EVSIM - AN EVAPORATOR SIMULATION MODEL ACCOUNTING FOR REFRIGERANT AND ONE DIMENSIONAL AIR DISTRIBUTION

Piotr A. Domanski

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, MD 20899
EVSIM - AN EVAPORATOR SIMULATION MODEL ACCOUNTING FOR REFRIGERANT AND ONE DIMENSIONAL AIR DISTRIBUTION

Piotr A. Domanski

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Institute of Standards and Technology
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Sponsored by:
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Building and Community Systems
Conservation and Renewable Energy
Washington, DC

August 1989
ABSTRACT

This report describes a computer model, EVSIM, of a refrigerant-to-air heat exchanger of the type used in residential air conditioning as an evaporator. The model provides performance predictions of a one-slab or two-slab evaporator for a given refrigerant enthalpy at the coil inlet, saturation temperature and superheat at the coil outlet, and at imposed one dimensional air mass flow distribution over the coil face.

The model accounts for air distribution and for complex refrigerant circuitry designs by simulating refrigerant distribution. Performance of the coil is calculated employing a tube-by-tube scheme. Performance of each tube is evaluated individually based on individual air and refrigerant mass flow rates and their respective thermodynamic states assigned for each tube. The modelling effort emphasis was on the local thermodynamic phenomena which were described by fundamental heat transfer equations and equations of state relationships among material properties.

This report includes a User's Guide and a listing written in FORTRAN 77. Due to the detailed algorithms and tube-by-tube performance evaluation scheme, mini and main frame computers are best suited for simulation studies using EVSIM. Nevertheless, the model converges on an IBM AT compatible machine within 2-6 minutes when simulating a single slab evaporator.
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DISCLAIMER

In view of the presently accepted practice of the building industry in the United States and the structure of the computer software used in this project, common U.S. units of measurement have been used in this report. In recognition of the United States as a signatory to the General Conference of Weights and Measures, which gave official status to the SI system of units in 1960, appropriate conversion factors have been provided in the table below. The reader interested in making further use of the coherent system of SI units is referred to: NBS SP330, 1972 Edition, 'The International System of Units,' or E380-72, ASTM Metric Practice Guide (American National Standard 2210.1).

**METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Conversion Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>1 inch (in) = 25.4 millimeters (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 foot (ft) = 0.3048 meter (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>1 ft² = 0.092903 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>1 ft³ = 0.028317 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>F = 9/5 C + 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>1°F = 5/9°C or K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>1 pound (lb) = 0.453592 kilogram (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Per Unit Volume</td>
<td>1 lb/ft³ = 16.0185 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>1 Btu = 1.05506 Kilojoules (kJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Heat</td>
<td>1 Btu/[(lb)(°F)] = 4.1868 kJ/[(kg)(K)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallon</td>
<td>1 gallon = 0.0037854 m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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LIST OF SYMBOLS

A = flow cross sectional area

ANNUL = length fraction of the tube with flow quality up to .85

A_f = fin surface area

A_{p,i} = pipe inside area

A_{p,m} = pipe mean surface area

A_o = pipe total outside surface area

\( B_o = \frac{Q}{G \cdot i_{f_5}} \), boiling number

C_p = heat capacity at constant pressure

D = diameter

d = indentation diameter of Vickers microhardness test, 25g load

FPI = number of fins per inch

F_i = fraction of refrigerant mass flow rate flowing through a given branch of refrigerant circuit

F_j = enhancement multiplier for lanced fins

f = friction factor

G = mass flux

\( G_z = \frac{Re \cdot Pr \cdot D_h}{N \cdot S_i} \), Graetz number

g = gravitational acceleration

\( g_c = 32.2 \text{ (ft \cdot lb/\text{lb}_r \cdot s^2)} \), dimensional constant

h = thermal conductance

h_{c,o} = convection heat transfer coefficient at the exterior surface

h_{b,o} = air-side mass transfer coefficient

h_i = inside tube heat transfer coefficient
\( h_{cf} \) = thermal conductance of the pipe-to-fin contact
\( I \) = tube expansion interference
\( i \) = enthalpy
\( i_{fs} \) = latent heat of evaporation
\( J \) = 778.17 (lb \( \cdot \) ft/Btu), mechanical equivalent of heat
\( J \cdot i_{fs} \cdot \Delta x \)
\( K_t \) = Pierre’s boiling number
\( k \) = thermal conductivity
\( L \) = length
\( L_e \) = Levis number
\( l_s \) = width of a strip in a lanced fin
\( M \) = fin parameter, or molecular weight
\( m \) = mass flow rate
\( N \) = number of tube depth rows
\( n_s \) = number of strips in the enhanced zone area in a lanced fin
\( P \) = pressure
\( Q \) = heat transfer rate, or coil capacity
\( Pr \) = Prandtl number
\( R \) = equivalent fin tip radius
\( Re \) = Reynolds number
$R_i = \text{resistance to flow offered by a given branch refrigerant circuit}$

$R' = \text{condensation rate per unit width of a fin}$

$r = 0.5 \cdot D_o, \text{ outside radius of a tube}$

$S_l = \text{tube spacing in air flow direction (depth row pitch)}$

$S_p = \text{fin pattern depth for a wavy fin, per Figure 5}$

$S_s = \text{length of a strip of a lanced fin}$

$S_t = \text{tube spacing normal to air flow}$

$s = \text{spacing between adjacent fins}$

$T = \text{temperature}$

$t = \text{temperature or fin thickness}$

$U = \text{overall heat transfer coefficient}$

$V = \text{velocity}$

$v = \text{specific volume}$

$w_a = \text{humidity ratio of air}$

$w_w = \text{humidity ratio of saturated air}$

$XDRY = \text{length fraction of the tube with flow quality within the range 0.85 - 1.00}$

$x = \text{refrigerant flow quality}$

$x_p = \text{thickness of pipe wall}$

$y = \text{distance from the wall or tube pitch per Figure 4}$

$\delta = \text{average thickness of condensate film}$

$\mu = \text{absolute viscosity}$

$\rho = \text{density}$

$\phi = \text{fin efficiency}$

$\phi_s = \frac{\text{strip area}}{\text{total fin area}}$
1. INTRODUCTION

This report describes a computer model of a refrigerant-to-air heat exchanger of the type used as an evaporator in almost all residential air-conditioning applications. A typical design is shown in Figure 1. The refrigerant flows inside tubes arranged in a certain circuit while the air passes outside the finned tubes. The air flows through the heat exchanger, evaporates the refrigerant, and cools down in the process. If the temperature of the air drops below the dew point temperature, water vapor contained in the air stream condenses on the heat exchanger surface.

Figure 1. A schematic of a single-slab evaporator.
During flow through the heat exchanger the temperature of the air gradually decreases while the temperature of the refrigerant remains approximately constant as long as the refrigerant is in the two-phase state. Once refrigerant is fully evaporated, its temperature increases and the temperature difference between the refrigerant and air decreases. Air-conditioning systems are designed to operate at a small refrigerant superheat at the evaporator outlet. Capacity of the evaporator may be significantly reduced if the outlet superheat is a result of mixing of highly superheated vapor and two-phase flows leaving different circuits of the coil [1].

A number of design features impact the performance of an evaporator coil. Flat, wavy, lanced or louvered fins may be used on the air side. Tubes with a smooth inside surface are most popular but enhanced surfaces are also available. Different materials may be used; fins are normally made from aluminum while tubes are manufactured from aluminum or copper. Other significant design features are staggering pattern of tubes, tube diameter, number of tube depth rows, fin pitch and thickness, design of refrigerant circuitry, and coil configuration. The last two design aspects affect refrigerant and air distributions.

Manufacturing technique may also impact the heat exchanger performance. For example, contact resistance between a tube and fin may depend on the tool quality; outside surface treatment may promote better drainage of condensate.

The above description indicates that detailed modeling of an evaporator coil may be quite involved. Indeed, the complexity of all the processes
associated with heat transfer between the air and refrigerant in a cross-flow, finned tube heat exchanger requires simplifying assumptions to be made during formulation of the model. However, a more detailed, fundamentally justified model has better chance to correctly predict performance of the modeled hardware.

A simple and popular way to determine coil capacity depends on utilizing performance catalogs of major manufacturers [2,3], or performance correlations developed by fitting the catalog data. Capacity data are presented in the form of charts for specific designs specified by the tube pattern, tube diameter, tube and fin materials and the shape, spacing and thickness of fins. These charts are usually developed for 45°F refrigerant saturation temperature, and 80°F dry bulb and 67°F wet bulb temperature of air. The convenience and quickness of using coil catalogs comes at the price of a few disadvantages; refrigerant circuity can be accounted for only in a rudimentary fashion, and extrapolation from the conditions specified in the catalog to other operating conditions may be questionable.

Computer based models which provide performance predictions through evaluation of heat transfer relationships are more versatile. Among models in the public domain, the evaporator model contained in the general heat pump model [4] was developed rather for system studies. It uses effectiveness vs. $N_{tu}$ correlations and the assumption that the heat exchanger consists of equivalent parallel refrigerant circuits.
Another evaporator model, included in the heat pump model [5], is based on a tube-by-tube approach. Heat transfer in this model is evaluated for each tube independently based on the refrigerant temperature in the tube and the average air temperature for all tubes in a given row. The selection of tubes for performance evaluation is opposite to the refrigerant flow, i.e. from the outlet to the inlet. This backward scheme results in faster convergence of the vapor compression cycle simulation at the price of unrealistic imposition of the same refrigerant parameters at the outlet of each circuit. The refrigerant distribution in the model is estimated based on the circuitry layout and is maintained unchanged during coil simulation. The model assumes a uniform distribution of air and assigns the same air mass flow rate for each tube.

This report describes further development of the evaporator model presented in [5]. The new model, EVSIM, is also based on a tube-by-tube approach but has several new features. It can simulate performance of an evaporator coil with non-uniform, traverse to the tubes, one-dimensional air distribution. Refrigerant distribution is simulated based on the pressure drops in each circuit. A forward iteration scheme, from the coil inlet to outlet, is used which allows for more realistic modeling; refrigerant superheat at different circuit outlets may be different depending on the heat gained and mass flow in each circuit.
2. MODELING APPROACH

2.1 Tube-by-Tube Method

The model described in this report, EVSIM, is based on a tube-by-tube approach. Evaluation of performance for a single finned tube is the basic part of the model. Performance of each tube is analyzed separately one at a time. Each tube is associated with refrigerant parameters and specific air mass flow rate, inlet temperature and humidity.

Another important part of the model is the logic which assigns tubes for calculation in proper order, maintains inventory of air and refrigerant parameters for each tube, and maintains the convergence scheme.

Simulation starts with the refrigerant inlet tube of a given circuit and progresses consecutively to the following tubes until the outlet is reached. If the circuit splits, the model proceeds with calculations of one branch of the circuit and, when the exit is reached, returns to the split point to finish calculations on the remaining branches. Once calculations for the circuit are completed, the remaining circuits are calculated starting with the inlet tubes.

The selection scheme for tube calculations assures that refrigerant parameters are always known at the entrance of the tube; they are equal to the outlet parameters for the proceeding tube. At the outset of simulation the temperature and humidity ratio of air is known only for tubes in the first depth row and is estimated for other rows. These estimates are updated with new calculated values as calculations progress.
2.2 Air Distribution

The model can account for non-uniform air distribution between coil tubes. Each tube itself is assumed to have uniform air distribution over its length. Air distribution data have to be provided to the model in the form of values of air velocity at the coil face at discrete points on the center plane, perpendicular to coil tubes (specification of air velocity at the coil face is explained in Appendix A). From these data, the model derives velocity distribution for the face of the coil and determines the air mass flow rate associated with each tube in the first depth row.

Air mass flow rates associated with tubes past the first row are calculated based on mass flow rates associated with the preceding tubes. It is assumed that the general direction of the air flow through the slab is perpendicular to slab face and that air splits equally at each tube [1]. Thus a given tube is exposed to the air stream which consists of 50% of the air streams associated with the two closest neighbors in the proceeding row.

Air temperature and humidity at each tube past the first row is calculated by evaluating the mixing balances for air by standard psychometric equations. If the mixing process results in saturated air, the amount of condensed vapor is calculated and is assumed to collect on fins and drain. The mixing process is calculated by subroutine MIXAIR. The air distribution is assigned by subroutine DISTR2.
2.3 Refrigerant Distribution

Refrigerant distribution is governed in a coil by pressure drop. In this report, separate consideration has been given to single-slab and two-slab evaporators.

2.3.1 Single-Slab Evaporator

EVSIM can simulate one-slab evaporators employing one expansion device. In such a heat exchanger refrigerant pressure at each inlet tube is the same. Refrigerant mass flow rate through each circuit adjusts itself so pressure at each outlet tube is also the same.

At the outset of simulation the model estimates refrigerant distribution based on the circuitry layout. A uniform resistance to flow at each tube is assumed. This assumption is crude since pressure drop will depend on the refrigerant mass flux and heat transfer rate. In the course of simulation, once pressure drops are calculated for each tube, the refrigerant distribution is updated using the available pressure drop data.

Figure 2 presents an example of refrigerant circuitry on one plane. Each vertical line represents a tube. Numbers in Figure 2 denote location of each tube as explained in Appendix A.

The refrigerant distribution in a coil is determined by sequential analysis of each split point and associated branches. The algorithm for evaluation of refrigerant distribution was derived considering that pressure drop for the evaporative flow, as evaluated by the Pierre’s correlation [6], can be
Each vertical line represents a tube. A number next to the line represents location of the tube, as explained in Appendix A.

Figure 2. An Example of Refrigerant Circuitry.
simplistically presented in the following form:

\[ \Delta P \propto f \cdot G^2 \quad (1) \]

where: \( \Delta P \) = pressure drop  
\( f \) = friction factor  
\( G \) = mass flux

Considering that the friction factor in the Pierre's correlation is a function of the Reynolds number to the \(-0.25\) power, we can rearrange equation (1) to obtain the following relations for each branch of circuitry:

\[ R_i \cdot G_i^{1.75} = \Delta P_i \quad (2) \]

where: \( R_i \) = resistance to flow offered by a given branch leaving the split point (it accounts for the effects of tube geometry, fluid density and viscosity)  
\( G_i \) = refrigerant mass flux rate flowing through a given branch  
\( \Delta P_i \) = pressure drop in a given circuitry branch

Equation (2) allows calculation of the flow resistance for each branch using refrigerant pressure drop, \( \Delta P_i \), and mass flux, \( G_i \), calculated in the previous iteration loop. Once flow resistances, \( R_i \), are known, equation (2) allows estimation of the ratio of mass fluxes at any two branches, considering the fact that pressure drop through all branches associated with a given split point should be the same.

Since the sum of refrigerant mass flow rates through the branches equals the mass flow rate at the split point, the following equation holds:
\[ F_1 + F_2 + \ldots + F_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i = 1. \quad (3) \]

where: \( F_i = \) fraction of refrigerant mass flow rate at the split point flowing through a given branch
\( n = \) number of branches leaving the split point

Equation (3) and mass flux ratios generated by equation (2) constitute a set of \( n \) equations with \( n \) unknowns. This set can be solved to express the fraction of refrigerant mass flow rate at the split point flowing through any \( i^{th} \) of its \( n \) branches:

\[ F_i = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (R_i/R_j)^{0.571}} \quad (4) \]

The above equation is used to update the refrigerant distribution. As result of distribution updates, the model iterates refrigerant pressure to within 0.05 psi at different circuitry outlets.

2.3.2 Two-Slab Evaporator

The model can simulate two types of two-slab evaporators:
1. those equipped with a single expansion device feeding both slabs,
2. those equipped with two expansion devices, one for each slab.

These two cases require somewhat different approaches to simulate refrigerant distribution. In the first case, as for a one-slab coil, refrigerant distribution is governed by the refrigerant pressure drop in the
coil circuits. Refrigerant distribution in this case is determined by EVSIM as for a one-slab assembly.

If each coil of a two-slab assembly is fed independently by an individual expansion device, refrigerant distribution is determined in two stages. Firstly, the split of refrigerant between two slabs is determined solely by the relative restrictiveness of the expansion valves used. This is due to the fact that expansion devices used in air-conditioning applications usually choke and exhibit very weak dependence on the evaporator pressure. Secondly, refrigerant flow rate, already determined for each slab, adjusts itself at individual circuits based on their relative restrictiveness.

It should be noted that, unlike for a one expansion device assembly for which refrigerant pressures at all inlet and outlet tubes are respectively equal, a two expansion device assembly will have the same outlet pressure while the inlet pressure may be different between the two slabs involved (this will result in different refrigerant temperature profiles which affects the heat transfer rate). Since the model is set up to arrive at a specified outlet pressure with the inlet pressure unknown, an iterative procedure is employed for the two expansion device coil.
3. MODELING OF HEAT, MASS AND MOMENTUM TRANSFER PROCESSES

3.1 Heat Transfer for a Tube in a Cross-Flow Arrangement

If a single, separate tube of an air-to-refrigerant heat exchanger is considered, the heat transfer problem reduces to one of a pure cross-flow. For this type of heat transfer closed expressions can be derived based on cross-flow heat transfer theory. According to the general heat transfer equation:

\[ Q = U \cdot A \cdot \Delta T_m \]  

where:
- \( A \) = heat transfer surface area
- \( U \) = overall heat transfer coefficient
- \( \Delta T_m \) = mean temperature difference between the heat exchanging fluids
- \( Q \) = heat transfer rate

In case of a pure cross-flow arrangements, one of two mean temperature differences applies for \( \Delta T_m \), [7]:

\[ \Delta T_m = \frac{t_2 - t_1}{\ln \left( \frac{T_2 - t_1}{T_1 - t_2} \right)} \]  

when temperature of one of the fluids does not change (temperature of that fluid is denoted by \( T \))

\[ \Delta T_m = \frac{t_2 - t_1}{\ln \left( \frac{T_1 - T_2}{t_2 - t_1} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{T_2 - t_1}{T_1 - t_1} \right)} \]  

when temperature of both fluids change

where:
- \( T \) = temperature of one fluid
- \( t \) = temperature of another fluid
- \( \Delta T_m \) = mean temperature difference

subscripts 1 and 2 refer to tube inlet and outlet conditions.
The enthalpy change of the fluid will be according to the equation:

\[ Q = m \cdot (i_2 - i_1) \]  

(8)

or

\[ Q = m \cdot C_p \cdot (T_2 - T_1) \]  

(9)

Equations (5) through (9) allow derivation of formulas for calculation of the heat transfer rate in a tube with two-phase refrigerant or superheated vapor flow. Tubes in which both flow patterns exist can be identified and the fractions of tube length associated with specific flow patterns can be calculated. For refrigerant pressure drop calculations, the model uses different pressure drop correlations for the two-phase portion and the superheated vapor portion of the flow. Heat transfer calculations employ different inside tube heat transfer correlations for annular flow (defined by the flow quality not greater than 0.85), dispersed flow (quality in the range of 0.85 - 1.00), and single-phase, superheated vapor flow (quality greater than 1.00). The derived correlations are presented below and are followed by explanation of the symbols.

