Magnetic field effect on the static antiferromagnetic order and spin excitations in underdoped iron arsenide superconductor $\text{BaFe}_{1.92}\text{Ni}_{0.08}\text{As}_2$
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We use neutron scattering to study the magnetic field effect on the static antiferromagnetic (AF) order and low-energy spin excitations in the underdoped iron arsenide superconductor $\text{BaFe}_{1.92}\text{Ni}_{0.08}\text{As}_2$. At zero field, superconductivity that occurs below $T_c = 17$ K coincides with the appearance of a neutron spin resonance and reduction in the static ordered moment. Upon application of a $\sim$10-T magnetic field in the FeAs-plane, the intensity of the resonance is reduced, accompanied by decreasing $T_c$ and enhanced static AF scattering. These results are similar to those for some copper oxide superconductors, and demonstrate that the static AF order is a competing phase to superconductivity in $\text{BaFe}_{1.92}\text{Ni}_{0.08}\text{As}_2$.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Ha, 74.70.-b, 78.70.Nx

I. INTRODUCTION

The parent compounds of iron arsenide superconductors exhibit static antiferromagnetic (AF) order with a simple collinear spin structure as shown in Fig. 1(a) [1–3]. Since superconductivity in iron arsenides can arise from electron or hole doping of their AF parent compounds [4, 5], it is generally believed that magnetism plays an important role in the superconductivity of these materials [6–10]. In one class of electron-doped iron arsenide based superconductors, $\text{BaFe}_{2-x}(\text{Co, Ni})_x\text{As}_2$ [11, 12], superconductivity and static AF order can coexist in the underdoped regime [13–15]. Subsequent neutron scattering experiments on these samples reveal that the occurrence of superconductivity is accompanied by a reduction in the static AF Bragg intensity and the appearance of a neutron spin resonance in the magnetic excitations spectra [16–18]. Theoretically, it has been argued that the coexisting static AF order and superconductivity is inconsistent with the conventional BCS theory, but compatible with electron pairing mediated by quasiparticle excitations between sign reversed $\pi$-wave hole-like pockets around the $\Gamma$ point and the electron-like Fermi pockets around the $M$ point (the so-called $s^\pm$ pairing symmetry) [19–24]. In this pure itinerant picture, electrons that form the ordered moment also contribute to the superconducting condensation, and AF order and superconductivity thus coexist microscopically [23, 24]. If the static AF order in $\text{BaFe}_{2-x}(\text{Co, Ni})_x\text{As}_2$ also has local moment contributions [25, 26], the magnetically ordered phase can coexist much easier with superconductivity but the ordered moment should not be affected by superconductivity [24].

One way to test the interplay between magnetism and superconductivity is to use magnetic field as a tuning parameter. If the static AF order in the underdoped $\text{BaFe}_{2-x}(\text{Co, Ni})_x\text{As}_2$ indeed coexists and competes with superconductivity [15–18], application of a magnetic field that suppresses superconductivity should also enhance the static AF order, much like that of the electron-doped copper oxide superconductors [27, 28]. On the other hand, if the static AF order in $\text{BaFe}_{2-x}(\text{Co, Ni})_x\text{As}_2$ is chemically phase separated from the superconducting parts of the sample, application of a magnetic field should reduce the AF ordered moment, as has been found in chemically phase separated $\text{Ba}_1-x\text{K}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ [29]. Neutrons cannot directly probe the microscopic nature of the coexisting state between static AF order and superconductivity [16–18], but neutron scattering experiments in a magnetic field will allow a direct comparison on the effect of a field for the superconductivity and static AF order. In previous neutron scattering experiments on optimally doped iron arsenide $\text{BaFe}_{0.90}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{As}_2$ [30] and iron chalcogenide $\text{FeTe}_{0.5}\text{Se}_{0.5}$ [31] superconductors, the effect of a 10-14 Tesla magnetic field applied either along the $c$-axis [30] or in the $\text{FeSe}$ plane [31] reduces the intensity of the neutron spin resonance without inducing static AF order.

