h$-refinement, we estimate that the solution is in $H^m$ with $m \approx 2$ if the center of the circle is in the closure of the domain, and smooth otherwise.

Equation: Poisson
Domain: Unit square
Boundary conditions: Dirichlet
Solution: $\tan^{-1}(\alpha (r - r_0))$ where $r = \sqrt{(x - x_c)^2 + (y - y_c)^2}$

Parameters: $(x_c, y_c)$ is the center of the circular wave front, $r_0$ is the distance from the wave front to the center of the circle, and $\alpha$ gives the steepness of the wave front.

Four example solutions are shown in Figures 21,24 with the parameters given in Table 5. In the first three we choose the center of the circle to be outside the domain so that we are examining the performance on the wave front, not the singularity. These solutions are characterized as a mild wave front, a steep wave front, and a steep wave front that is not symmetric about the origin. In the fourth example, the entire circle is inside the domain, resulting in a solution that is a well with a mild singularity at the bottom.
Figure 22: The solution of the steep wave front problem.

Figure 23: The solution of the asymmetric wave front problem.

Figure 24: The solution of the wave front “well” problem.
2.10 Interior Line Singularity

Houston et al. [6] extend the 1D $x^\alpha$ problem in Section 2.7 to 2D by extending the 1D domain to $(-1,1)$, defining the solution to be 0 for $x < 0$, extending the domain to 2D with $y \in (-1,1)$, and adding $\cos(\pi y/2)$. We extend this further to allow a sloped line so that the singularity does not necessarily coincide with element edges. There is no PDE in [6] since they are only evaluating their estimate of the regularity. We use a Poisson equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The solution is in $H^{\alpha+1/2-\epsilon} \forall \epsilon > 0$.

Equation: Poisson
Domain: $(-1,1) \times (-1,1)$
Boundary conditions: Dirichlet
Solution: \[
\begin{cases}
\cos(\pi y/2) & x \leq \beta(y + 1) \\
\cos(\pi y/2) + (x - \beta(y + 1))^\alpha & x > \beta(y + 1)
\end{cases}
\]

Parameters: $\alpha$ determines the strength of the singularity and $\beta$ determines the slope of the singularity line.
Solutions containing a mild singularity with $\alpha = 2.5, \beta = 0$, a stronger singularity with $\alpha = 1.1, \beta = 0$, and a slanted line singularity with $\alpha = 1.5, \beta = 0.6$ are shown in Figures 25-27.

2.11 Intersecting Interfaces

This problem comes from a paper by Kellogg in which he studies Poisson problems with intersecting interfaces. Two interfaces, given by the lines $y = 0$ and $y = \tan(\phi)x$, divide the plane into four regions for a given $\phi \in (0, \pi/2]$. The PDE coefficients $p$ and $q$ are a piecewise constant function taking the value $p_i$ in the $i$th region counterclockwise from the positive $x$-axis. Let $\psi = \pi/2 - \phi$. The solution is given in polar coordinates by

$$u = r^{a_1} \mu(\theta)$$

where

$$\mu(\theta) = \begin{cases} 
\cos((\psi - \beta_1)a_1) \cos((\theta - \phi + \alpha_1)a_1) & 0 \leq \theta \leq \phi \\
\cos(\alpha_1 a_1) \cos((\theta - \pi + \beta_1)a_1) & \phi < \theta < \pi \\
\cos(\beta_1 a_1) \cos((\theta - \pi - \alpha_1)a_1) & \pi < \theta < \pi + \phi \\
\cos((\phi - \alpha_1)a_1) \cos((\theta - \phi - \pi - \beta_1)a_1) & \pi + \phi < \theta < 2\pi 
\end{cases}$$

and where the numbers $a_1$, $\alpha_1$ and $\beta_1$ satisfy the relations

$$\begin{align*}
p_1/p_2 &= -\tan((\psi - \beta_1)a_1) \cot(\alpha_1 a_1) \\
p_2/p_3 &= -\tan(\alpha_1 a_1) \cot(\beta_1 a_1) \\
p_3/p_4 &= -\tan(\beta_1 a_1) \cot((\phi - \alpha_1)a_1) \\
p_4/p_3 &= -\tan((\phi - \alpha_1)a_1) \cot((\psi - \beta_1)a_1) \\
0 < a_1 < \pi/\psi \\
\max\{0, 2\phi a_1 - \pi\} < 2a_1 \alpha_1 < \min\{2\phi a_1, \pi\} \\
\max\{0, \pi - 2\psi a_1\} < -2a_1 \beta_1 < \min\{\pi, 2\pi - 2\psi a_1\}
\end{align*}$$
The solution has a discontinuous derivative along the interfaces, and an infinite derivative at the origin. The solution is in $H^{1+a_1-\epsilon}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, so $a_1$ can be chosen to make the Sobolev regularity arbitrarily close to 1.

Equation: $-p\nabla^2 u = 0$ where $p$ is piecewise constant
Domain: $(-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$
Boundary conditions: Dirichlet
Solution: given by Equations 7 and 8
Parameters: As in [9], we take $\theta = \pi/2$, $p_1 = p_3 = R$, $p_2 = p_4 = 1$, and $a_1 = 0.1$. The conditions in Equation 9 can then be solved to obtain $R = 161.4476387975881$, $\alpha_1 = \pi/4$ and $\beta_1 = -14.92256510455152$.

The solution for the given parameters is shown in Figure 28.

2.12 Multiple Difficulties

In [12] one of the test cases involves both a singularity due to a reentrant corner and a sharp gradient in the form of an arctangent wave front. In this problem we combine four or five difficulties of different strengths into the same problem by combining some of the features of the other test problems. It contains a point singularity due to a reentrant corner, a circular wave front (which might include a singularity at the center of the circle), a sharp peak, and a boundary layer.

Equation: Poisson
Domain: L-shaped domain $(-1, 1) \times (-1, 1) \setminus (0, 1) \times (-1, 0)$
Boundary conditions: Dirichlet
Solution: $r^{(\pi/\omega)} \sin(\theta \pi/\omega) + \tan^{-1}(\alpha_w(\sqrt{(x-x_w)^2 + (y-y_w)^2} - r_0)) + e^{-\alpha_p((x-x_p)^2 + (y-y_p)^2)} + e^{-(1+y)/\epsilon}$

Parameters: This problem has the same parameters as the problems that it combines. The boundary layer was placed on $y = -1$. It could instead be placed on $y = 1$, $x = -1$ or $x = 1$, or any combination of them.

Figure 29 shows a solution where the wave front intersects the boundary layer and corner singularity, and the peak is centered on the wave front. The
following parameters were used. For the reentrant corner, $\omega = 3\pi/2$. The wave front is defined by $(x_w, y_w) = (0, -3/4)$, $r_0 = 3/4$ and $\alpha_w = 200$. The peak is centered at $(x_p, y_p) = (\sqrt{5}/4, -1/4)$ with strength $\alpha_p = 1000$. The boundary layer is given by $\epsilon = 1/100$.
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