**Annular Flow**

\[ Q = m_a \cdot C_{p,a} (t_1 - T_i)(1 - \exp(-\frac{U \cdot A_o}{m_a \cdot C_{p,a}})) \]  

(10)

If the calculated heat transfer rate, \( Q \), results in a refrigerant quality at the tube exit greater than 0.85, the heat transfer rate within the annular flow regime is equal to:

\[ Q = m_r (i_{x,0.85} - i_1) \]  

(11)
The fraction of the tube with flow quality up to 0.85, ANNUL, can be calculated by the equation:

\[
\text{ANNUL} = \frac{m_r (i_{r,85} - i_{r,i})}{m_a \cdot C_{p,a} \left(t_i - T_i\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{U \cdot A_o}{m_a \cdot C_{p,a}}\right)\right)}
\]  

(12)

Dispersed Flow

\[
Q = m_a \cdot C_{p,a} \left(1 - \text{ANNUL}\right) \left(t_i - T_i\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{U \cdot A_o}{m_a \cdot C_{p,a}}\right)\right)
\]

(13)

If the calculated heat transfer rate results in the refrigerant enthalpy exceeding that of the saturated vapor, \(i_{r,v}\), the heat transfer rate within the dispersed flow is equal to:

\[
Q = m_r \cdot (i_{r,v} - i_{r,i})
\]

(14)

The fraction of the tube with dispersed flow, XDRY, can be calculated by the equation:

\[
\text{XDRY} = \frac{m_r \cdot (i_{r,v} - i_{r,i})}{m_a \cdot C_{p,a} \left(1 - \text{ANNUL}\right) \left(t_i - T_i\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{U \cdot A_o}{m_a \cdot C_{p,a}}\right)\right)}
\]

(15)

Single-phase Flow (superheated vapor)

\[
Q = m_r \cdot C_{p,r} \left(t_i - T_i\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{U \cdot A_o}{m_r \cdot C_{p,r}}\right)\right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{U \cdot A_o}{m_a \cdot C_{p,a}}\right)\right)
\]

(16)
In equations (10) through (16) the following nomenclature was used:

\[ A_0 = \text{total exterior surface area associated with the tube wetted by air} \]
\[ C_{p,a} = \text{air specific heat at constant pressure} \]
\[ C_{p,r} = \text{refrigerant specific heat at constant pressure} \]
\[ i_{r,i} = \text{refrigerant enthalpy at the tube inlet} \]
\[ i_{r,v} = \text{enthalpy of refrigerant saturated vapor} \]
\[ i_{r,0.85} = \text{refrigerant enthalpy at flow quality equal 0.85} \]
\[ m_a = \text{air mass flow rate associated with the tube} \]
\[ m_r = \text{refrigerant mass flow rate in the tube} \]
\[ t_i = \text{air temperature upstream of the tube} \]
\[ T_i = \text{refrigerant temperature at tube inlet} \]
\[ L = \text{length fraction of the tube with flow quality up to 0.85} \]
\[ X_{DRY} = \text{length fraction of the tube with flow quality within the range 0.85 - 1.00} \]
\[ U = \text{overall tube heat transfer coefficient} \]

3.2 Overall Heat Transfer Coefficient for a Finned Tube

3.2.1 Dry Tube

The overall heat transfer coefficient, \( U \), for a dry finned tube can be derived by summing up the individual resistances between the refrigerant and the air, \([7]\):

\[ U = \left[ \frac{A_0}{A_{p,i} h_i} + \frac{A_o x_p}{A_{p,m} k_p} + \frac{A_0}{A_{p,o} h_{t_f}} + \frac{1}{h_{c,o}[1 - (A_f/A_0)(1 - \phi)]} \right]^{-1} \quad (17) \]

where
\[ A_f = \text{fin surface area} \]
\[ A_0 = \text{total exterior surface area exposed to air} \]
\[ A_{p,i} = \text{pipe inside surface area} \]
\[ A_{p,m} = \text{pipe mean surface area} \]
\[ A_{p,o} = \text{pipe outside surface area} \]
\[ h_{c,o} = \text{convection heat transfer coefficient at the exterior surface} \]
\[ h_i = \text{inside tube heat transfer coefficient} \]
\[ h_{t_f} = \text{thermal conductance of the pipe-to-fin contact} \]
\[ k_p = \text{thermal conductivity of pipe material} \]
\[ x_p = \text{thickness of pipe wall} \]
\[ \phi = \frac{T_{f,m} - T_a}{T_{f,b} - T_a}, \quad \text{fin efficiency} \]
\[ T_a = \text{air temperature} \]
\[ T_{f,b} = \text{fin base temperature} \]
\[ T_{f,m} = \text{mean fin temperature} \]
The first term and the fourth term of equation (17) refer to the inside and outside convection resistances, respectively. The second term represents the conductive heat transfer resistance through the tube wall. The third term accounts for the contact resistance between the outside tube surface and the fin collar.

3.2.2 Wet Tube

Wet tube analysis is applicable to an evaporator when its surface temperature is below the dew point of the air. As a result, moisture is removed from the air stream and by condensation on the evaporator external surface. At evaporator temperature above the freezing point (simulation range of EVSIM) the condensate flows down the fins under the influence of gravity.

The heat transfer rate between the air stream and the water surface is described by the following equation:

\[ dQ = [h_{c,o}(T_a - T_w) + h_{D,o}(w_a - w_w)\delta_{f,s,w}]dA_o \]  

(19)

The first term accounts for sensible heat transfer and the second term accounts for latent heat transfer. For air at atmospheric pressure the Lewis number,

\[ Le = \frac{h_{c,o}}{h_{D,o} \cdot C_{p,s}} \]  

(20)

is close to 1 [7]. Assuming that the fin efficiency approximates the ratio of the moisture content differences,
\[
\phi = \frac{w_w - w_{f,m}}{w_a - w_w},
\]  

(21)

Equation (19) assumes the following form for a tube with flat fins:

\[
dQ = h_{e,o}(1 + \frac{i_{fs,w}(w_a - w_w)}{C_{p,a}(T_a - T_w)})(1 - (1 - \phi)(T_a - T_w)dA_o
\]  

(22)

Symbols used in equations (19), (20), (21), and (22) denote:

\begin{align*}
A_f &= \text{fin surface area} \\
A_o &= \text{total external area} \\
C_{p,a} &= \text{specific heat of air} \\
h_{e,o} &= \text{air-side forced convection heat transfer coefficient} \\
h_{d,o} &= \text{air-side mass transfer coefficient} \\
i_{fs,w} &= \text{latent heat of condensation for water} \\
T_a &= \text{temperature of air} \\
T_w &= \text{temperature of liquid water at the fin base} \\
Q &= \text{heat transfer rate} \\
w_a &= \text{humidity ratio of air} \\
w_w &= \text{humidity ratio of saturated air at } T_w \text{ temperature}
\end{align*}

The one-dimensional heat conduction across the condensate film can be expressed by the equation:

\[
dQ = h_w \cdot \Delta T_w \cdot dA_o
\]  

(23)

where \( h_w = \frac{k_w}{\delta} \), heat transfer coefficient for the condensate film

\begin{align*}
k_w &= \text{thermal conductivity of water} \\
\delta &= \text{thickness of condensate film} \\
\Delta T_w &= \text{temperature difference across the condensate film}
\end{align*}

Using equations (19) and (23) and referring to equation (17), the following relation for the overall heat transfer coefficient for a wet finned tube can be derived:
\[
U = \left[ \frac{A_o}{h_1 A_{p,1}} + \frac{A_o X_p}{A_{p,m} k_p} + \frac{1}{h_L} + \frac{A_o}{A_{p,0} h_{c,f}} \right]^\frac{-1}{1}
\]

\[\frac{1}{h_{c,o}(1 + \frac{\gamma_{g,w}(W_a - W_w)}{C_{p,a}(T_a - T_w)})(1 - \frac{A_f}{A_o})} \]

where symbols used are defined as in equations (17) and (21).

The presented approach accounts for the impact of moisture condensation on the heat transfer in a few aspects:

1. the layer of condensate offers additional heat flow resistance (term 3 of equation (24)),
2. the air-side heat transfer resistance decreases due to effect of condensation (term 4 of equation (24)),
3. the air-side heat transfer coefficient, \( h_{c,o} \), increases since it is sensitive to external surface geometry and the air flow Reynolds number (see equation (42)),
4. fin efficiency decreases as \( h \) increases (see equation (36)).

Equation (24) requires evaluation of thickness of the condensate layer. The analysis presented below allows evaluation of a mean thickness of a condensate film on a flat, vertical fin. Obviously, in a real application, condensation of moisture is not uniform over the fin surface, and condensate thickness varies even for flat fins. Local variations in the condensate thickness are even more severe for wavy and stripped fins. At the lack of a better
analytical approach, an average thickness of the water layer is evaluated and used in EVSIM in heat transfer calculations.

In order to evaluate water layer thickness, consider the mass transfer equation:

\[ m_{a,d} \cdot dw_a = - h_{d,o}(w_a - w_w) dA_o \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

For the Lewis number equal to 1 equation (25) assumes the following form:

\[ m_{a,d} \cdot dw_a = - \frac{h_{c,o}}{C_p,a} (w_a - w_w) \cdot dA_o \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

The change in the air humidity ratio can be calculated by integrating equation (26), which yields:

\[ w_{a,e} = w_{a,i} - (w_{a,i} - w_w)(1 - \exp \left( \frac{-h_{c,o} \cdot A_o}{C_p,a \cdot m_{a,d}} \right)) \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Condensation of moisture may sometimes occur only on a part of the outside surface associated with a tube. This may happen for example for a tube in which refrigerant is in a superheated vapor state having the inlet and outlet temperature below and above the air dew point. Another probable case is when the refrigerant temperature is slightly below the air dew point. In such a case condensation will occur on the tube surface and on that part of the finned area which is below the dew point. The fin surface, further from the tube, having a temperature above the dew point temperature will not produce condensation.
A rigorous modeling of condensation on a part of the outside surface requires identification of surface areas above and below the dew point. This task is extremely difficult. The effect of many variables affecting the temperature profiles of the surface is unknown. Notably, the effect of the tube staggering pattern and temperature of the neighboring tubes on the fin temperature profile is complex. For the above reasons, this part of simulation is performed using a few simplifying assumptions as it was felt that the phenomena are too complex to model rigorously in a general evaporator simulation program.

Moisture removal from the air stream is calculated separately for a tube and associated fins. Fins associated with a given tube are considered to be circular and of equal area, as shown in Figure 3. A linear temperature profile of a tube surface between inlet and outlet is assumed, and portions of a tube with and without condensation are determined accordingly. Also a linear temperature profile is assumed for a fin.

Mean temperature for a fin surface, $T_{f,m}$, can be expressed by the equation:

$$T_{f,m} = \frac{1}{A_f} \int T \cdot dA_f$$

(28)

Applying a linear temperature profile over the fin and integrating we obtain:

$$T_{f,m} = T_o + \left( T_t - T_o \right) \left[ \frac{D_t^3}{3} - \frac{D_t^2 \cdot D_o}{2} + \frac{D_o^3}{6} \right] / (4A_f(D_t - D_o))$$

(29)
Figure 3. Approximation method for treating a rectangular-plate fin in terms of a circular-plate fin of equal area.

where \( D_o \) = tube outside diameter
\( D_t \) = fin tip diameter (see Figure 3)
\( T_o \) = tube temperature at \( D_o \)
\( T_t \) = fin temperature at \( D_t \)

Since the mean fin temperature can also be related to fin efficiency:

\[
T_{f,m} = T_a - \phi(T_a - T_o)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

the fin tip temperature, \( T_t \), and the fin diameter within which condensation occurs, \( D_c \), can be determined. Assuming that the humidity ratio of saturated
air varies linearly with temperature, the humidity ratio of the saturated air corresponding to the mean temperature of the fin surface at temperature below the dew point can be calculated by the following equation:

\[
\omega_w = \omega_o + (\omega_c - \omega_o) \left( \frac{D_c^3}{3} - \frac{D_c^2 \cdot D_o}{2} + \frac{D_o^3}{6} \right) / (4A_{x,c}(D_c - D_o)) \tag{31}
\]

It should be noted that EVSIM approximates rectangular-plate fins by circular plate fins just for moisture removal calculations only. The condensate flow on fins and the air-side heat transfer calculations recognize the plate finned-tube arrangement.

The rate of moisture removal per unit area, \( R \), can now be calculated:

\[
R = m_{a,d}(\omega_{a,i} - \omega_{a,e})/A_o \tag{32}
\]

where \( m_{a,d} = \) mass flow rate of dry air
\( \omega_{a,e} = \) humidity ratio of air at tube row exit
\( \omega_{a,i} = \) humidity ratio of air at tube row inlet

Assuming no air drag on the liquid layer, its local velocity is expressed by the closed solution of the Navier-Stokes equation for a viscous flow on a vertical wall:

\[
V_z = \frac{\rho g}{\mu} \left[ 0.5 \cdot y^2 - y \cdot \delta \right] \tag{33}
\]

where \( V_z = \) local liquid layer velocity
\( \rho = \) liquid density
Applying the continuity equation to the liquid film of a unit width:

\[ m(z) = \rho \int_0^\delta V_z \, dy \]

(34)

and assuming uniform condensation rate on the fin (i.e., \( m(z) = R' \cdot z/h \)), where: \( m(z) \) = mass flow rate of condensate at elevation \( z \), \( R' \) = water condensation rate by a fin of height \( h \) and unit width, \( z=0 \) at the top and \( z=h \) at the bottom of the slab), the average condensate layer thickness can be obtained by integrating a local layer thickness over the fin height and dividing the obtained expression by the height. The resulting expression is:

\[ \delta_f = 1.082 \left( \frac{\mu_w \cdot R'}{g \cdot \rho_w^2} \right)^{1/3} \]

(35)

where:
- \( g \) = gravitational acceleration
- \( R' \) = condensation rate per unit width of a fin
- \( \mu_w \) = water dynamic viscosity
- \( \rho_w \) = water density

3.2.3 Fin Efficiency

The addition of fins to the tubes greatly increases the outer heat transfer area but at the expense of decreasing the mean temperature difference between the surface and the air stream. The parameter called fin efficiency, \( \phi \), is used to rate the thermal effectiveness of a fin.
Fin efficiency for a circular flat fin on a singular tube was discussed by Gardner in his classic paper [8]. Gardner solved the differential equation for describing the temperature distribution and presented fin efficiency curves.

In the case of residential air-source evaporators, fins are not circular but continuous, rectangular plates serving all tubes in the slab. The shape of fin serving a particular tube depends on the tube pitch and transverse tube spacing in the assembly. The method proposed by Schmidt [9] and described in [10] is sensitive to the pattern of tube staggering and is employed here.

The fin efficiency, \( \phi \), is calculated in terms of the fin root radius, \( r_0 \), and two parameters, \( M \) and \( \theta \):

\[
M = \left( \frac{2 \cdot h}{k \cdot t} \right)^{0.5}
\]

\[
\theta = (R/r_0 - 1)(1 + 0.35 \cdot \ln(R/r_0))
\]

\[
\phi = \frac{\tanh (M \cdot r_0 \cdot \theta)}{M \cdot r_0 \cdot \theta}
\]

where

\[
h = \begin{cases} 
  h_{c,0} \left[ 1 + \frac{i_{f,g,w}(w_a - w_w)}{C_{p,a}(T_a - T_w)} \right] & \text{if } w_a > w_w \\
  h_{c,0} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

- \( R \) = equivalent fin tip radius
- \( r_0 \) = outside radius of tube
- \( t \) = fin thickness
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The staggered tube configuration results in a hexangular fin as shown in Figure 4. The two dimensions shown in the figure can be defined interchangeably. They must be defined in such a way to have \( Y \) always greater than or equal to \( y \). Following the notation shown in the figure, the ratio of the equivalent fin tip radius and the fin root radius is calculated by the following equations:

\[
\psi = \frac{y}{r_o} \tag{39}
\]

\[
\beta = \frac{Y}{y} \tag{40}
\]

\[
\frac{R}{r} = 1.27 \cdot \psi (\beta - 0.3)^{0.5} \tag{41}
\]

Figure 4. Definition of dimensions for calculating fin efficiency by Schmidt's method.
Numerous assumptions are associated with the calculation of the fin efficiency. The fin is assumed to be thin and the air-side heat transfer coefficient to be constant over the fin surface. Several practical aspects of the heat exchanger operation are not taken into account due to inability to model and/or perception that their impact on the fin efficiency value is insignificant. For example, heat transfer between neighboring fins is not taken into account. Similarly, the effect of the discontinuities in the fin material (lanced fins) on the fin efficiency is ignored in the model; it will vary from one lance design to another and is generally unknown. More study is needed to include the above effects.

3.3 Forced Convection Heat Transfer at the Air-Side of a Dry Plate Finned-Tube

EVSIM is concerned with modeling of evaporators having continuous fins on a staggered array of circular tubes. Three consecutive sections describe air-side heat transfer correlations applicable to three different fin designs. Calculation of the air-side heat transfer coefficient is handled by subroutine AIRHT3.

3.3.1 Flat Fins

The correlation of Gray and Webb [11] was selected to calculate the air-side heat transfer coefficient for flat fins. This correlation was developed using laboratory data on 16 heat exchangers applying a multiple regression technique. The rms error was 7.3%.