In this article, we report neutron scattering studies on the static AF order and spin excitations of underdoped $\text{BaFe}_{1.92}\text{Ni}_{0.08}\text{As}_2$ [$T_c = 17$ K, Fig. 1(d)] under the influence of an applied magnetic field. At zero field, previous neutron scattering experiments on similar samples have shown that the static AF ordered moment reduces at the onset of superconductivity, together with the appearance of a neutron spin resonance in the magnetic
excitation spectra [16–18]. We find that the static AF order in BaFe$_{1.92}$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$ is not instrumental resolution limited, and has a spin-spin correlation length shorter than the lattice correlation length. Upon application of a magnetic field in the FeAs-plane, the static AF order is enhanced below $T_c$, but is not affected in the temperature range below $T_N$ and above $T_c$ ($T_c < T < T_N$). The enhancement of the static AF order is accompanied by a suppression of the superconducting $T_c$ and the intensity of neutron spin resonance. These results are consistent with a competing static AF order and superconductivity, and suggest that the interplay between magnetism and superconductivity in iron arsenide superconductors is similar in many ways to that for copper oxide superconductors.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

In recent inelastic neutron scattering experiments on underdoped BaFe$_{1.96}$Co$_{0.04}$As$_2$ ($T_c = 15$ K) [16], BaFe$_{1.92}$Co$_{0.08}$As$_2$ ($T_c = 11$ K) [17], and BaFe$_{2-x}$Ni$_x$As$_2$ [18] superconductors, the static AF order was found to coexist with superconductivity and cooling below $T_c$'s in these samples induced a weak neutron spin resonance in the magnetic excitations spectra at the expense of the AF Bragg peak intensity. For BaFe$_{1.92}$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$ with $T_c = 17$ K [Fig. 1(d)], the static AF order occurs below $T_N = 44$ K as shown in Fig. 1(c). To study the ef-

FIG. 1: (color online) (a) The antiferromagnetic spin structure of the undoped parent compound BaFe$_2$As$_2$ and the direction of applied field. (b) The reciprocal space probed in the present experiment and the direction of applied field. (c) Temperature dependence of the AF Bragg peak at (0.5, 0.5, 3) at zero and 10-T in-plane field. The data were taken on BT-7 and showed $T_N = 44$ K. The background scattering has no temperature and field dependence. (d) Temperature dependence of the Meissner and shielding signals on thin slabs of BaFe$_{1.92}$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$. These measurements were taken in zero field cooling (ZFC) with 5 Oe applied field along the thin slab’s direction. (e) The field-on subtract field-off rocking curve scan through the (0.5, 0.5, 3) AF Bragg peak at 6 K. The positive scattering centered at the correct 6-angle indicates that the field-induced effect occurs at the (0.5, 0.5, 3) AF Bragg position. (f) Identical rocking curve scans at 20 K, clearly indicating that the applied field has no observable effect on the static AF order below $T_N$ and above $T_c$. (g) Black squares indicate a high-resolution scan along the [H, H, 3] direction in the AF ordered state. The red circles show the identical scan above $T_N$ without the cold Be filter, which give $\lambda/2$ scattering from the lattice structural Bragg peak (1, 1, 6). (h) Similar scans along the [0.5, 0.5, L] direction.

FIG. 2: (color online) Temperature dependence of the imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility, $\chi''(Q, \omega)$, after subtracting the background scattering and correcting for Bose population factor. (a) $Q$-scans at $E = 1.5$ meV along the [0.5, 0.5, L] direction above and below $T_c$. The data show clear L-direction sinusoidal modulation. (b) Similar scans at an energy just below the resonance ($E = 3$ meV). (c) $Q$-scans at the resonance energy of $E = 6$ meV. The intensity gain below $T_c$ is clearly not uniform at different $L$-values.
fect of an in-plane magnetic field on the static AF order and spin excitations, we have carried out neutron scattering experiments on the BT-7 thermal and SPINS cold triple-axis spectrometers [18] and on MACS cold neutron spectrometer [32] at the NIST Center for Neutron Research. We defined the wave vector $Q$ at $(q_x, q_y, q_z)$ as $(H, K, L) = (q_x a / 2 \pi, q_y b / 2 \pi, q_z c / 2 \pi)$ reciprocal lattice units (rhu) using the tetragonal nuclear unit cell, where $a = 3.89$ Å, $b = 3.89$ Å, and $c = 12.77$ Å. We collected about 5 grams of single crystal BaFe$_1.92$Co$_{0.08}$As$_2$ in the $[H, H, L]$ horizontal scattering plane (with mosaicity $\sim$3°), and put our samples inside either a liquid He cryostat or a 12-T vertical field magnet. For thermal triple-axis measurements on BT-7, we used pyrolytic graphite (PG) as monochromator and analyzer with typical collimations of open-40'-S-40'-130' and the 15-T superconducting magnet system. The final neutron energy was chosen to be $E_f = 13.5$ meV with a PG filter before the analyzer. For cold neutron SPINS and MACS measurements, we chose final neutron energy of $E_f = 5.0$ meV with cold Be filters to eliminate $\lambda/2$ scattering. Figure 1(a) shows the spin structure of the parent compound, and Figure 1(b) illustrates the reciprocal space probed in the experiments.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We first discuss our neutron scattering results on BaFe$_1.92$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$ at zero field. The solid diamonds in Fig. 1(c) shows the temperature dependence of the magnetic scattering at $Q = (0.5, 0.5, 3)$. Consistent with earlier results on underdoped FeAs-based superconductors [16-18], BaFe$_1.92$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$ orders antiferromagnetically below a Néel temperature of $T_N = 44$ K, and
FIG. 5: (color online) Energy and wave vector dependence of the spin excitations as a function of an applied magnetic field in the FeAs-plane. (a) Constant-Q scans at $Q = (-0.5, 0, 1)$ below and above $T_c$ at zero and 11-T in-plane field. Inspection of the raw data clearly reveals the reduction of the resonance intensity under field at $T = 4.5$ K. (b) The field-off field-on difference plot at $T = 4.5$ K shows that the magnetic scattering near the resonance energy is mostly affected by the applied field. (c) Constant-energy scans at the resonance energy for zero and 11-T field. The field-induced reduction in magnetic scattering occurs at the AF wave vector $Q = (-0.5, 0, 1)$.

of the zero field data. Figures 1(e) and 1(f) show the rocking curves of the field-on field-off difference plots at 5 K and 20 K, respectively. While a 10-T magnetic field has no influence on the static AF order at 20 K [Fig. 1(f)], it induces additional magnetic order at $(0.5, 0.5, 3)$ below $T_c$ [Fig. 1(e)].

In previous neutron scattering work on BaFe$_2$As$_2$ [18, 34–36], energy dispersive neutron spin resonances were found in the underdoped samples. However, it is not clear how spin excitations evolve and respond to superconductivity at energies below the resonance [18]. Figure 2 summarizes the effect of superconductivity on the c-axis modulations of the spin dynamic susceptibility $\chi''(Q, \omega)$ at different energies obtained on MACS. At $E = 1.5$ meV, $\chi''(Q, \omega)$ displays a clear sinusoidal modulation along the $L$-direction centered at $L = 1, 3, \cdots$ in the normal state at $T = 25$ K. Upon entering into the superconducting state $(T = 1.5$ K), the scattering at $L = 0, 2, 4, \cdots$ vanishes indicating the presence of a spin gap while there are still magnetic scattering at $L = 1, 3, \cdots$. These results are confirmed by constant-energy scans along the $[H, H, L]$ direction with $L = 0.1$ [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)], and are similar to the $L$-dependence of the spin gaps for the optimally electron- [35, 36] and hole-doped [37] FeAs-based superconductors.