The correlation provides an average value for the $j$-factor for a heat exchanger with four or more tube depth rows (no change in the $j$-factor after 4-rows
is assumed). It has the following form:

\[ j_4 = 0.14 \cdot \text{Re}^{-0.32} \left( \frac{S_c}{S_1} \right)^{-0.502} \left( \frac{s}{D_o} \right)^{0.0312} \]  \quad (42)

where \( j_4 \) = factor for four or greater number of depth rows

\[ j_4 = \frac{h_{c,o} \cdot \text{Pr}^{2/3}}{C_c \cdot C_{p,a}} \]  \quad (43)

\( h_{c,o} \) = outside surface forced convection heat transfer coefficient

\( \text{Pr} \) = Prandtl number

\( C_c \) = air mass flux based in the minimum flow area

\( C_{p,a} \) = specific heat of air at constant pressure

\( D_o \) = outside diameter of tube

\( \text{Re} \) = Reynolds number

\( \mu \) = dynamic viscosity

\( S_c \) = tube spacing normal to air flow

\( S_1 \) = tube spacing in air flow direction (depth row pitch)

\( s \) = spacing between adjacent fins

To calculate an average value for the \( j \)-factor for heat exchangers with less than four depth rows, \( j_N \) (where \( N < 4 \)), Gray and Webb [11] provided the following equation:

\[ j_N = j_4 \cdot 0.991 [2.24 \cdot \text{Re}^{-0.092} (N/4)^{-0.031}]^{0.607(4-N)} \]  \quad (44)

where \( j_4 \) = value obtained by equation (42)

Tube-by-tube simulation requires the availability of the air-side heat transfer coefficient for a tube in a given row. Assuming that each row weights equally on the average air-side heat transfer coefficient of the coil, the heat transfer coefficient value for the depth row \( N \), \( j_{N,R} \), can be approximated by the formula:
where \( j_{N}, j_{N-1} \) = average \( j \)-factors for heat exchangers with \( N \) and \( N-1 \) depth rows, respectively, obtained by equation (43) or (44)

3.3.2 Wavy Fins

Comprehensive data on performance of flat and wavy fins were published by Beecher and Fagan [12]. Webb and Trauger [13] used their results as a base for their correlation which are applied in EVSITM to provide the value of the enhancement of the air-side heat transfer coefficient for a wavy fin over a flat fin. This enhancement value is then used as a multiplier to the heat transfer coefficient calculated for a flat fin by equations presented in Section 3.3.1

Beecher and Fagan reported fins performance in terms of the Nusselt number based on the arithmetic mean temperature difference between the air and refrigerant, \( N_{uair} \). Correlations provided by Webb and Trauger express this Nusselt number with the Graetz number, \( Gz \), and non-dimensionalized geometric parameters. For wavy fins the correlations are:

for \( Gz \leq 25 \)

\[
N_{uair} = 0.5 \cdot Gz^{0.86} \left( \frac{S_t}{D_c} \right)^{0.11} \left( \frac{s}{D_c} \right)^{-0.09} \left( \frac{S_d}{S_1} \right)^{0.12} \left( \frac{2S_p}{S_1} \right)^{-0.34}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (46)

for \( Gz > 25 \)

\[
N_{uair} = 0.83 \cdot Gz^{0.78} \left( \frac{S_t}{D_c} \right)^{0.13} \left( \frac{s}{D_c} \right)^{-0.16} \left( \frac{S_d}{S_1} \right)^{0.25} \left( \frac{2S_p}{S_1} \right)^{-0.43}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (47)
These equations predict 88% of the base data within ±5%, and 99% of the data within 10%.

Correlations for flat fins have the following form:

for Gz ≤ 25

\[ \text{Nu}_x = 0.4 + Gz^{0.73} \left( \frac{s}{D_c} \right)^{0.23} N^0.23 \]  

(48)

for Gz > 25

\[ \text{Nu}_x = 0.53 + Gz^{0.62} \left( \frac{s}{D_c} \right)^{0.23} N^0.31 \]  

(49)

Flat fin correlations predict 98% of the source data [12] within 5%.

To convert \( \text{Nu}_x \) to the Nusselt number based on the logarithmic mean temperature difference, \( \text{Nu} \), the following equation is used [12]:

\[ \text{Nu} = 0.25 \cdot Gz \cdot \ln \frac{1 + 2 \cdot \text{Nu}_x/Gz}{1 - 2 \cdot \text{Nu}_x/Gz} \]  

(50)

The symbols used in equations (46) through (50) are defined as follows:

\[ \text{Nu} = \frac{h \cdot D_H}{k}, \text{ Nusselt number} \]  

(51)

\[ \text{Gz} = \frac{\text{Re} \cdot \text{Pr} \cdot D_H}{N \cdot S_1}, \text{ Graetz number} \]  

(52)
\[ D_h = \frac{2 \cdot s(1 - \beta)}{\gamma \cdot (1 - \beta) + 2 \cdot s \cdot \beta / D_e}, \text{ hydraulic diameter} \]  

(53)

\[ Re = \frac{\rho \cdot V_c \cdot D_h}{\mu}, \text{ Reynolds number} \]  

(54)

\[ \beta = \frac{\pi \cdot D_c}{4 \cdot S_t \cdot S_1} \]  

(55)

\[ \gamma = [1 + 4(S_d / 2 \cdot S_p)^2]^{0.5} \]  

(56)

\[ V_c = V_{\text{max}} / (1 - \beta) \]  

(57)

where 

- \( N \) = number of tube depth rows
- \( V_{\text{max}} \) = velocity based on minimum flow area
- \( S_d \) = fin pattern depth, tip to valley (see Figure 5)
- \( S_p \) = fin pattern length, tip to tip
- \( s \) = spacing between adjacent fins
- \( \rho \) = density
- \( k \) = thermal conductivity
- \( \mu \) = dynamic viscosity

The variables characterizing the wave pattern were set within subroutine AIRHT3 as follows: 

\[ S_p = 0.5 \cdot S_1, \]

\[ S_d = s \]

\[ D_c = D_0 + 2 \cdot t \]

where \( t \) = fin thickness.

3.3.3 Lanced Fins

Lanced fins are those enhanced fins which have arrays of small strips raised from the base plate. Nakayama and Xu [14] proposed a heat transfer correlation for such fins. Their formula is in the form of a heat transfer correlation for a flat fin and a multiplier which provides correction for heat transfer enhancement due to the raised strips.
Figure 5. Geometry of a wavy fin.
To obtain a common simulation base for different heat transfer surfaces, EVSIM uses this multiplier in conjunction with the equations presented for a flat fin in Section 3.3.1.

The lanced fin enhancement multiplier is a function of the geometry parameters shown in Figure 6. The proposed correlation has the following form [14]:

\[
F_j = 1 + 1093 \left( \frac{t}{s} \right)^{1.24} \phi_s^{0.944} \text{Re}^{-0.58} + 1.097 \left( \frac{t}{s} \right)^{2.09} \phi_s^{2.26} \text{Re}^{0.88}
\]  

(58)

where \[\phi_s = \frac{\text{strip area}}{\text{total fin area}} = \frac{(2n_s-1)l_sS_s}{St \cdot S_1 - 0.25 \pi D_o^2}\]  

(59)

\[\phi_s\] was set to 0.275 in subroutine AIRHT3. The applicable range of \[\phi_s\] is 0.2 - 0.35.

\[\text{Re} = \frac{\rho \cdot V_{\text{max}} \cdot D_H}{\mu}\], Reynolds number

(60)

\[D_H\] = hydraulic diameter of the minimum free flow area  
\[n_s\] = number of strips in the enhanced zone  
\[l_s\] = width of a strip  
\[S_s\] = length of a strip  
\[t\] = fin thickness  
\[s\] = spacing between adjacent fins  
\[V_{\text{max}}\] = velocity in the minimum flow area
3.4 Forced Convection Heat Transfer Inside an Externally Heated Tube

Tubes with smooth inner surface are predominantly used in residential heat exchangers, however, tubes with enhanced surfaces are also available. A significant amount of research has been performed over the years on heat transfer for smooth surfaces. Since a smooth surface is clearly defined, laboratory data of different researchers can be pooled together for the correlation development. As a result, a number of correlations for the heat transfer coefficient inside a smooth tube are available.
The situation is different for tubes with enhanced surfaces. Much less research have been done on enhanced tubes than on smooth tubes, and there is an infinite number of ways the inner surface may be enhanced. There is not adequate information in the open literature to allow calculation of the enhanced tube heat transfer coefficient in a generalized way. Consequently, while the internal tube heat transfer coefficient for smooth tubes is calculated in EVSIM by a well founded correlation, the heat transfer coefficient for enhanced tubes is evaluated by multiplying the heat transfer values for smooth tubes by the fixed correction factors. The following discussion on flow patterns refers to smooth tubes and may not necessarily be accurate in all aspects for tubes with enhanced surfaces.

Refrigerant enters the evaporator from an expansion device at a quality of about 20% and forms annular flow almost instantly. The quality increases as the flow proceeds and the liquid layer at the wall gets thinner. At higher qualities, reported by different researchers to be in the range from 65% to 95%, the refrigerant vapor has enough kinetic energy to gradually destroy the liquid layer. Consequently, the annular flow is followed by the mist flow and single-phase superheated vapor flow.

3.4.1 Single-Phase Flow

Smooth tubes. The single-phase forced convection heat transfer coefficient, \( h_{sp} \), for a smooth, heated tube is calculated by a commonly used equation [15]:

\[
h_{sp} = 0.023 \cdot Re^{0.8} \cdot Pr^{0.4} \cdot k/D_i \tag{60}
\]
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where \( Re \) = Reynolds number  
\( Pr \) = Prandtl number  
\( k \) = thermal conductivity of refrigerant vapor  
\( D_t \) = tube inner diameter

**Enhanced tubes.** The heat transfer coefficient for enhanced inner surfaces is calculated within EVSIM by multiplying \( h_t \) obtained from equations (60) by a correction factor equal to 2.0. The value of the selected correction factor is an average of the heat transfer enhancement reported by Khanpara et al. [16] for micro-fin tubes with R-22.

3.4.2 Two-Phase Flow with Evaporation

Refrigerant flow with evaporation is subdivided in the model in two flow patterns; annular flow and mist flow. The quality value of 0.85 was selected in the model as the border point between these two flow patterns.

**Smooth tubes, annular flow.** A correlation developed by Gungor and Winterton [17] is used in EVSIM to calculate the evaporative heat transfer coefficient for the annular flow regime in smooth tubes. This correlation was developed with the aid of a large data bank which included 4300 points by 28 authors covering 7 fluids. The form of the correlation is consistent with Chen's [18] approach in that it recognizes two distinct mechanisms for the heat transfer; nucleate boiling and forced convection. The two-phase evaporation heat transfer coefficient, \( h_{an} \), is expressed as a weighted average of the convective single-phase heat transfer coefficient, \( h_1 \), and the pool boiling heat transfer coefficient, \( h_{pool} \), responsible in the correlation for the nucleate boiling contribution to the heat transfer:

\[
h_{an} = E \cdot h_1 + S \cdot h_{pool}
\]  
(61)
\[ h_1 = 0.023 \cdot \text{Re}_1^{0.8} \cdot \text{Pr}_1^{0.4} \cdot \frac{k_1}{D_1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (62)

\[ h_{\text{pool}} = 55 \cdot \text{Pr}_1^{0.12} \left(-\log_{10} \text{Pr}_1\right)^{-0.55} \cdot M^{-0.5} \cdot q^{0.67} \quad (\text{W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (63)

\[ E = 1 + 24000 \cdot \text{Bo}^{1.16} + 1.37 \cdot X^{-0.88} \]  \hspace{1cm} (64)

\[ S = (1 + 1.15 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot E^2 \cdot \text{Re}^{1.17})^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (65)

In the case of a horizontal tube and the Froude number, Fr, smaller than 0.05, E and S should be multiplied by \( E_2 \) and \( S_2 \), respectively:

\[ E_2 = \text{Fr}^{(0.1 - 2 \cdot \text{Fr})} \]  \hspace{1cm} (66)

\[ S_2 = \text{Fr}^{0.5} \]  \hspace{1cm} (67)

The symbols used in equations (61) through (67) have the following meaning:

\[ \text{Re}_1 = \frac{G(1 - x)D_1}{\mu_1}, \quad \text{liquid Reynolds number} \]  \hspace{1cm} (68)

\[ \text{Fr} = \frac{G^2}{\rho_1^2 \cdot D_1 \cdot g}, \quad \text{Froude number} \]  \hspace{1cm} (69)

- \( D_1 \): tube inside diameter
- \( G \): refrigerant mass flux
- \( g \): acceleration of gravity
- \( M \): molecular weight
- \( \text{Pr} \): reduced pressure
- \( \text{Pr}_1 \): liquid Prandtl number
- \( q \): heat flux \((\text{W/m}^2)\)
- \( x \): flow quality
- \( \mu_1 \): liquid thermal conductivity
- \( \rho_1 \): liquid density
\[
\text{Bo} = \frac{Q}{G \cdot \dot{m}} \text{, boiling number}
\]

**Smooth tubes, mist flow.** The heat transfer coefficient for the mist flow, \(h_m\) (flow quality range 0.85 - 1.00), is calculated in EVSIM by weighting by quality the heat transfer coefficient values obtained by equation (60) and equation (61):

\[
h_m = (1.0-x)h_{an} + (x-0.85)h_{sp}
\]

(70)

where \(x\) = average fractional flow quality for the mist flow in a tube
\(h_{an}\) = heat transfer coefficient obtained by equation (61) for flow quality 0.85
\(h_{sp}\) = heat transfer coefficient obtained by equation (60) for saturated vapor flow

**Enhanced tubes.** The heat transfer coefficient for an enhanced inner surface is calculated by applying a multiplier of 1.45 to the heat transfer coefficient calculated for a smooth tube within the two-phase region. The selected multiplier value is an average of the enhancement range (1.2 to 1.7) reported by Khanpara et al. [16] for a micro-fin tube with R-22.

3.5 Thermal Conductance of the Pipe-to-Fin Contact

The thermal conductance of the pipe-to-fin contact is calculated by the correlation provided by Sheffield et. al. [19].

\[
h_{tf} = \exp \left\{ 6.902 + 2.889 \cdot \left[ \frac{I \cdot FPI \cdot d}{D_o} \right]^{0.75} \left[ t \cdot FPI \right]^{1.25} \right\}
\]

(71)
where: $h_{tf} = \text{thermal conductance (Btu/h}\cdot\text{ft}^2\cdot\text{F)}$
$I = \text{tube expansion interference (in)}$
FPI = \text{number fins per inch (1/in)}
d = \text{indentation diameter of Vickers microhardness test, 25g load (\mu m) (d is set in EVSIM to 44.2 \mu m)}
D_o = \text{tube outside diameter (in)}
t = \text{fin thickness (in)}$

This correlation is restricted to mechanically expanded copper tubes with aluminum fins. Other applicability limits which has to be satisfied are:

\[ D_o \leq 0.625 \text{ (in)} \]
\[ \text{FPI} \leq 18 \]
\[ 0.003 \leq I \leq 0.0079 \text{ (in)} \]

3.6 Refrigerant Pressure Drop in a Tube

The total pressure drop for flow in a tube consists of the pressure drops due to friction, momentum change and gravity. The gravitational pressure drop is neglected in EVSIM.

Comments made in the beginning of chapter 3.4 about availability of heat transfer performance data for enhanced surfaces are applicable also for pressure drop. Consequently, pressure drop for enhanced surfaces is calculated applying correlations for smooth tubes and predetermined correction factors.

3.6.1 Single-Phase Flow

**Smooth tubes.** Frictional pressure drop can be calculated by the Fanning equation with the Fanning friction factor as per equations (72) and (73):
Pressure drop due to momentum change can be calculated by the following equation:

\[
d\frac{P}{dL} = - \frac{G^2}{dL}
\]

where

- \( P \) = pressure
- \( L \) = coordinate along the tube axis
- \( G \) = refrigerant mass flux
- \( D_i \) = tube inner diameter
- \( v \) = refrigerant specific volume

Enhanced tubes. Frictional pressure drop is calculated by equations (72) and (73) applying multiplier of 1.5 to the obtained result. This multiplier provides a half of the enhancement provided in EVSIM for the respective inside tube heat transfer coefficient.

3.6.2 Two-Phase Pressure Drop with Evaporation

Smooth tubes. The pressure drop for two-phase flow with evaporation can be calculated by the correlation proposed by Pierre [6], based on his experiments with refrigerants R-12 and R-22. Pierre's correlation combines the frictional and momentum change effects in one equation:
\[ \Delta P = \left( \frac{f}{D_L} + \frac{\Delta x}{x} \right) \frac{G^2 v_m}{L} \]  

(75)

where 
- \( f \) = friction factor calculated by equation (76)  
- \( x_m \) = mean quality  
- \( \Delta x \) = quality change  
- \( v_m = v_L + x_m(v_v - v_L) \), mean specific volume

\[ f = 0.0185 \left( \frac{K_f}{Re} \right)^{0.25} \]  

(76)

where  
- \( K_f = \frac{J \cdot i_g \cdot \Delta x \cdot g_c}{G \cdot D_L} \)  
- \( Re = \frac{G \cdot D_L}{\mu_L} \)  
- \( J = 778.17 \) (lb \cdot ft/Btu), mechanical equivalent of heat  
- \( g = 32.2 \) (ft/s²), gravitational acceleration  
- \( g_c = 32.2 \) (ft \cdot lb/(lb \cdot s²)), dimensional constant

The formula for the friction factor contains the Reynolds number and the term, \( K_f \), referred to by Pierre as a boiling number, making the friction factors sensitive to vapor generation rate at the liquid-vapor interface. Pierre's correlation was verified in [20] providing overall better agreement with experimental data for pressure drop of refrigerants R-12 and R-22 than the other most popular correlation, that of Martinelli and Nelson [21].
Enhanced tubes. Pressure drop for tubes with enhanced surfaced is calculated applying a multiplication factor 1.225 to the pressure drop value obtained from equation (75). The factor 1.225 represents a half of enhancement provided in EVSIM for the two-phase heat transfer coefficient for these surfaces.
4. MODEL VERIFICATION

The verification of the model consisted of comparing the coil capacity prediction with test data at different air distribution. Laboratory test data of an evaporator coil at four different air velocity profiles presented in [1] were used for model verification. The velocity profiles projected on the coil face were used as input to the model along with other operating conditions reported for these tests. Test conditions and laboratory and simulation results are shown in Table 1. The air velocity profiles are presented in Figure 7.