At an energy just below the resonance ($E = 3$ meV), $\chi''(Q)$ still has a strong $L$-modulation in both the normal and superconducting states [Fig. 2(b)]. On cooling from 25 K to 1.5 K, $\chi''(Q)$ at $Q = (0.5, 0.5, 1)$ enhances slightly but has no change at $Q = (0.5, 0.5, 0)$. Constant energy scans in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) confirm these results. For an energy transfer near the resonance $(E = 6$ meV), superconductivity enhances $\chi''(Q)$ at all $L$-values as shown in Fig. 2(c). Constant-energy scans in Figs. 3(e) and 3(f) also show that the magnetic intensity gain at $L = 0$ is smaller than that at $L = 1$. This is consistent with the dispersive nature of the resonance, where the mode shifts from $\approx 7.5$ meV at $L = 0$ to $\approx 5.8$ meV at $L = 1$ shown in Fig. 4 similar to previous work on Co-doped BaFe$_{1.906}$Co$_{0.04}$As$_2$ [38].

To determine if the enhanced static AF order in Fig. 1(c) under a magnetic field is compensated by a reduction in the intensity of the resonance and low-energy spin excitations, we carried out inelastic neutron scattering measurements under the influence of a magnetic field. Figure 5(a) shows constant-Q scans carried out below and above $T_c$ in zero and 11-T in-plane field at $Q = (-0.5, 0.5, 1)$. At zero field, the scan at 4.5 K shows a clear resonance peak near 6 meV. Upon application of a 11-T in-plane field, the intensity of the mode is reduced [Fig. 5(a)]. The zero and 11-T field difference plot at 4.5 K in Figure 5(b) shows a peak centered at 6 meV. Therefore, while a 14-T field applied along the c-axis can suppress the intensity and reduce the energy of the resonance, a 11-T field applied in the FeAs-plane only reduces the inten-
order of underdoped BaFe$_{1.92}$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$ is competing with superconductivity.

We now discuss the implications of our results and compare them with that of the magnetic field effect in copper oxide superconductors. For the single layer hole-doped cuprate La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ near doping of $x = 0.125$, application of a magnetic field can enhance the static long-range AF order [40–42]. These results were initially interpreted as due to antiferromagnetism within the vortex cores of the superconductors under the field [42], but has since been understood as due to proximity to the quantum critical point separating a purely superconducting phase from a superconducting/antiferromagnetism coexisting phase [43, 44]. For the bilayer hole-doped cuprate YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+x}$, while the initial neutron scattering experiments have shown that a field can suppress the intensity of the resonance [45], the enhanced static order under a field has only recently been observed in underdoped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.45}$ [46] and is not a universal phenomenon [47]. In the case of electron-doped cuprates, the enhanced static AF order under a field [27] is compensated by suppressing the intensity of the resonance [28].

The observation of a field-induced enhancement of the static AF order at the expense of the resonance in underdoped iron arsenide superconductor BaFe$_{1.92}$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$ is similar to the field-induced effects on the static AF order and resonance in some of the cuprate superconductors [40–42, 44, 46]. Particularly the electron-doped materials [27, 28]. Although our results indicate a competing static AF order with superconductivity, it is still unclear whether the static AF order in BaFe$_{1.92}$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$ microscopically coexists with superconductivity as theoretically envisioned [23, 24]. In recent muon spin rotation ($\mu$SR) experiments on underdoped BaFe$_{1.88}$Co$_{0.12}$As$_2$ with coexisting static AF and superconducting phases, the local magnetic field detected by muons does not show a noticeable reduction below $T_c$ [48]. Since muons are local probes, this result suggests that the static AF moment of the system does not decrease below $T_c$. Therefore, the coexisting AF and superconducting phases might be mesoscopic, where superconductivity and AF order are intertwined in a very short length scale and live in separate regions. The relevant length parameter for superconducting regions is the superconducting coherence length, which is on the order of 20 Å [39]. On the other hand, the propagation of the field from the static Fe moment to the muon site is due to dipolar interaction, which is much shorter than the penetration depth and dies away in about 20 Å [49]. If the width of the superconducting region is smaller than the propagation range of the dipolar field, then the muons in the river regions can still feel the static internal field from the AF ordered background. In this scenario, application of a magnetic field that suppresses the superconducting parts of the sample enhances the static AF