Figure 7 illustrates that the measured air velocity profiles differ from a parabolic profile associated with fully developed flow in a straight duct. The author believes that the odd profiles are due to the duct configuration, short entrance length and the presence of the coil in the duct. Various air velocity profiles were obtained by changing the coil angle with respect to the duct. It should be noted that the velocity profiles at different coil angles were the result not of the coil position alone but rather of the combined effects of change of the distance between coil surface and the duct entrance and the duct bend past the coil.

Total capacity results are also shown in Figure 8. The change of capacity is presented as a function of the coil angle. The figure displays the model sensitivity to the air distribution. Predictions of total capacities are within 8.2 percent of the test results. The model predicted degradation trend for 65° and 45° angles except at 25° where the prediction of capacity is within 1 percent of the test result. A number of reasons could cause the
Table 1. Results of Laboratory Tests and Simulation Runs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle (deg)</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Discrepancy*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>Refrigerant</td>
<td>Test</td>
<td>Simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{DB}$ (*F)</td>
<td>$T_{WB}$ (*F)</td>
<td>$CFM$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>559</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*simulation result - test result

$T_{DB}$ = dry bulb temperature of incoming air

$T_{WB}$ = wet bulb temperature of incoming air

$T_{SAT}$ = refrigerant saturation temperature at coil outlet

$T_{SUP}$ = superheat of refrigerant at coil outlet

$Q_T$ = total capacity

$Q_L$ = latent capacity

$\frac{Q_T - Q_{test}}{Q_{test}} \times 100$
$\alpha = \text{coil angle as shown in Figure 8}$

$\text{location} = \text{distance from slab edge shown as X coordinate in Figure A3}$

Figure 7. Air velocity profiles.
Figure 8. Simulation and test results.
break in the prediction trend between 25° and other angles. One possible reason may be the fact that, due to lack of space needed for the Pitot tube used for velocity measurement, the air velocity profiles for the first three angles were obtained from measurements past the coil while the 25° velocity profile was measured before the coil face. It is also possible that the velocity profiles derived from the air velocity measurements at the center plane represented at different degree the average air flow over the length of each tube at different coil angles.

Prediction of the latent capacity is not as good as of the total capacity. The highest discrepancy between test and simulation results is 19.2%. Lack of a closer agreement is not a complete surprise since the mass transfer and the condensate flow are very difficult to simulate and are represented simplistically in EVSIM. This simulation aspect requires further study to allow for simulation improvement.
5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The evaporator model presented in this report, EVSIM, possesses two useful features:
- ability to account for air distribution
- ability to simulate refrigerant distribution in complicated circuits

These two capabilities are in a way compatible since refrigerant distribution in a coil depends not only on circuitry specification; change of air distribution affects heat transfer impacting refrigerant quality and pressure drop thus changing distribution of refrigerant. As a result of it's complexity, the model is more likely to provide satisfactory capacity predictions than would simpler models, particularly in cases where air distribution is not uniform over a coil face or refrigerant circuitry is complex. The model should be very helpful as a coil design tool, decreasing/eliminating the need for development tests, since detailed information on performance of each tube and refrigerant superheat at each circuit outlet can be obtained from the model.

Practical aspects of using the model include preparation of a coil data file and computer requirements. The detailed performance information which EVSIM can provide comes at the price of the need for a detailed input data file of the heat exchanger. In addition to general design input like tube diameter, fin spacing and thickness, tube staggering pattern, CFM, etc., specification of refrigerant circuitry and air distribution is needed.

The model is written in FORTRAN 77. Due to detailed algorithms and tube-by-tube performance evaluation scheme, mini and main frame computers are best
suited for simulation studies using EVSIM; however the model has been installed on an IBM AT compatible computer converging within 2-6 minutes for a single slab coil, and up to 14 minutes for a two slab coil.

The model is capable of simulating the performance of evaporators with flat, wavy or lanced fins on the air side, and tubes having smooth or enhanced inner surfaces. Capacity predictions for flat fin and smooth tube coils are expected to be most reliable since these basic, plain surfaces are well defined and their respective heat transfer correlations are based on extensive data bases. Capacity prediction for evaporators with enhanced surfaces may not be as accurate since each enhanced surface is different with its own heat transfer characteristics; general correlations may not well represent their performance. This is particularly true for inner tube surfaces for which no general correlations are available and simple enhancement multipliers are used to account for performance change with respect to a smooth tube. Other area in which new algorithms could be applied, if available, to enhance the model are condensation of water vapor and prediction of the coil latent capacity.
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The simulation program of an air-source evaporator, EVSIM, predicts performance of a given evaporator at imposed air conditions and CFM, and refrigerant parameters at the heat exchanger inlet and outlet. The model is based on a tube-by-tube approach and account for non-uniform distributions of air and refrigerant.

The program is written in Fortran 77 and makes use of standard Fortran mathematical functions. It consists of a main module, evaporator simulation subroutine, EVPHX2, and 30 subprograms. Capability of the model and relations used for fluid mechanics, heat transfer and mass transfer calculations are described in the main text of this report. Equations used in moist air, water, and refrigerant property routines are described in [5].

A1. Input Data

Input data are read by the program from data files and a terminal (batch file) depending on data category.

A1.1 Data Read from Data Files.

To run the program, a user must establish two data files on the system, DATAREF and DTEV, for refrigerant constants and evaporator data.

Refrigerant property constants. Constants for evaluation of thermodynamic and transport properties for Refrigerant 12 and Refrigerant 22 are shown in Table A1 and Table A2, respectively. Constants of the selected refrigerant have to be in a disk file named DATAREF from which they are read by subroutine
DATAIN. Comments statements inserted into subroutine DATAIN help to identify specific constants.

Evaporator Data. Evaporator data are read by subroutine RDATA3 from a file named DTEV. Coding of evaporator data in DTEV is described in Table A3 which includes Fortran symbols and their short explanation. The convention by which DTEV has to be prepared and some of the variable names are explained in detail below.

EVSIM can simulate one-slab and two-slab evaporator assemblies (coils). The input format shown in Table A3 is complete for a one-slab coil; a data file for a two-slab assembly will have lines 3 through 25 repeated as lines 26 through 48 with input data for slab # 2. An example of a data file for the two-slab assembly of Figure A1 is shown in Table A4.

For two-slab coils, the model can handle coils in which each slab is fed by an individual expansion device, or both slabs are fed by a single, common expansion device. These arrangements are coded in the data file in line 2. In the present version, EVSIM is unable to handle a slab fed by more than one expansion device.

The last input datum at line 7, SFLOW, is the expansion device scaling factor. For capillary tubes, it may be determined with the aid of Fig. 39 of [22]. This scaling factor was included into a data file to allow EVSIM to calculate the refrigerant split between two slabs in two-slab assemblies if separate expansion devices are used for each slab. For evaporator
assemblies employing just one expansion device (both single and two-slab coils) the value of SFLOW has no bearing on calculations; any real number may be inputed to satisfy the format of the READ statement. For evaporators with two expansion devices, the ratio of SFLOW(1) and SFLOW(2) has to be equal to the ratio of refrigerant mass flow rates through expansion devices associated with respective slabs. The ratio of flow factors, as presented in Fig. 39 of [22], satisfies this condition; hence flow factors are prescribed as input to the evaporator data file. In the most probable case, the two expansion devices are identical; in such situation two identical real numbers, say 1., satisfy the format of the READ statement and modeling requirements of EVSIM.

Line 3 contains general dimensions of a slab. The symbols used are explained graphically in Figure A2. Note that BSPACE denotes the distance between the edge of the open channel for air flow to the center of tube #1. Numbering of tubes should be done as shown in Figure A1 and Figure A2 for two-slab and one-slab coils, respectively. Numbering should start at the leftmost tube in the first tube row (facing the incoming air). Once the last tube of a given row is given a number, a consecutive number is given to the leftmost tube in the next depth row. It is not important at which coil side tube numbers are assigned so long as it is consistent with the later assignment of the air velocity profile for the coil.

Line 5 contains tube information. ISUR is an identifier for smooth or enhanced inner surface. Only for smooth surface are the heat transfer and pressure drop calculations performed using well establish correlations.
Because of the variety of enhanced surface and lack of confirmed correlations, the heat transfer and pressure drop for enhanced surfaces are evaluated by applying correction factors to smooth tube calculations (refer to section 3.4 and 3.6).

Line 6 groups air side fin data. Heat transfer for plain, wavy and lanced fins is calculated by separate, dedicated correlations. Wave pattern for wavy fins and strip set for lanced fins are assigned within subroutine AIRHT3 (see section 3.3).

Lines 8 through 20 describe refrigerant circuitry. Description of refrigerant circuitry depends on specification for every tube the tube which supplies it with refrigerant. It is done in the numerical order starting from the tube numbered as 1. in line 8, with ten tubes per line. Taking as an example the circuitry shown in Figure A1, since the first field of line 8 is designated for tube #1, tube #2 shall be placed in this field since tube #2 feeds tube #1. If a given tube receives refrigerant from an inlet manifold, the input shall be zero. EVSIM can handle coils with up to 130 tubes per slab. Enter 999 in the data field if the tube does not exist.

Line 22 through 25 are for air velocity measurement data input. The data consist of location of the measurement and the air velocity at this location. The measurements should be taken in the central plane, perpendicular to coil tubes. Based on these measurements, EVSIM develops the air velocity profile in the central plane and uses it to evaluate the air mass flow rate for individual tubes in the assembly. Figure A3 shows how EVSIM
utilizes the air velocity data. A velocity profile is created by straight line interpolation between the discrete data points. Note that the air velocity at the sides of the slab is assigned equal to velocities of respective leftmost and rightmost data points (VX(2,1) and VX(2,6) in Figure A3). The maximum data input is 16 points. The minimum data input is one point. The latter case results in prescription of a uniform velocity profile over the slab face.

It should be noted that air velocity data serve to establish the velocity profile only and are not used as input of air volumetric flow through the coil. The velocity profile is integrated to obtain a CFM value which is then compared by the program with CFMTOT to prorate local velocities so CFM and CFMTOT are equal.

A1.2 Data Read from a Terminal

Data read from a terminal (batch file) include:

- air parameters: dry bulb temperature
  relative humidity
- refrigerant parameters: inlet quality
  outlet saturation temperature
  outlet superheat
- program output controlling parameters.

This input is solicited by the program during program execution in the following format:

1. Request: DATE:
   Response: alphanumeric response up to 16 characters

2. Request: IPR  = 0 FOR MAIN RESULTS OUTPUT ON THE DEFAULT DEVICE
       IPR  = 1 FOR MAIN RESULTS OUTPUT TO FILE "RESULT"
       IDIA = 0 FOR NO ADDITIONAL, DETAILED RESULTS OUTPUT
       IDIA = 1 FOR ADDITIONAL, DETAILED RESULTS OUTPUT
       TOGETHER WITH MAIN RESULTS
       IDIA = 2 FOR ADDITIONAL, DETAILED RESULTS OUTPUT TO FILES "DIAG" AND "DIAGO"
IPR, IDIA =
Response: two integer numbers separated by a comma

3. Request:
   TAIR = AIR DRY BULB TEMPERATURE, (F)
   RH = AIR RELATIVE HUMIDITY, (DECIMAL FRACTION)
   TAIR, RH =
Response: two real numbers separated by a comma

4. Request:
   Xl = REFRIGERANT INLET QUALITY, (DECIMAL FRACTION)
   TSAT2= REFRIGERANT SAT. TEMP. AT COIL OUTLET, (F)
   TSUP2= REFRIGERANT SUPERHEAT AT THE COIL OUTLET, (F)
   Xl, TSAT2, TSUP2 =
Response: three real numbers separated by commas

A2. Output Data

EVSIM concludes a run when it converges on the imposed refrigerant saturation temperature and superheat at the evaporator outlet with the following convergence parameters:

   saturation temperature: ± 0.05 °F
   superheat: ± 2.0 °F.

Once the model converges within 2.0 °F of superheat, the intermediate results from the last two iteration loops are used to interpolate the performance results to the superheat value specified in the input data. If the model is unable to converge, it still performs interpolation and provides a warning message.

A short results output and a detailed results output are available from EVSIM, as indicated in the previous section. The short results version contains a short summary of input data and coil performance information from the last iteration loop which include state information on refrigerant leaving the individual outlet tubes. The last part of the output is the coil performance at the requested superheat at the coil exit. An example of the
short results output is given in Appendix E.

A more detailed results output (IDIA=2) contains the intermediate results for individual iteration loops (file DIAG) and counters indicating the number of iteration loops required to converge in a given run (IDIA0). The option of a detailed output may be used if more specific information about the coil performance is sought; this output may be redesigned to suit an individual need.
Table A1. Property Constants for Refrigerant 12 in the Input Format to Program EVSIM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Constants</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refrigerant 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.9330000E+02, 5.9690000E+02, 2.8700000E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5967000E+02, 1.8505300E-01, 2.7182818E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1835883E+01, -7.9131381E+03, -1.2471522E+01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0892245E-02, 0.0, 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.0, 312.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4840000E+01, 1.8691368E+01, 2.1983963E+01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3341175E+01, -3.1509939E+00, 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0000000E-01, 3.3333333E-01, 2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.8734000E-02, 6.5093890E-03, 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.4097270E+00, 1.5943480E-03, -5.6762767E+01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0239450E-02, -1.8796180E-05, 1.3113990E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5.4873700E-04, 0.0, 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0, 3.4688340E-09, -2.5439070E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0, 0.0, 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0, 5.4750000E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0945000E-03, 3.3266200E-04, -2.4138960E-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.7236300E-11, 0.0, 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9556551E+01, -1.6537940E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75800014, -0.44230204E-02, 0.22659166E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.80936502E-07, 0.48639626E-09, -0.38992915E-11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1672029E+01, -0.37690766E-01, 0.48997637E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.3259077E-05, 0.10701433E-07, -0.14068541E-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0262, 5.8E-05, 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0, 0.0, 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.10065153E01, 0.37970707E-01, -0.53504633E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.36446277E-05, -0.12031578E-07, 0.15478212E-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.49000002E-01, -0.11950213E-03, 0.36320252E-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.52080296E-09, -0.31689972E-11, -0.31688932E-13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.33482605E00, -0.10634881E-01, 0.14902322E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.10213785E-05, 0.34027736E-08, -0.44299642E-11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0043, 1.7E-05, 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0, 0.0, 0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.12814194E00, 0.48691398E-02, -0.68452384E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.46711199E-06, -0.15483721E-08, 0.20037774E-11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2169998E00, 0.14159610E-03, 0.64704511E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55390004E-08, -0.13778530E-10, -0.17912061E-12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.15409625E01, 0.64801723E-01, -0.91255037E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.62140834E-05, -0.20422284E-07, 0.26255064E-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table A2. Property Constants For Refrigerant 22 in the Input Format to Program EVSIM

REFRIGERANT 22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Constants</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.645000E+02, 7.219100E+02, 3.0525000E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.596700E+02, 1.8505300E-01, 2.7182818E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.7598246E+01, -8.8538843E+03, -7.8610310E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0448235E-03, 4.4574700E-01, 6.8610000E+02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140., 240.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.276000E+01, 5.4634409E+01, 3.6748920E+01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.292560E+01, 2.0473289E+01, 3.3333333E-01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.666667E+01, 1.3333333E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2409800E-01, 2.0000000E-03, 0.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4.3535470E+00, 2.4072520E-03, -4.4066868E+01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.7464000E-02, 7.6278900E-05, 1.4837630E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3101420E-03, -3.6057230E-06, 0.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3.7240440E-05, 5.3554650E-08, -1.8450510E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3633870E+08, -1.6726120E+05, 0.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4820000E+02, 4.2000000E+00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8128360E-02, 2.2554080E-04, -6.5096070E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0., 0., 2.5734100E+02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2400900E+01, -4.5335300E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64600E+00, -0.29194E-02, 0.12164E-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.76985E-07, 0.83951E-09, -0.37512E-11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.69684E01, -0.24319E00, 0.35924E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.26187E-04, 0.93884E-07, -0.13301E-09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.26600E-01, 0.63804E-04, 0.10761E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.32061E-08, 0.43463E-10, -0.13175E-12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.66330E00, 0.25757E-01, -0.37913E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.27734E-05, -0.10053E-07, 0.14474E-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.63000E-01, -0.15820E-03, -0.12289E-06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17453E-08, -0.52685E-11, -0.10857E-13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.46705E00, 0.19421E-01, -0.28507E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20429E-05, -0.71963E-08, 0.99460E-11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48000E-02, 0.19881E-04, 0.24815E-08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.28518E-09, -0.62001E-11, 0.31001E-13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.51539E00, -0.18601E-01, 0.26762E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.18936E-05, 0.65891E-08, -0.90041E-11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.27100E+00, 0.24054E-03, 0.38936E-07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23481E-07, -0.97345E-10, 0.44953E-12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.49002E00, -0.83123E-02, 0.13105E-03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.96884E-06, 0.36462E-08, -0.52089E-11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table A3. Input Data Code for an Evaporator Assembly

The input data described below constitute a complete data set for a single slab evaporator. All input data are in Fortran free field input format with data values on the same line separated by commas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1     | **COILID**
|       | **COILID** = alphanumeric coil information, maximum 70 characters            |
| 2     | **NSLABS, IEXP, CFMTOT**
|       | **NSLABS** = number of heat exchanger slabs in the coil assembly, possible values: 1 or 2 |
|       | **IEXP** = number of expansion devices in the assembly, possible values: 1 if NSLABS = 1, 1 or 2 if NSLABS = 2 |
|       | **CFMTOT** = total volumetric flow of air through the assembly, (ft³/min)     |
| 3     | **SLABID**
|       | **SLABID** = alphanumeric slab information, maximum 70 characters            |
| 4     | **BSIDE(1), BSPACE(1), WIDTH(1)** (see Figure A2)
|       | **BSIDE(1)** = height of the coil, (in)                                       |
|       | **BSPACE(1)** = distance between the edge of the coil and location of tube # 1, (in)     |
|       | **WIDTH(1)** = width of a coil, equal to the length of tubes exposed to the duct air, (in) |
| 5     | **TPCH(1), DPCH(1), DI(1), DO(1), TMK(1), ISUR(1)** (see Figure A2)
|       | **TPCH(1)** = tube pitch in each depth row, (in)                              |
|       | **DPCH(1)** = distance between neighboring tube depth rows, see Fig. A1, (in) |
|       | **DI(1)** = tube inside diameter, for grooved tubes use the minimum diameter, (in) |
|       | **DO(1)** = tube outside diameter, (in)                                       |
|       | **TMK(1)** = thermal conductivity of a tube material, (Btu/(ft·h·F))          |
|       | **ISUR(1)** = 1 for a smooth inner surface, 2 for an enhanced inner surface    |
| 6     | **FPCH(1), FTK(1), FMK(1), IFIN(1)**
|       | **FPCH(1)** = center to center distance between fins, (in)                   |
|       | **FTK(1)** = fin thickness, (in)                                             |
|       | **FMK(1)** = fin material thermal conductivity, ((Btu/ft·h·F))               |
|       | **IFIN(1)** = 1 for flat fins, 2 for wavy fins, 3 for lanced fins             |
Line 7: NTUB(1,1), NTUB(1,2), NTUB(1,3), NTUB(1,4), NTUB(1,5), SFLOW(1)
NTUB(1,1) = number of tubes in the first depth row (facing the incoming air)
NTUB(1,2) = number of tubes in the second depth row
NTUB(1,3) = number of tubes in the third depth row
NTUB(1,4) = number of tubes in the forth depth row
NTUB(1,5) = number of tubes in the fifth depth row
SFLOW(1) = expansion device flow factor

Line 8: IFROM(1,I), I = 1,10
IFROM(1,1) = number of the tube from which tube 1 receives refrig.
IFROM(1,2) = number of the tube from which tube 2 receives refrig.
IFROM(1,3)
.
.
IFROM(1,9)
IFROM(1,10) = number of the tube from which tube 10 receives refrig.

Line 9: IFROM(1,I), I = 11,20
IFROM(1,11) = number of the tube from which tube 11 receives refrig.
IFROM(1,12) = number of the tube from which tube 12 receives refrig.
IFROM(1,13)
.
.
IFROM(1,19)
IFROM(1,20) = number of the tube from which tube 20 receives refrig.

Line 10: IFROM(1,I), I = 21,30
Line 11: IFROM(1,I), I = 31,40
Line 12: IFROM(1,I), I = 41,50
Line 13: IFROM(1,I), I = 51,60
Line 14: IFROM(1,I), I = 61,70
Line 15: IFROM(1,I), I = 71,80
Line 16: IFROM(1,I), I = 81,90
Line 17: IFROM(1,I), I = 91,100
Line 18: IFROM(1,I), I = 101,110
Line 19: IFROM(1,I), I = 111,120
Line 20: IFROM(1,I), I = 121,130

Line 21: NTEST(1)
NTEST(1) = number of air velocity measurement points,
possible values: minimum 2, maximum 16
Line 22: \(X(1,N), N=1,8\)

\(X(1,1)\) = location of the first air velocity measurement point (distance between the edge of the slab closest to tube #1 and the velocity measuring probe, see Figure A3), (in)

\(X(1,2)\) = location of the second air velocity measurement point

\(X(1,3)\) = location of the third air velocity measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, (in)

\(X(1,8)\) = location of the eight air velocity measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, (in)

Line 22: \(X(1,N), N=9,16\)

\(X(1,9)\) = location of the ninth air velocity measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, (in)

\(X(1,10)\)

\(X(1,16)\) = location of the sixteenth air velocity measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, (in)

Line 23: \(VX(1,N), N=1,8\)

\(VX(1,1)\) = air velocity at the first measurement point, \((ft/s)\)

\(VX(1,2)\) = air velocity at the second measurement point, \((ft/s)\)

\(VX(1,3)\) = air velocity at the third measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, \((ft/s)\)

\(VX(1,8)\) = air velocity at the eight measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, \((ft/s)\)

Line 25: \(VX(N), N=9,16\)

\(VX(1,9)\) = air velocity at the ninth measurement point, \((ft/s)\)

\(VX(1,10)\)

\(VX(1,16)\) = air velocity at the eight measurement point, if non-existent, input 0.0, \((ft/s)\)

Line 25 completes data file for a one slab evaporator (slant coil). If two slab evaporator is considered (V-shape, A-shape coil), the data file has to contain additional 23 lines of data in which the second slab is described. In this case, lines 3 through 48 are dedicated to slab #1, and identical lines 26 through 48 describe slab #2. Subroutine RDATA3, which reads the evaporator data file, assigns index '2' instead of '1' when reading lines 26 through 48 (e.g., reads VX(2,16) instead VX(1,16)). Table A4 provides an example of a data file for an A-shape coil.
Table A4. Example of a Data File for an A-shape Coil

Below is the coding of the coil shown in Figure A1.

***DTEV***  A-SHAPE COIL, 3 DEPTH ROWS, 16 TUBES PER ROW.
2, 2, 1120.0
***DATA FOR SLAB # 1***
16. 0625, 0.8125, 17. 875
1.00, 0.875, 0.363, 0.394, 223.1
0.0789, 0.008, 128.2
16, 16, 16, 0, 0, 1.
2, 3, 19, 5, 6, 22, 23, 7, 8, 25
10, 27, 12, 30, 14, 15, 33, 17, 18, 4
37, 21, 39, 0, 11, 28, 45, 13, 31
32, 48, 34, 35, 36, 20, 38, 41, 40, 24
42, 25, 26, 43, 44, 29, 46, 47, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
6
1.0, 4., 7., 10., 12.2, 14.8, 0.0, 0.0
0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0.
3.3, 4.8, 6.1, 4.5, 4.2, 4.4, 0., 0.
0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0.
***DATA FOR SLAB # 2***
16. 0625, 0.8125, 17. 875
1.00, 0.875, 0.363, 0.394, 223.1
0.0789, 0.008, 128.2
16, 16, 16, 0, 0, 1.
2, 3, 19, 5, 6, 22, 23, 7, 8, 25
10, 27, 12, 30, 14, 15, 33, 17, 18, 4
37, 21, 39, 0, 11, 28, 45, 13, 31
32, 48, 34, 35, 36, 20, 38, 41, 40, 24
42, 25, 26, 43, 44, 29, 46, 47, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999, 999
6
1.0, 4.. 7., 10., 12.2, 14.8, 0.0, 0.0
0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0.
4.1, 4.9, 4.3, 2.9, 3.9, 3.3, 0., 0.
0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0.
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Figure A1. Example of circuitry specification for an A-shape coil.
Figure A2. Specification of slab circuitry and dimensions.
Figure A3. Example of air velocity measurement points and velocity profile.
APPENDIX B. Listing of the Program, EVSIM

The list of functions and subroutines used in EVSIM is given in Table B1.

Figures B1 and B2 present flow charts of the main program and the evaporator simulation subroutine, respectively. The following pages contain listing of the main program, and subroutines and functions in the alphabetical order.
Table B1. Functions and Subroutines used in EVSIM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PURPOSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIRPR</td>
<td>Calculate properties of wet air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIRHT3</td>
<td>Calculate air side heat transfer coefficient for flat, wavy or lanced fin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BALFL1</td>
<td>Adjust refrigerant flow distribution in an evaporator based on pressure drop in different circuits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPCV</td>
<td>Calculate specific heat at constant volume and at constant pressure, specific heat ratio, and sonic velocity of refrigerant vapor from temperature and pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATAIN</td>
<td>Read file DATAREF with refrigerant constants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISTR2</td>
<td>Determine air distribution for each tube of the coil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYNADP</td>
<td>Calculate dynamic pressure drop for a flow in a tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVGUNG</td>
<td>Calculate forced-convection evaporative heat transfer coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVPDP2</td>
<td>Calculate frictional evaporation pressure drop in a tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVPHX2</td>
<td>Simulate performance of an evaporator coil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINCON</td>
<td>Calculate the thermal conductance for a fin-to-fin contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINEF2</td>
<td>Calculate fin efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRACT</td>
<td>Calculate refrigerant distribution in a split point based on pressure drop in the downstream circuits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDDIA</td>
<td>Calculate hydraulic diameter for air flow through a slab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITRPR2</td>
<td>Calculate refrigerant vapor thermodynamic properties from given pressure and specific volume or enthalpy or entropy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIXAIR</td>
<td>Calculate properties of the air stream resulted from the mixing process of two wet air streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVLWET</td>
<td>Calculate overall heat transfer coefficient for a wet finned tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHWET2</td>
<td>Calculate refrigerant thermodynamic properties from given pressure and enthalpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDATA3</td>
<td>Read file DTEV with evaporator coil data and prepare these data for EVPHX2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SATP</td>
<td>Calculate refrigerant saturation pressure from given temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SATPR</td>
<td>Calculate refrigerant dynamic viscosity and thermal conductivity for liquid and vapor, and liquid specific heat at saturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SATT</td>
<td>Calculate refrigerant saturation temperature from given pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SATVF</td>
<td>Calculate specific volume of saturated liquid refrigerant from given temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPHDP</td>
<td>Calculate pressure drop for a single-phase flow in a smooth tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPHDP1</td>
<td>Calculate pressure drop for a single-phase flow in a smooth tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPHTC</td>
<td>Calculate force-convection single-phase heat transfer coefficient in a smooth tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACE3</td>
<td>Estimate refrigerant distribution in an evaporator coil based on circuitry configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPSV</td>
<td>Calculate specific volume of vapor from given temperature and pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPVHS</td>
<td>Calculate refrigerant vapor thermodynamic properties from given temperature and pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATPR</td>
<td>Calculate water and frost properties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure B1. Logic of the main program of EVSIM.
Figure B2. Logic of the evaporator simulation subroutine, EVPHX2.
H1=H1F1+X1(H1-HF1)

C**** CALC. REQUIRED EXIT ENTHALPY, H2REQ
PSAT=SATP(TSAT2)
T2REQ=TSAT2+TSUP2
CALL VPVHS(2,T2REQ,PSAT,VG2,H2REQ,S2,HFG)
CALL CPCV(T2REQ,PSAT,CP,CV,GA,SO)
PSLOPE=1.

C**** START ITERATIONS

IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA0,*)'DATA PREPARATION COMPLETED'

IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA0,*)'ITERATION STARTS****
DO 110 N=1,10
DO 107 IIo=1.IEXP
00105 N= INT(N/1.5)

IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA0,*)'N= ',N,' M=',M,' SLAB # ',II0

CALL EVPHX2(KSLABS,II0,MRM,R1,P1,H1,TAIR,14.7,RH,
&
T2,P2,H2,X2,S2,QL)

TG2=TATT(P2)
IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA,*)'TG2 = ',TG2
PD=P2-PSAT
IF(ABS(TG2-TSAT2).LT.0.05)GOTO 106

IF(M.EQ.1)THEN
P1N=P1-PD*PSLOPE
ELSE
PSLOPE=(P1A-P1)/(PDA-PD)
END IF
P1=P1N
T1=TATT(P1)

105 PDA=PD
WRITE(IPR,*)' LOOP 105 DID NOT CONVERGE. II0.PD =',II0.PD

106 IF(IEXP.EQ.2)THEN
IF(II0.EQ.1)THEN
H22=H2
P22=P2
QL=QL
ELSE
H2=H2+SFLOW(1)+H2*SFLOW(2)
P2=P22+SFLOW(1)+P2*SFLOW(2)
QL=QL+QL1
END IF
END IF
END IF
CONTINUE

110 CONTINUE
H2DIF=H2-H2REQ
IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA,*)'**********H2DIF=',H2DIF
RMS(N)=RMRA
HDS(N)=H2DIF
QLS(N)=QL
P2S(N)=P2
IF(N.GT.2)THEN
IF(ABS(HDS(N-1)).LT.5.*CP.AND.ABS(H2DIF).LT.2.*CP)GOTO 150
END IF
END IF
END IF

IF(N.EQ.1)THEN
RMAS(N)=RMAS+(H2-H1)/(H2REQ-H1)
ELSE
RMAS(N)=RMAS+H2DIFA*(RMAS-RMASA)/(H2DIF-H2DIFA)
END IF
IF(RMAS(N).GT.RMASA)RMAS(N)=MIN1(RMASA,1.5*RMAS)
IF(RMAS(N).LT.RMASA)RMAS(N)=MAX1(RMASA,0.7*RMAS)
P1=PSAT+(P1-P2)*(RMAS/RMSS)**2
RMASA=RMAS
RMSS=RMAS

118 H2DIF=E2H2DIF
IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA,*)'***************
WRITE(IPR,*)' LOOP 110 DID NOT CONVERGE'

C**** CALC. & PRINT RESULTS FOR THE LAST ITERATION LOOP

150 QT=RMSS+(H2-H1)
DTIT=2.
PP2=P2

73
CALL PHWET2(PP2,H2,DTIT,0.001,T2,V2,S2,X2,TG2)
TSUP=T2-TG2
WRITE(IPR,900)
WRITE(IPR,*)
WRITE(IPR,*)
WRITE(IPR,900)

SIMULATION RESULTS

900 FORMAT('******************************************************************************',
'***RESULT FROM THE LAST ITERATION LOOP:***',
'WRITE(IPR,*)
WRITE(IPR,*)
WRITE(IPR,*)
WRITE(IPR,*)
WRITE(IPR,900)

905 FORMAT('TOTAL CAPACITY: ',1F7.0,' BTU/H')
WRITE(IPR,907)QT
907 FORMAT('LATENT CAPACITY: ',1F7.0,' BTU/H')
WRITE(IPR,910)RMASS
910 FORMAT('REFRIGERANT MASS FLOW RATE:',1F6.1,' LB/H')
WRITE(IPR,915)TG2
915 FORMAT('SATURATION TEMPERATURE:',1F6.1,' F')
WRITE(IPR,920)TSUP
920 FORMAT(12X,'SUPERHEAT:',1F6.1,' F')
WRITE(IPR,925)P2
925 FORMAT(13X,'PRESSURE:',1F6.1,' PSIA')
WRITE(IPR,930)H2
930 FORMAT(13X,'ENTHALPY:',1F6.1,' BTU/LB')

C++++ PREPARE AND PRINT REFRIGERANT INFO FOR INDIVIDUAL OUTLET TUBES
WRITE(IPR,*)
WRITE(IPR,*)' INFORMATION ON REFRIGERANT LEAVING OUTLET TUBES'
WRITE(IPR,*)
WRITE(IPR,940)

940 FORMAT('SLAB #.3X, TUBE #.6X, P'.8X,'T'.6X,'TSUP'.6X,'X'.7X,
'RMS'.6X,'(-)'.6X,'(P)'.6X,'(F)'.6X,'(PSIA)'.5X,'(X)'.5X,'(F)'.5X,
'4X', 'LB/H')
DO 160 IIo=1,NSLABS
   DO 160 L=1,NOUT(IIo)
      I=IOUT(IIo,L)
      HOUT=HR(IIo,1)
      POUT=PRM(IIo,2,1)
      CALL PHWET2(POUT,HOUT,DTIT,0.001,T2,V2,S2,X2,TG2)
      RMSI=RMASS*FLOW(IIo,1)
   160 WRITE(IPR,944)IIO,I,POUT,T2,TSUP,X2,RMSI

944 FORMAT(15X,19X,1F10.2,1F8.1,1F9.3,1F9.2)

C++++ CALC. AND PRINT RESULTS FOR REQUESTED SUPERHEAT
IF(H2DF.VE.0.)THEN
   HDH=HDS(N)
   RMSH=RMS(N)
   P2H=P2S(N)
   QLH=QLS(N)
   HLD=HDS(N-1)
   RMSL=RMS(N-1)
   P2L=P2S(N-1)
   QLL=QLS(N-1)
   DO 128 I=1,N-2
   128 IF(HDH.LE.HDL)GOTO 122
   HDL=HDS(N-1)
   RMSL=RMS(N-1)
   P2L=P2S(N-1)
   QLL=QLS(N-1)
122 H2L=H2REQ+HDL
   H2H=H2REQ+HDH
   HSLOPE=(H2REQ-H2L)/(H2H-H2L)
   RMASS=RMSL+(RMSH-RMSL)*HSLOPE
   P2=P2L+(P2H-P2L)*HSLOPE
   QL=QLL+(QLH-QLL)*HSLOPE
   H2=H2REQ
END IF
QT=RMASS*(H2-H1)
DTIT=2
PP2=P2
CALL PHWET2(PP2,H2,DTIT,0.001,T2,V2,S2,X2,TG2)
TSUP=T2-TG2

74
C**** PRINT RESULTS
WRITE(IPR,*)
WRITE(IPR,900)
WRITE(IPR,902)TSUP2
902 FORMAT(' RESULTS FOR THE REQUESTED REFRIGERANT SUPERHEAT:',F5.1)
WRITE(IPR,*) ' (INTERPOLATED FROM RESULTS OF LAST TWO ITERATIONS)'
WRITE(IPR,*)
WRITE(IPR,905)QT
WRITE(IPR,907)QL
WRITE(IPR,910)RMASS
WRITE(IPR,*) ' REFRIGERANT PARAMETERS AT THE COIL OUTLET:'
WRITE(IPR,915)TG2
WRITE(IPR,920)TSUP
WRITE(IPR,925)P2
WRITE(IPR,930)H2
WRITE(IPR,900)
STOP
END
SUBROUTINE AIRPR(I,T,PATM,RH,W,CP,R,AM,AK)

PURPOSE:
TO CALCULATE AIR PROPERTIES
JANUARY 17, 1989

INPUT DATA:
I  =  1  IF RELATIVE HUMIDITY IS GIVEN  (-)
     =  2  IF HUMIDITY RATIO IS GIVEN  (-)
T  =  AIR TEMPERATURE  (°C)
PATH = AIR PRESSURE  (PSIA)
RH  =  RELATIVE HUMIDITY IN FRACTION  (IF I=1)  (-)
W  =  HUMIDITY RATIO  (IF I=2)  (LBM H2O/LBM DRY AIR)