FIG. 6: (color online) Temperature dependence of the resonance and elastic scattering at zero field and 11-T in-plane field. (a) Temperature dependence of the $E = 6$ meV scattering in zero field at $Q = (0.5, 0.5, 1)$ for BaFe$_{1.92}$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$. The scattering increases in intensity below $T_c$ of 17 K. (b) Identical temperature dependence of the $E = 6$ meV scattering under 11-T field. The field-induced $T_c$ has now shifted to 15 K. (c) Expanded plot of the elastic magnetic scattering in zero and 11-T field. The data confirm the shifted $T_c$ under field.

sity of the resonance and does not affect the energy of the mode. Figure 5(c) shows constant-energy scans in the superconducting state with and without the applied magnetic field. The effect of an applied field is to suppress magnetic scattering centered at the AF wave vector near the resonance energy.

Figure 6 shows the temperature dependence of the resonance at zero and 11-T. At zero field, the intensity of the mode increases gradually below $T_c = 17$ K [Fig. 6(a)]. Under the influence of a 11-T field in the FeAs-plane, the resonance intensity starts to increase below about 15 K [Fig. 6(b)]. The reduced $T_c$ in the in-plane field for the resonance is consistent with the reduction in the AF Bragg intensity as shown in Fig. 6(c). These results are also consistent with the expected $T_c$ reduction from the transport measurements for similar $T_c$ Co-doped materials [39]. If we assume that the resonance is a direct probe for measuring electron pairing and superconductivity in iron arsenide superconductors, the observation of the elastic magnetic intensity gain at the expense of the resonance provides direct evidence that the static AF
phase through volume fraction change (thus the reduction in the AF Bragg peak intensity) without changing the static ordered moment (no change in local field seen by μSR). While this picture is consistent with the observation that the static AF order in BaFe$_{1.92}$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$ is not resolution limited [Figs. 1(g) and 1(h)], it is inconsistent with the unchanged static spin-spin correlation lengths across $T_\Sigma$ in BaFe$_{1.92}$Co$_{0.08}$As$_2$ [17]. Furthermore, recent high-resolution soft X-ray resonant magnetic scattering results suggest that the static AF order in BaFe$_{1.90}$Co$_{0.04}$As$_2$ is truly long-ranged [33]. Clearly, more systematic high-resolution neutron diffraction measurements are necessary to clarify the nature of the static AF ordered phase and its coexistence with superconductivity in BaFe$_{2-x}$(Co,Ni)$_x$As$_2$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have determined the effects of an in-plane magnetic field on the static AF order and spin excitations of the underdoped BaFe$_{1.92}$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$ superconductor. At zero field, the system orders antiferromagnetically below about 44 K but the order is not truly long-ranged and instrumental resolution-limited. The spin excitations display sinusoidal modulation along the $c$-axis and form a dispersive neutron spin resonance associated with superconductivity as reported in earlier works [18, 34, 36]. While application of a magnetic field in the FeAs-plane has no observable effect on static AF order below $T_N$ and above $T_\Sigma$, it clearly enhances the zero-field static AF order at the expense of the neutron spin resonance. Our results provide direct evidence that the static AF order is a competing phase to superconductivity. However, the present neutron scattering data cannot conclusively determine if the static AF order in BaFe$_{1.92}$Ni$_{0.08}$As$_2$ is microscopically or mesoscopically coexisting with superconductivity.
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