OUTPUT DATA:
AK  =  AIR THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY  (BTU/H.F.°R)
AM  =  AIR DYNAMIC VISCOSITY  (LBM/HR)
CP  =  AIR SPEC. HEAT AT CONSTANT PRESSURE  (BTU/LBM.°R)
R  =  GAS CONSTANT OF AIR  (LBF.°R/LBM.R)
RH  =  RELATIVE HUMIDITY IN FRACTION  (FOR I=2)  (-)
W  =  HUMIDITY RATIO  (FOR I=1)  (LBM H2O/LBM DRY AIR)

DOUBLE PRECISION TR,Z,PSAT,P,WD,RHD,PW,CPD
TR=T+460.
Z=1000.0/TRA
IF(TR.GE.492.)GOTO10
PSAT=EXP(0.63940*Z**3-0.2755*Z-10.431*Z+19.509)
GOTO30
10 IF(TR.GE.672.)GOTO20
PSAT=EXP(0.17829*Z**3-1.6896*Z**2-10.431*Z+13.4353)
GOTO30
20 PSAT=EXP(0.71692*Z**4-4.01506*Z**3+7.5568*Z**2-14.2131*Z+16.8255)
30 IF(I.EQ.2)THEN
P=W+PATM/(0.6198D0+W)
RHD=P/PSAT
RH=RHD
WD=W
ELSE
RHD=RH
IF(RH.GE.0.00001)GOTO40
W=0.
WD=0.D0
GOTO50
40 PW=RHD*PSAT
WD=0.6198*PW/(PATM-PW)
W=WD
50 CONTINUE
END IF
CPD=0.247876-0.4204563E-04*TR+0.5767857E-07*TR**2
& -0.1493856E-10*TR**3
CP=(CPD+0.444*WD)/(1.+WD)
R=(53.34+85.76*WD)/(1.+WD)
AM=5.5029E-03+8.7157E-05*TR-2.9484E-08*TR**2
& +6.258E-12*TR**3
AK=2.853E-04+3.286E-05*TR-8.253E-09*TR*TR
& +1.239E-12*TR**3
RETURN
END
FUNCTION AIRHT3(IROW, DO, TPCH, DPCH, FPCH, FTK, WIDTH, DH, NDEP, IFIN, 
& AMASS, AVIS, ACP, AK)
C
C**** PURPOSE: TO CALCULATE DRY AIR-SIDE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT
C FOR A TUBE WITH FLAT, WAVY, AND LANCED PLATE FIN.
C
C**** NOTE: THIS FUNCTION CALCULATES H.T.C FOR A TUBE DEPENDING
C ON THE DEPTH LOCATION OF THE TUBE IN THE SLAB.
C GEOMETRIES ARE ASSUMED FOR WAVY & STRIP FINS.
C
C**** INPUT DATA:
C ACP - AIR SPEC. HEAT AT CONSTANT PRESSURE (BTU/LBM•F)
C AK - AIR THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY (BTU/H•F•F)
C AMASS - AIR MASS FLOW RATE ASSOCIATED WITH THE TUBE (LBM/H)
C AVIS - AIR DYNAMIC VISCOSITY (LBM/FT•H)
C DH - HYDRAULIC DIAMETER OF THE SLAB (FT)
C DO - TUBE OUTSIDE DIAMETER (FT)
C DPCH - TUBE DEPTH PITCH (FT)
C FPCH - FIN PITCH (FT)
C FTK - FIN THICKNESS (FT)
C IFIN - FIN DESIGN DESCRIPTOR (-)
C = 1 FOR A FLAT FIN
C = 2 FOR A WAVY FIN
C = 3 FOR AN ENHANCED FIN (LANCED, STRIPED)
C IROW - DEPTH ROW LOCATION OF THE TUBE (-)
C NDEP - NUMBER OF DEPTH ROWS IN THE SLAB (-)
C TPCH - TUBE PITCH IN THE ROW (FT)
C WIDTH - HEAT EXCHANGER WIDTH (TUBE LENGTH) (FT)
C
C**** OUTPUT DATA:
C AIRHT3 - DRY AIR-SIDE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT (BTU/H•F•F•F•2)
C
COMMON/PRINT/IPR
REAL JM, JN, J4, JTUBE, NU, NJW
DATA PI/3.1415927/, IERR/8/
C
C**** FLAT FIN  **********************************************
C**** REFERENCE: GRAY, D.L. AND WEBB, R.L., HEAT TRANSFER AND FRICTION
C CORRELATIONS FOR PLATE FINNED-TUBE HEAT EXCHANGERS
C HAVING PLAIN FINS, PROC. OF EIGHTH INT. H.T.
C CONFERENCE, SAN FRANCISCO, 1986.
C
FN=WIDTH/FPCH
AREAC=(TPCH-DO)*(WIDTH-FN+FTK)
GC=AMASS/AREAC
RED =GC•DO/AVIS
IF(RED.LT.500..OR.RED.GT.24700.)THEN
WRITE(10,*)'AIRHT3, RED LIMIT 500-24700, RED =',RED
END IF
SPACE=FPCH-FTK
TR=REAL(IROW)
TR=AMIN1(TR,4.)
J4=0.14+RED**(-.328)*(TPCH/DPCH)**(-.582)*(SPACE/DO)**0.0312
NJ=N+0.991*(2.24+RED**(-.092)*TR/4.)**(-.031)**(.607*(4.-TR))
IF(TR.EQ.1.)THEN
JTUBE=JN
ELSE
JM=2.24+RED**(-.092)*((TR-1.)/4.)**(-.031)**(.607*(5.-TR))
JN=JM*0.991
JTUBE=TR*JM-(TR-1.)*JM
END IF
PR=AVIS•ACP/AK
AIRHT3=JTUBE•GC•ACP/PR•.66667
IF(IFIN.EQ.3)THEN
C**** LOUVERED/LANCED FINS  *************************************
C**** REFERENCE: NAKAYAMA, W. AND XU, L.P., ENHANCED FINS FOR AIR-
C COOLED HEAT EXCHANGERS - HEAT TRANSFER AND FRICTION
C FACTOR CORRELATIONS, ASME-JSME THERMAL ENGINEERING
C CONFERENCE, PROCEEDINGS, PP. 495-510, ASME, NY.
C MARCH 1983.
C
RED =GC•DH/AVIS
C**** NOTE: CORRELATION IS GOOD FOR FIS IN THE RANGE 0.2 - 0.35
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FIS=0.275
IF(IERR.EQ.0)THEN
IERR=1
GAP=SPACE+3048.
IF(GAP.LT.0.15.OR.GAP.GT.0.2)WRITE(10,*)'AIRHT3, IERR=1, GAP =', GAP
FP=FPCH+3048.
IF(FP.LT.1.8.OR.FP.GT.2.5)WRITE(10,*)'AIRHT3, FIN PITCH LIMIT IS 1.8 - 2.5 MM, FP =', FP
IF(REH.LT.250.OR.REH.GT.3000.)WRITE(10,*)'AIRHT3, REH LIMIT IS 250 - 3000, REH =', REH
END IF
FJ=1.4903*(FTK/SPACE)**1.24*FIS+0.944*REH**(-0.58)+
1.097*(FTK/SPACE)**2.26*FIS+2.26*REH**0.88
AIRHT3=AIRHT3+FJ
END IF
IF(IFIN.EQ.2)THEN
C WAVY FINS
C REFERENCE: TRAUGER, P. AND WEBB, R.L., A CORRELATION FOR THE
C AIR SIDE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT FOR A WAVY FIN,
C TO BE PUBLISHED, 1988.
C THIS CORRELATION WAS DEVELOPED FOR 3 DEPTH ROW H-X.
C ASSUMPTIONS: 1. TWO FIN WAVES PER DEPTH PITCH
C 2. WAVE DEPTH EQUALS THE SPACE BETWEEN FINS
DC=DO+2.*FTK
SP2=DPCH/2.
SD=SPACE
BETA=0.25*PI*DC+DC/(TPCH*DPCH)
GAMMA=SQR(1.+4.*(SD/SP2)**2)
DHW=2.*SPACE*(1.-BETA)/(GAMMA*(1.-BETA)+2.*SPACE*BETA/DC)
DHF=2.*SPACE*(1.-BETA)/(1.-BETA+2.*SPACE*BETA/DC)
REDW=AMASS*DHW/(AVIS*AREAC*(1.-BETA))
REDW=AMASS*DHW/(AVIS*AREAC*(1.-BETA))
GZF=REAL(NDEP)
GZW=REDW*PR*DHW/(DPCH*DEP)
GZF=REDW*PR*DHF/(DPCH*DEP)
IF(GZW.LT.25.)THEN
NUF=0.49+GZW*0.86*(TPCH/DC)**(1.1*(SPACE/DC)**(-0.09))
NUF=0.49+GZW*0.86*(TPCH/DC)**(1.1*(SPACE/DC)**(-0.09))
END IF
C CW=NUW/GZF
C IF(CF.GE.5.OR.CW.GE.5)THEN
C WRITE(10,*)'AIRHT3, IFIN=2, TOO LOW AIR FLOW RATE'
C WRITE(10,*)'FLAT FIN H.T.C ASSUMED'
ELSE
NUW=0.83+GZW*0.86*(TPCH/DC)**(1.1*(SPACE/DC)**(-0.16))
NUW=0.83+GZW*0.86*(TPCH/DC)**(1.1*(SPACE/DC)**(-0.16))
END IF
CF=NUF/GZF
CW=NUW/GZW
IF(CF.GE.5.OR.CW.GE.5)THEN
WRITE(10,*)'AIRHT3, IFIN=2, TOO LOW AIR FLOW RATE'
WRITE(10,*)'FLAT FIN H.T.C ASSUMED'
ELSE
NUF=0.92+GZF*ALOG((1.+2.*NUF/GZF)/(1.-2.*NUF/GZF))
NUW=0.92+GZW*ALOG((1.+2.*NUW/GZW)/(1.-2.*NUW/GZW))
FJ=NUF/NUF
AIRHT3=AIRHT3+FJ
END IF
END IF
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE BALFL1(KSLABS,III)

C**** PURPOSE:
C TO ADJUST REFRIGERANT FLOW DISTRIBUTION IN AN EVAPORATOR
C BASED ON PRESSURE DROP AT DIFFERENT CIRCUITS.
C THE PROGRAM CAN HANDLE SINGLE SLAB AND TWO SLAB (A & V) COILS.
C 10-14-1988

C**** INPUT DATA:
C IFROM(IIO,J) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE J RECEIVES
C REFRIGERANT. IF THE TUBE IS CONNECTED TO THE
C INLET MAINFOLD, IFROM IS SET TO 0.
C III = 1 FOR THE FIRST SLAB (-)
C = 2 FOR THE SECOND SLAB (-)
C KSLABS - NUMBER OF SLABS IN THE EVAPORATOR ASSEMBLY
C TO BE CONSIDERED (KSLABS=2 OVERIDES
C SPECIFICATION OF III) (-)
C IMER(IIO) - NUMBER OF SPLIT POINTS (-)
C IOUT(IIO,L) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE CONNECTED TO THE OUTLET
C MAINFOLD, FOUND AS L SUCH TUBE (-)
C KFEED(IIO,J,N) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE RECEIVING REFRIGERANT
C FROM TUBE J, FOUND AS N SUCH TUBE.
C NOTE THAT TUBE J CAN FEED UP TO 3 TUBES
C (N CAN BE 1,2 AND 3). KFEED IS SET TO -1 IF
C J TUBE FEEDS THE DISCHARGE MANIFOLD. KFEED
C IS SET TO 0 IF A TUBE IS NOT FED. (-)
C KSTART(IIO,N) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE CONNECTED TO THE INLET
C MAINFOLD, FOUND AS N SUCH TUBE (-)
C KST(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBES CONNECTED TO THE INLET
C MAINFOLD (-)
C MERGE(IIO,K,1) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE WHICH FEEDS A SPLIT POINT,
C FOUND AS K SUCH TUBE (-)
C MERGE(IIO,K,2) - NUMBER OF TUBES FED BY THE TUBE K (-)
C NDEP(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBE DEPTH ROWS IN THE SLAB (-)
C NOUT(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBES CONNECTED TO THE OUTLET
C MAINFOLD (-)
C NTPS(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBES IN THE SLAB (-)
C PRM(IIO,1,1) - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT INLET OF TUBE 1 (PSIA)
C PRM(IIO,2,1) - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT OUTLET OF TUBE 1 (PSIA)

C**** OUTPUT DATA:
C FLOW(IIO,J) - FRACTION OF COIL TOTAL REFRIG. MASS FLOW
C PASSING THROUGH TUBE J (-)
C
C**** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY BALFL1: FRACT
C COMMON/PHX/NSLABS,NDEP(2),NROW(2),DI(2),DO(2),DT(2),RPCH(2),
& DPCH(2),WIDTH(2),FPCH(2),FTK(2),FMK(2),CFMI,BSIDE(2),
& NTUB(2,5),IFROM(2,130),NTPS(2),BSPACE(2),
& ACMEN,IFIN(2),ISUR(2),SFLOW(2)
& COMMON/MERGE(MERGE(2,20,2),IMER(2),IOUT(2,20),NOUT(2),
& IDEPTH(2,130),FLOW(2,130),KFEED(2,130,3),KSTART(2,130),KST(2)
& COMMON/MASS(PRM(2,2,130)
& DIMENSION LEFT(20),PC(2,130),RN(20),F(20),ITUBE(20),ISEE(20)

C**** FIND REFRIGERANT FLOW DISTRIBUTION
C
II=III
DO 120 10=1,KSLABS
 IF(KSLABS.EQ.1)THEN
  III=II
 ELSE
  III=IO
 END IF
 DO 65 10=1,IMER(IIO)
65 LEF T(10)=MERGE(IIO,1,2)
 DO 70 10=1,NTPS(1IO)
70 PC(1IO,1)=0.
 DO 120 10=1,NOUT(IIO)
 I=IOUT(IIO,1L)
 POUT=PRM(IIO,2,1)
 DO 100 IT=1,NTPS(1IO)
100 PC(1IO,IT)=PC(1IO,IT-1)+POUT
 C
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IP=IFROM(IIO, I)
IF(IP.EQ.0)THEN
   PC(IIO,I)=OUT
   GOTO 120
END IF
IF(KFEED(IIO, IP), EQ, 0)THEN
   I=IP
   GOTO 100
END IF
PC(IIO, I)=OUT
DO 75 IM=1, IMER(IIO)
    75 IF(IP.EQ.MERGE(IIO, IM, 1)) GOTO 77
    77 LEFT(IM)=LEFT(IM)-1
    IF(LEFT(IM).GT.0) GOTO 120
    POUT=0.
    PUP=PRM(IIO, 2, IP)
    NSPLIT=MERGE(IIO, IM, 2)
    DO 90 II=1, NSPLIT
       N=KFEED(IIO, IP, II)
       POUT=POUT+PC(IIO, N)*FLOW(IIO, N)/FLOW(IIO, IP)
    90 RN(II)=(PUP-PC(IIO, N))/FLOW(IIO, N)**1.75
    CALL FRACT(NSPLIT, RN, F)
    DO 92 II=1, NSPLIT
       N=KFEED(IIO, IP, II)
    92 FLOW(IIO, N)=F(II)
    I=IP
 100 CONTINUE
 120 CONTINUE
IF(KSCLABS.EQ.1)THEN
   NSTART=KST(IIO)
ELSE
   NSTART=KST(1)+KST(2)
END IF
DO 130 I=1, NSTART
IF(KSCLABS.EQ.1)THEN
   I10=1
   N=KSTART(1, I)
ELSE
   I10=2
   N1=KST(1)
   N=KSTART(2, I-N1)
END IF
END IF
 130 RN(I)=(PRM(IIO, 1, I)-PC(IIO, N))/FLOW(IIO, N)**1.75
CALL FRACT(NSTART, RN, F)
DO 132 I=1, NSTART
IF(KSCLABS.EQ.1)THEN
   N=KSTART(IIO, I)
ELSE
   IF(I.LE.KST(1))THEN
      I10=1
      N=KSTART(1, I)
   ELSE
      I10=2
      N1=KST(1)
      N=KSTART(2, I-N1)
   END IF
END IF
END IF
 132 FLOW(IIO, N)=F(I)
C
C***** ASSIGN REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION. FLOW(IIO, I)
ISTORE=0
IIO=I10
DO 170 I=1, KSCLABS
IF(KSCLABS.EQ.2)IIO=I20
DO 136 I=1, IMER(IIO)
   ITUBE(I)=0
   136 ISEE(I)=0
   DO 168 IS=1, KST(IIO)
I=KSTART(IIO,IS)
IL=1
DO 150 IO=1,NOUT(IIO)
DO 145 IT=1,NTPS(IIO)
IN1=KFEED(IIO,1,IL)
IF(IN1.EQ.-1)THEN
  IF(ISTORE.GT.0)THEN
    I=ITUBE(ISTORE)
    IL=ISEE(ISTORE)
    ISTORE=ISTORE-1
  GOTO 150
END IF
GOTO 160
END IF
IF(IL.GT.1)GOTO 137
DO 135 I1=2,3
IN2=KFEED(IIO,1,11)
IF(IN2.EQ.0)GOTO 137
ISTORE=ISTORE+1
ITUBE(ISTORE)=I
135 ISEE(ISTORE)=1
137 IN2=KFEED(IIO,1,2)
IF(IN2.GT.0)THEN
  FLOW(IIO,IN1)=FLOW(IIO,IN1)+FLOW(IIO,1)
ELSE
  FLOW(IIO,IN1)=FLOW(IIO,1)
END IF
I=IN1
IL=1
145 CONTINUE
150 CONTINUE
160 CONTINUE
170 CONTINUE
IF(KSLABS.EQ.1)THEN
  DO 180 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
  FLOW(IIO,1)=FLOW(IIO,1)+SFLOW(IIO)
END IF
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE CPCV(TS,P,CP,CV,GAMMA,SONIC)

C**** PURPOSE:
C TO CALCULATE FOR REFRIGERANT VAPOR
C SPECIFIC HEAT AT CONSTANT PRESSURE,
C SPECIFIC HEAT AT CONSTANT VOLUME,
C SPECIFIC HEAT RATIO AND SONIC VELOCITY.
C**** JANUARY 9, 1989

C**** INPUT DATA:
C TS - REFRIG. VAPOR TEMPERATURE (F)
C P - REFRIG. VAPOR PRESSURE (PSIA)
C REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.

C**** OUTPUT DATA:
C CP - SPEC. HEAT AT CONSTANT PRESSURE (BTU/LBM·°F)
C CV - SPEC. HEAT AT CONSTANT VOLUME (BTU/LBM·°F)
C GAMMA - SPEC. HEAT RATIO (-)
C SONIC - SONIC VELOCITY (FT/SEC)

C**** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY CPCV:
C SATT,VPSV

1 FDPDT,DPDT,FCV,CBV,CPD,GAMMA
COMMON/PRINT/IPR
COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,PFR,AJ,EPP
COMMON/STATE/A1,B1,C1,A2,B2,C2,A3,B3,C3,A4,B4,C4,A5,B5,C5,
&6,B6,C6,ALPHA,AK
COMMON/SPHTV/AC,BC,CC,DC,EC,FC,X,Y
SAVE TSLAST,PLAST,CPLAST,CVLAST,GLAST,SLAST
DATA TSLAST,PLAST,-1.,PLAST,-1.,
T-TS+TrR
1 I1(T.LE.0.)GOTO 999
2 I1(P.LT.0.)GOTO 999
3 I1(ABS(TS-TSLAST).GT.1.8E-4)GOTO 5
4 I1(ABS(P-PLAST).GT.1.E-4)GOTO 5
CP-CPLAST
CV-CVLAST
GAMMA-GLAST
SONIC-SLAST
RETURN

5 TG=SATT(P)
I1(TS.LT.TG)TS-TGV-VPSV(P.TS)
V1-V1+B1
V2=V1+V1
V3=V2+V2
V4=V3+V3
V5=V4+V4
V6=V5+V5
AKTTC=AK·T/TC
AXTTC=DEXP(-AKTTC)
Z=ALPHA·V
Z2=2.·Z
Z3=3.·Z
1 I1(Z.GT.15E.08)Z=158.0E08
2 I1(Z2.GT.15E.08)Z=158.0E08
3 I1(Z3.GT.15E.08)Z3=158.0E08
roPOV=0.
roPOT=0.
5 I1(ABS(C1).GE.1.E-20)GOTO3
roPOV=(ALPHA·(OEXP(-Z)+2.·C1·OEXP(-Z2))/(OEXP(-Z)+C1·C1))·(A6+B6+C6·AXTTC)
roPOT=(B6·AK·C6·AXTTC)·OEXP(-Z2)/(OEXP(-Z)+C1)
6 I1(T/V2.2·(A2+B2+C2·AXTTC)/V3-3.·(A3+
&3+C3·AXTTC))/V4+4.·(A4+B4+C4·AXTTC)/V5-5.·(A5+B5)
&=T+C5*AXTTC)/V6+FDPD
DPDT=A1/V1+(B2-AK+C2*AXTTC/TC)/V2+(B3-AK+C3*AXTTC/TC)/V3
&+(B4-AK+C4*AXTTC/TC)/V4+(B5-AK+C5*AXTTC/TC)/V5+FPDT
FCCV=0.
IF(ABS(C1).GE.1.E-20)FCCV=C6+EXP(-2)/ALPHA-(C6/C1/ALPHA)*
&+LOG(1.0+EXP(-2)/C1)
CVD=AC+BC+T(CC+T*2+DC+T*2+FC/T*2-(0.185053*AK+*2+T=AXTTC/TC+2))
&+(C2/V1+C3/(2+V2)+C4/(3+V3)+C5/(4+V4)+FCCV)
CPD=CVD-0.185053*T*DPDT**2/DPDV
GAMMA=CPD/CVD
CP=CPD
CV=CPD
GAMMA=GAMMA
SONIC=V/SQRT(857.36091*T*DPDT**2/CVD-4633.056*DPDV)
CLAST=CP
CVLAST=CV
GLAST=GAMMA
SLAST=SONIC
TSLAST=TS
P=LAST=P
RETURN
999 WRITE(IPR,100)
100 FORMAT(1X,'ERROR IN CALLING -CPV-')
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE DATAIN

C

C***** PURPOSE:
TO READ REFRIGERANT CONSTANTS
1/5/87

C

COMMON/PRINT/IC
COMMON/CONST/T,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EEP
COMMON/TGPG/AG,BG,CG,DG,EG,FG,AA,BB
COMMON/DENSF/AL,BL,CL,DL,EL,BPL,CPL,DPL,EPL
COMMON/STATE/A1,B1,C1,A2,B2,C2,A3,B3,C3,A4,B4,C4,A5,B5,C5,
$ A6,B6,C6,ALPHA,AK
COMMON/SPHTV/AC,BC,CC,DC,EC,FC,X,Y
COMMON/COEFPR/A(5,12)

OPEN (UNIT=7,FILE='DATAREF',STATUS='OLD')

C ***** INPUT REFRIGERANT DATA
READ(7,800)T1,T2,T3,T4,T5
WRITE(IPR,802)T1,T2,T3,T4,T5
READ(7,*)TC,PC,VC
READ(7,*)TFR,AJ,EEP
READ(7,*)AG,BG,CG
READ(7,*)DG,EG,FG
READ(7,*)AA,BB
READ(7,*)AL,BL,CL
READ(7,*)DL,EL,BPL
READ(7,*)CPL,DPL,EPL
READ(7,*)A1,B1,C1
READ(7,*)A2,B2,C2
READ(7,*)A3,B3,C3
READ(7,*)A4,B4,C4
READ(7,*)A5,B5,C5
READ(7,*)A6,B6,C6
READ(7,*)ALPHA,AK
READ(7,*)AC,BC,CC
READ(7,*)DC,EC,FC
READ(7,*)X,Y
DO10=1,5
READ(7,*)(A(I,J),J=1,3)
READ(7,*)(A(I,J),J=4,6)
READ(7,*)(A(I,J),J=7,9)
READ(7,*)(A(I,J),J=10,12)
10 CONTINUE

REWIND 7
CLOSE (UNIT=7, STATUS='KEEP')

800 FORMAT(5A4)
802 FORMAT(1X,5A4)

RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE DISTR2

***** PURPOSE:
TO DETERMINE AIR FLOW DISTRIBUTION IN A TUBE-FINNED COIL
JUNE 15, 1988

***** INPUT DATA:
I10 = 1 FOR THE FIRST SLAB
   = 2 FOR THE SECOND SLAB
NDEP(I10) = NUMBER OF TUBE DEPTH ROWS
NTUB(I10,N) = NUMBER OF TUBES IN ROW N IN THE SLAB
NTUB(2) = NUMBER OF TUBES IN THE SLAB

***** OUTPUT DATA:
AMR(I10,1) = RATIO OF AIR MASS FLOW FOR A GIVEN TUBE TO
            THE TOTAL MASS FLOW RATE FOR THE GIVEN SLAB
GET(I10,1,1) = FRACTION OF THE AIR FLOW OF TUBE I GETING THROUGH TUBE '1'
GET(I10,1,2) = FRACTION OF THE AIR FLOW OF TUBE I GETING THROUGH TUBE 'I'. IF GET(I10,1,2)=0,
            GET(I10,1,2) IS SET TO 0.
IGET(I10,1,1) = NUMBER OF A TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE 'I' IS
               GETING AIR
IGET(I10,1,2) = NUMBER OF A SECOND TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE 'I' IS
               GETING AIR. IF THE SECOND TUBE
               DOES NOT EXIST, IGET(I10,1,2)=0.

COMMON/PRINT/IDIA, IDIA0, NNN, MMM
COMMON/PRINT/IPR
COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS, NDEP(2), NROW(2), DI(2), DT(2), TPCH(2),
   & DPCH(2), WIDTH(2), FPCH(2), FTK(2), FMK(2), CFM1, BSIDE(2),
   & NTUB(2,5), IFROM(2,130), NTPS(2), BSPACE(2),
   & ACFM(2), IFIN(2), ISUR(2), SFLOW(2)
COMMON/ATEST/X(2,18), VX(2,18), NTEST(2)
COMMON/AIRD/IGET(2,130,2), GET(2,130,2), AMR(2,130)

***** CHECK AGREEMENT BETWEEN LOCAL AND TOTAL CFM MEASUREMENTS
APPLY CORRECTION TO THE LOCAL MEASUREMENT VALUES
CFM2=0.
DO 10 110=1,NSLABS
DO 10 I=2,NTEST(I10)
10 CFM2=0.5*(VX(I10,I-1)+VX(I10,I))*(X(I10,I)-X(I10,I-1))*WIDTH(I10)
   +CFM2
   CFM2=CFM2+60.
   CFM1=CFM2/CFM1
PER=ABS(CFM1-1.)*100.
IF(IDIA.NE.0) THEN
   WRITE(IDIA0,*)'CFM1, CFM2= ',CFM1,CFM2
   WRITE(IDIA0,*)'LOCAL MEASUREMENTS OF AIR DISTRIBUTION'
   IF(CFM1.GT.1.) THEN
      WRITE(IDIA0,*)'OVERESTIMATE CFM BY ',PER,' X'
   ELSE
      WRITE(IDIA0,*)'UNDERESTIMATE CFM BY ',PER,' X'
   END IF
   WRITE(IDIA0,*)'CORRECTION TO LOCAL VELOCITY VALUES IS APPLIED'
   IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA0,*)
END IF
TBSIDE=BSIDE(1)
IF(NSLABS.EQ.2) TBSIDE=TBSIDE+BSIDE(2)
VMEAN=CFM1/HEIGHT(I10)+TBSIDE+60.)
IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA0,*)'VMEAN=',VMEAN
DO 16 110=1,NSLABS
   DO 16 I=1,NTEST(I10)
16 VX(I10,I)=VX(I10,I)/CFMR
   DO 18 110=1,NSLABS
   ACFM(I10)=0.
   DO 18 I=2,NTEST(I10)
18 ACFM(I10)=0.5*(VX(I10,I-1)+VX(I10,I))*(X(I10,I)-X(I10,I-1))*
   WIDTH(I10)+60.*ACFM(I10)
C***** DETERMINE AIR DISTRIBUTION FOR EACH TUBE IN THE FIRST ROW
C OF EACH SLAB
C DO 78 II=1,NSLABS
DO 30 ITUBE=1,NTUB(IIO,1)
C FIND XLL & XRR
IF(ITUBE.EQ.1)THEN
   XLL=0.
   XRR=BSPACE(IIO)+0.5*TPCH(IIO)
ELSE
   XLL=BSPACE(IIO)+(REAL(ITUBE)-1.5)*TPCH(IIO)
   XRR=XLL+TPCH(IIO)
END IF
V=0.
XR=XRR
DO 20 I=1,NTEST(IIO)
20 IF(X(IIO,I).GE.XR)GOTO 22
WRITE(.,*)'BAD INPUT COIL DATA, MESSAGE FROM DISTR2, LOOP 20'
22 CONTINUE
C**** CALC. AVERAGE VELOCITY FOR A TUBE AND "AMR"
DO 25 L=1,5
   X1=X(IIO,L)
   X2=X(IIO,L-1)
   V1=VX(IIO,L)
   V2=VX(IIO,L-1)
C WRITE(.,225)X2,X1,V2,V1
C 225 FORMAT('X2,X1,V2,V1-',4F10.4)
XL=AMAX1(XLL,X2)
C WRITE(.,226)XL,XR
C 226 FORMAT('XL,XR-',2F8.4)
V=V+(V1+(V2-V1)/(X2-X1))*((0.5*(XL+XR)-X1))*(XR-XL)/(XRR-XLL)
IF(XLL.EQ.XL)GOTO 27
XR=XL
25 CONTINUE
WRITE(.,*)'ERROR IN DISTR2, LOOP 25, IIO,ITUBE=',IIO,ITUBE
27 CFUI-V.WIDTH(IIO).(XRR-XLL).60.
AMR(IIO,ITUBE)=CFUI/ACFU(IIO)
C WRITE(.,*)'ITUBE,V,AMR-',ITUBE,V,AMR(IIO,ITUBE)
30 CONTINUE
C**** DETERMINE IGET(IIO,130,2) & GET(IIO,130,2) VALUES
FOR DEPTH ROWS 2,3,4 AND 5
NDEPP=NDEP(IIO)
NTPSS=NTPS(IIO)
C**** FIND THE MAX. NUMBER OF TUBES IN ONE DEPTH ROW
N1=NTUB(IIO,1)
NMAX=N1
DO 32 ICT=2,NDEPP
   N0=NTUB(IIO,ICT)
32 NUAX=MAX0(N0,N1,NMAX)
NROW(IIO)=NMAX
DO 33 I=1,NTPSS
GET(IIO,I,2)=0.
33 GET(IIO,I,2)=0.
N0=N1
NL=N1
C DO 50 ICT=2,NDEPP
   N=NTUB(IIO,ICT)
   NF=NL+1
   NL=NL+N
IF(N.EQ.N0)THEN
C**** CASE 1
   DO 34 I=NF,NL
      GET(IIO,I,1)=1-N0
34 GET(IIO,1,1)=1.
ELSE IF (N.GT.N0) THEN
C**** CASE 2
      GET(IIO,NF,1)=NF-N0
      GET(IIO,NF+1,1)=0.66666
      GET(IIO,NF+1,2)=NF-N0
      GET(IIO,NF+1,1)=0.33333
      GET(IIO,NF+1,2)=NF-N0+1
      GET(IIO,NF+1,2)=0.5
      GET(IIO,NL,1)=NF-1
GET(IIO,NL,1)=0.66666
IGET(IIO,NL-1,1)=NF-1
GET(IIO,NL-1,1)=0.33333
IGET(IIO,NL-1,2)=NF-2
GET(IIO,NL-1,2)=0.5
DO 38 I=NF+2,NL-2
IGET(IIO,I,1)=I-N0-1
IGET(IIO,I,2)=I-N0
GET(IIO,I,1)=0.5
38 GET(IIO,I,2)=0.5
ELSE
C*** CASE 3.
IGET(IIO,NF,1)=NF-N0
GET(IIO,NF,1)=1.
IGET(IIO,NF,2)=NF-N0+1
GET(IIO,NF,2)=0.5
IGET(IIO,NL,1)=NF-2
GET(IIO,NL,1)=0.5
IGET(IIO,NL,2)=NF-1
GET(IIO,NL,2)=1.0
DO 40 I=NF+1,NL-1
IGET(IIO,I,1)=I-N0
IGET(IIO,I,2)=I-N0+1
GET(IIO,I,1)=0.5
40 GET(IIO,I,2)=0.5
END IF
END
50 CONTINUE
DO 60 I=N1+1,NTPSS
J=IGET(IIO,I,1)
AMR(IIO,I)=AMR(IIO,J)*GET(IIO,I,1)
J=IGET(IIO,I,2)
60 IF(J.NE.0)AMR(IIO,I)=AMR(IIO,I)+AMR(IIO,J)*GET(IIO,I,2)
70 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
FUNCTION DYNADP(P1,H1,P2,H2,RMS,D)

**** PURPOSE:
TO CALCULATE DYNAMIC PRESSURE DROP
FOR FLOW IN A TUBE

**** INPUT DATA:
D   - TUBE DIAMETER (FT)
H1   - REFRIG. ENTHALPY AT TUBE INLET (BTU/LBM)
H2   - REFRIG. ENTHALPY AT TUBE OUTLET (BTU/LBM)
P1   - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT TUBE INLET (PSIA)
P2   - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT TUBE OUTLET (PSIA)
RMS  - REFRIG. MASS FLOW RATE (LBM/H)

**** OUTPUT DATA:
DYNADP - DYNAMIC PRESSURE DROP (PSI)

**** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY DYNADP:
CPCV,ITRPR2,SATPR,SAT,T,SATVF,VPVHS

DO100 I=1,2
P=P1
H=H1
IF(I.EQ.1)GOTO 5
P=P2
H=H2
5 TG=SATT(P)
CALL VPVHS(1,TG,P,VG,HG,SG,HF)
IF(H.LT.HF)GOTO 10
IF(H.GT.HG)GOTO 20
X=1./(HG-HF)
X=X*(TG-HF)
VF=SATVF(TG)
V=VF+X*(VG-VF)
GOTO 30
10 CPF=SATPR(5,TG)
T=TG+(H-HF)/CPF
V=SATVF(T)
GOTO 30
20 TT=TG+10.
CALL CPCV(TT,P,CP,CV,GA,SO)
T=TG+(H-HG)/CP
PR2=H
CALL ITRPR2(T,2.,P,2.,0.001,PR2,V,H,S)
30 V2=V
IF(I.EQ.1)V1=V
100 CONTINUE
G=0.7853982*D*D
G=RMS/G
G=G/(32.2*144.*3600.*3600.)
DYNADP=G*(V2-V1)
RETURN
END
FUNCTION EVGUNG(RMS,X1,X2,TGI,HFG,VLIQ,VVAP,D,AL)

C ****PURPOSE:
C TO COMPUTE EVAP. HEAT TRANSFER COEFF. FOR R22 FLOW IN A SMOOTH
C TUBE. JAN 13, 1989

C **** INPUT DATA:
C AL – TUBE LENGTH (FT)
C D – TUBE DIAMETER (FT)
C HFG – REFRIG. HEAT OF EVAPORATION (BTU/LBM)
C RMS – REFRIG. MASS FLOW RATE (LBM/H)
C TGI – REFRIG. SATURATION TEMPERATURE (F)
C VLIQ – SPEC. VOLUME OF SAT. LIQUID (LBM/FT**3)
C VVAP – SPEC. VOLUME OF SAT. VAPOR (LBM/FT**3)
C X1 – REFRIG. QUALITY AT TUBE INLET (-)
C X2 – REFRIG. QUALITY AT TUBE OUTLET (-)

C **** OUTPUT DATA:
C EVGUNG – EVAPORATION GUNG TRANSFER COEFFICIENT (BTU/H*F*FT**2)

C **** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY EVGUNG: SATP, SATPR

C **** REFERENCE:
C GUNGOR, K. E. AND WINTERTON, R. H. S., A GENERAL CORRELATION FOR
C FLOW BOILING IN TUBES AND ANNULI, INT. J. HEAT MASS TRANSFER,

DOUBLE PRECISION PRED,G,QFLUX,BO,FRL,XAV,PR,RELIQ,HTCLIQ,XTT,E
1 S,HPool, EVHTC
PRED=SATP(TGI)/721.9
G=RMS/(0.7853982*D*D)
QFLUX=RMS*HFG*(X2-X1)/(AL*D)
BO=QFLUX/(G*HFG)
FRL=(G*VLIQ)*2/(32.2*D)
XAV=0.5*(X1+X2)
VISLIQ=SATPR(1,TGI)
VISVAP=SATPR(2,TGI)
CONLIQ=SATPR(3,TGI)
CPLIQ=SATPR(5,TGI)
PR=VISLIQ*CPLIQ/CONLIQ
RELIQ=G*(1.-XAV)*D/VISLIQ
HTCLIQ=0.2338*RELIQ+0.8*PR*0.4*CONLIQ/D
XTT=(1.00/XAV-1.00)*9*(VLIQ/VVAP)*0.5*(VISLIQ/VISVAP)*0.1
E=1.0+24000.0*BO+1.16+1.370/D*XTT+0.86
IF(FRL<0.0500)E=E+FRL*(0.100-2.00+FRL)
S=1.0/(1.0+1.15-6*E+RELIO*1.17)
IF(FRL<0.0500)S=S+DSORT(FRL)
HPool=55.0*PRED**0.12*(-1.0-LOG10(PRED))**(-0.55)
HPool=HPool+86.460*(-0.5)*(3.15250+QFLUX)*0.67/5.67450
EVHTC=E*HTCLIQ+S*HPool
EVGUNG=EVHTC
RETURN
END
FUNCTION EVPDP2(RMS, TGI, X1, X2, HFG, VLIQ, VVAP, VISL, VISV, AL, D)

C**** PURPOSE:
C TO COMPUTE FRICTIONAL EVAPORATION PRESSURE DROP
C FOR FLOW IN A TUBE , OCT-19-87

C**** INPUT DATA:
C AL - TUBE LENGTH (FT)
C D - TUBE INSIDE DIAMETER (FT)
C HFG - REFRIG. HEAT OF CONDENSATION (BTU/LBM)
C RMS - REFRIG. MASS FLOW RATE (LBM/H)
C TGI - SAT. TEMPERATURE OF REFRIG. (F)
C X1 - REFRIG. QUALITY AT TUBE INLET (-)
C X2 - REFRIG. QUALITY AT TUBE OUTLET (-)
C VISL - LIQUID DYNAMIC VISCOSITY (LBM/H*ft)
C VISV - VAPOR DYNAMIC VISCOSITY (LBM/H*ft)
C VLIQ - SPEC. VOLUME OF LIQUID REFRIG. (FT**3/LBM)
C VVAP - SPEC. VOLUME OF VAPOR REFRIG. (FT**3/LBM)

C**** OUTPUT DATA:
C EVDPD - FRICTIONAL EVAPORATION PRESSURE DROP (PSI)

C**** NOTE: PIERRE CORRELATION USED UNLESS CALCULATED PRESSURE DROP IS
C SMALLER THAN SINGLE PHASE PRESSURE DROP FOR X*RMS VAPOR.

DATA AC/1.6654E-11/
G=RMS/(0.78539816*D*D)
RE=G*D/VISL
AKF=778.*HFG*(X2-X1)/AL
RATIO=RE/AKF
RATIO=AMAX1(1..RATIO)
F=0.0185/RATIO**.25
XM=0.5*(X1+X2)
V2PH=VLIQ+XM*(VVAP-VLIQ)
EVDPD2=AC+F*V2PH*G*AL/D
RE=G*XM*D/VISV
F1=0.046/RE**0.2
RATE=2.*XM**2+F1*VVAP/(F*V2PH)
IF(RATE.GT.1.)EVDPD2=EVDPD2*RATE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE EVPHX2(KSLABS,II1,RMASS,T1,P1,H1,ATIN,
& APIN,ARHIN,T2,P2,H2,X2,QL)

C NOV. 22 1988
C
C*** EVAPORATOR SIMULATION
C
C*** THIS PROGRAM COMPUTES IN THE FORWARD TUBE-BY-TUBE SCHEME
C*** PERFORMANCE OF CROSS-FLOW AIR HEATED EVAPORATOR (ONE OR TWO
C*** SLABS ASSEMBLIES) WITH UP TO 130 FINNED TUBES (PER SLAB)
C*** PLACED IN UP TO 5 DEPTH ROWS.
C*** THE MODEL ACCOUNTS FOR AIR AND REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION.
C
C*** INPUT DATA:
C ACFM(IIO) - AIR MASS FLOW RATE THROUGH SLAB (LB/H)
C APIN - AIR INLET PRESSURE (PSIA)
C ARARIO(IIO,1) - RATIO OF AIR MASS FLOW RATE FOR A GIVEN
C TUBE TO THE TOTAL MASS FLOW RATE FOR
C A GIVEN SLAB (-)
C ARHIN - AIR INLET RELATIVE HUMIDITY (-)
C ATIN - AIR INLET TEMPERATURE (F)
C D1(IIO) - INNER DIAMETER OF TUBES (FT)
C DO(IIO) - OUTER DIAMETER OF TUBES (FT)
C DPCH(IIO) - TUBE DEPTH PITCH (FT)
C DT(IIO) - FIN TIP DIAMETER (FT)
C FLOW(IIO,1,1) - FRACTION OF COIL TOTAL REFRIG. MASS FLOW PASSING
C THROUGH TUBE 1 (-)
C FLM(IIO) - FIN MATERIAL THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY (BTU/FT*H*F)
C FPCH(IIO) - FIN PITCH (FT)
C FTK(IIO) - FIN THICKNESS (FT)
C GET(IIO,1,1) - FRACTION OF THE AIR FLOW RATE OF TUBE
C IGET(IIO,1,1) PASSING THROUGH TUBE '1'
C GET(IIO,1,2) - FRACTION OF THE AIR FLOW RATE OF TUBE
C IGET(IIO,1,2) PASSING THROUGH TUBE '2'
C IF IGET(IIO,1,2)=0, GET(IIO,1,2) IS SET TO 0.
C H1 - REFRIG. ENTHALPY AT EVAPORATOR INLET (BTU/LB)
C IDEPTH(IIO,M) - DEPTH ROW OF A TUBE M
C IFROM(IIO,M) - NUMBER OF TUBE TUBE M RECEIVES REFRIG. FROM
C WHEN COIL WORKS AS EVAPORATOR (-)
C IGET(IIO,1,1) - NUMBER OF A TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE '1' IS
C GETTING AIR (-)
C IGET(IIO,1,2) - NUMBER OF A SECOND TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE '1'
C IS GETTING AIR. IF THE SECOND TUBE DOES NOT
C EXISTS, IGET(IIO,1,2)=0. (-)
C IIO - SLAB INDICATOR FOR THE INPUTED DATA
C = 1 OR 2
C II1 = 1 OR 2, SPECIFIES THE SLAB TO BE CONSIDERED
C = IF KSLABS=1. IF KSLABS=2, ANY INTEGER VALUE
C MAY INPUTED FOR II1 (-)
C KSLABS - NUMBER OF EVAPORATOR SLABS TO BE SIMULATED (-)
C NDEP(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBE ROW DEPTHS (-)
C NROR(IIO) - MAX. NUMBER OF TUBES PER DEPTH ROW IN SLAB (-)
C NTPS - NUMBER OF TUBES IN THE SLAB (-)
C NTUB(IIO,I) - NUMBER OF TUBES IN ROW I OF THE SLAB (-)
C P1 - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT EVAPORATOR INLET (PSIA)
C PRM(IIO,1,1) - REFRIG. MASS FLOW RATE THROUGH BOTH SLABS
C (IF KSLABS=2) OR THE SLAB (IF KSLABS=1)
C (LB/H)
C TPCH(IIO) - TUBE ROW PITCH (FT)
C TMK(IIO) - TUBE MATERIAL THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY (BTU/FT*H*F)
C T1 - REFRIG. TEMPERATURE AT EVAPORATOR INLET (F)
C WIDTH(IIO) - COIL WIDTH (FT)
C
C*** OUTPUT DATA:
C HR(IIO,1) - REFRIG. ENTHALPY AT THE OUTLET OF TUBE 1
C (BTU/LBM)
C H2 - REFRIG. ENTHALPY AT EVAPORATOR OUTLET (BTU/LB)
C PRM(IIO,1,1) - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT 1 TUBE INLET (PSIA)
C PRM(IIO,2,1) - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT 1 TUBE OUTLET (PSIA)
C P2 - REFRIGERANT PRESSURE AT EVAPORATOR OUTLET (PSIA)
C S2 - REFRIG. ENTROPY AT EVAPORATOR OUTLET (BTU/LB*F)
C T2 - REFRIG. TEMPERATURE AT EVAPORATOR OUTLET (F)
C X2 - REFRIG. QUALITY AT EVAPORATOR OUTLET (-)
SAT. TEMP. OF I TUBE OUTLET (°F•••3/LB)

C SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY EVPHX2:
  AIRHT3,AIRPR,CPCV,DYNADP,FINCON,EGVUNG,EVPDP,FINEF2,ITPRR,
  OVLWET,SATPR,SATT,SPHDP1,SPHTC,UPWAO,VPVHS,VPSV,WATPR

DOUBLE PRECISION DPRES
COMMON/PRINTD/IDIA,IDIAG0,NNN,MMM
COMMON/FIR
COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EEP
COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS,NDEP(2),NROW(2),DI(2),DO(2),DT(2),TPCH(2),
& DPCH(2),WIDTH(2),FPCH(2),FTK(2),FMK(2),CM1,BSIDE(2),
& NTUB(2,5),IFROM(2,130),NTPS(2),BSPACE(2),
& ACMF(2),IFIN(2),ISUR(2),SLFLOW(2)
COMMON/MERG/MERGE(2,20,2),IOUT(2,20),NOUT(2),
& IDEPTH(2,130),FLOW(2,130),KFEED(2,130,3),KSTART(2,130),KST(2)
COMMON/MASS/PRM(2,2,130)
COMMON/AIRD/IGET(2,130,2),GET(2,130,2),ARATIO(2,130)
COMMON/OUTPR/HR(2,130)
COMMON/TAIR(2,2,6),ARATIO(2,130),
& TPIP(2,5),TWAT(2,5),HICE(2,5),HFGWT(2,5),TKICE(2,5),
& KFEED0(10)
COMMON/TAIRE(2,130,2),WAIRE(2,130,2),FSTORE(2,130),
1 HICEX(2,5,25),HFGWTX(2,5,25),TKICEX(2,5,25),FLOW14(2,130),
2 DP(25),TAIREX(2,130),TAIR,OMEGA,HICE,HFGWT,TKICE,TWAT,
1 TP1
DATA OMEGA/24.0.,PI/3.1415927/

C IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA,900)T1,P1,H1,ATIN,ARHIN,RSS

C ESTABLISH PARAMETERS FOR 110 LOOPS
IF(KSLABS.EQ.1)THEN
  II2=11
  KSL=11
ELSE
  II2=1
  KSL=2
END IF

C INLET PARAMETERS FOR REFRIGERANT AND AIR
TG1=SATT(P1)
TGPL=TGPL
CALL VPVHS(1,TGPL,P1,SG1,SG1,HG1)
X1=(H1-HG1)/(HG1-HF1)
CALL AIRPR(1,ATIN,APIN,ARHIN,WAIRIN,CPAIR,RAIR,
& AAMAS,AKAIR)
VAIR=RAIR*(TFR+ATIN)/144./APIN

C PREPARE OTHER VARIABLES
IDP=0
HD=5000.
II2=112.KSL
DO 11 I=1,II2.KSL
  FSTORE(I)=0.
1 DO 12 I=112.KSL
  IF(NNN.EQ.1.AND.MMM.EQ.1)THEN
    AAMAS=ACFM(II2)*60./VAIR
    DTAIR=0.85*RMASS*(SG1-H1)/(CPAIR*AAMAS*NDEP(II2))
    DO 6 I=1,NTPS(II2)
      DTHFG(I)=0.
      ICT=IDEPHTH(II2)
      TAIRE(I)=ATIN+FLOAT(ICT)*DTAIR
      WAIRE(I)=WAIRIN
      TAIR(I,1)=ATIN
      OMEGA(I,1,1)=WAIRIN
      OMEGA(I,2,1)=WAIRIN
      DO 8 I=1,NDEP(II2)
        J=I+1
        OMEGA(I,J)=OMEGA(I,J)
5 HICE(I,J)=1.
5 HICE(I,II2)=1.
6 FSTORE(I)=0.
100}

92
TKICE(I10,1)=0.
TWAT(I10,1)=0.
TPIP(I10,1)=0.

8   TAI R(I10,1,J)=TAIR(I10,1,1)-DT AIR
ELSE
   DTAIR=TG1-TG1P
   DO 10 I=NTUB(I10,1)+1,NTPS(I10)
10   TAIRE(I10,1,1)=TAIRE(I10,1,1)+DT AIR
END IF
12 CONTINUE

C•••• START MAIN LOOP
C•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••·
CALCULATE PERFORMANCE OF NEXT TUBE

18 CONTINUE

TRI = TRM(IIO, JJ)
PRI = PRM(IIO, 2, JJ)
HRI = HR(IIO, JJ)
XRI = XRM(IIO, JJ)
VGI = VQM(JJ)

32 PRM(IIO, 1, I) = PRI
HRI = HRI
XRI = XRI
PRI = PRI
TRI = TRI
PRE = PRI
HRE = HRE
XRE = XRE

RNG = RMASS * FLOW(IIO, I)
TGI = SATT(PRI)
CALL VPVHS(1, TGI, PRI, VGI, HGI, SI, HFI)
VVP = VGI
VLI = SATVF(TGI)
HFG = HGI - HFI
ICT = IDEPH(IIO, 1)
IF (ICT .EQ. 1) THEN
  AMS = ARATIO(IIO, 1) * AAMAS
  OMEGI = WAIRIN
  TAI = ATIN
ELSE
  J1 = IGET(IIO, 1, 1)
  J2 = IGET(IIO, 1, 2)
  IF (J2 .EQ. 0) THEN
    AMS = AAMAS * ARATIO(IIO, 1)
    TAI = TAIRE(IIO, J1, 1)
    OMEGI = WAIRED(IIO, J1, 1)
  ELSE
    AW1 = AAMAS * ARATIO(IIO, J1)*GET(IIO, 1, 1)
    AW2 = AAMAS * ARATIO(IIO, J1)*GET(IIO, 1, 2)
    TAI = TAIRE(IIO, J1, 1)
    W1 = WAIRED(IIO, J1, 1)
    W2 = WAIRED(IIO, J2, 1)
    CALL MIXAIR(14.7, AW1, TAI, W1, AW2, TA2, W2, AMS, TAI, OMEGI, WMASS)
  END IF
END IF

END IF

TAE = TAIRE(IIO, 1, 1)
OMEGE = WAIRED(IIO, 1, 1)
OMEG = AMIN1(OMEGE, OMEGI)
OMEAVE = OMEAVE + OMEGI + OMEGE
TAAV = 0.5 * (TAI + TAE)
CALL AIRPR(2, TAAV, APIN, RHA, OMEAVE, CPA, RA, AMA, AKA)
FFFTK = FFTK + 2. * TKICE(IIO, ICT)
HCOO = AIRHT3(ICT, DDD, TTPCH, DDPCH, FFPCH, FFFTK, WWIDTH, HYDD, NDEP(IIO), &
  IFIN, AMS, AMA, CPA, AKA)
HCO = HCOO + (1. * HFGWT(IIO, ICT))
FFEE = FINEF2(TTPCH, DDPCH, DDO, FFTK, FFMK, HCO)
UD1 = (1. * (IIF - (1. * FFF))/AO)
UD2 = HICE(IIO, ICT)
ANNUL = 0.
XDRY = 0.
CX IF (NNN .EQ. 2 OR MMM .EQ. 3) THEN
CX WRITE(IPR, 879) I, TRI, TAI, TAE, PRI, XRI, HRI, RMS, AMS,
CX 1 DTHFG(IIO, JJ), Q, CPA, TAAV, HCO
CX 879 FORMAT(131, 4F7.2, 1F6.3, 2F8.3, 1F7.2, 2F8.2, 1F8.1, 3F8.3)
CX END IF
DP1 = 0.
DP2 = 0.
AL1 = 0.
IF (TAI.LT.TRI) THEN
  TSATT = SATT(PRI)
  IF (XRI.LT.1.) TAI = TRI + 0.05
END IF
C IF(XRI.EQ.0.85)GOTO 54
C IF(XRI.GE.0.85)GOTO 54
C TUBE SELECTION FOR COMPUTING DONE
C PERFORM HEAT TRANSFER & REFRIG. PRESSURE DROP CALCULATIONS
C
C CASE 1
C INLET QUALITY LESS THAN 0.85
ANNL=1.0
HREE=-999
DO 50 IH=1,7
H1=HTCF2*EVGUNG(RMS,XRI,XRI,TRI,HFG,VLIQ,VVAP,DDI,WWIDTH)
CALL OVLWET(AO,API,APM,APO,H1,HD,HP,HPF,UD2,UD1,UA0,UP0,UWO)
DPPR=UA0/(CPA.AMS)
Q=CPA*Ams*(TAF-TRI)*(1.-DEXP(-DPPR))
HRE=HRI+Q/RMS
IF(ABS(HRE-HREE).LT.0.001)GOTO 52
50 XRE=(HRE-HRI)/HFG
WRITE(IPR,*)50 DID NOT CONVERGE, IAIR,I,TAI,AMS=',IAIR,I,TAI,AMS
WRITE(IPR,*)'RMS,TRI,HRE,XRE=',RMS,TRI,HRE,XRE
52 IF(XRE.LE.0.85)GOTO 70
C OUTLET QUALITY ABOVE 0.85 IN A TUBE OF INLET QUALITY BELOW 0.85
XB5=0.85
H1=HTCF2*EVGUNG(RMS,XRI,XB5,TRI,HFG,VLIQ,VVAP,DDI,WWIDTH)
CALL OVLWET(AO,API,APM,APO,H1,HD,HP,HPF,UD2,UD1,UA0,UP0,UWO)
DPPR=UA0/(CPA.AMS)
Q=CPA*Ams*(TAF-TRI)*(1.-DEXP(-DPPR))
HRE=HRI+Q/RMS
HBS=HFI+0.85+HFG
ANNL=(HBS-HRI)*RMS/Q
HRI=HBS
XR=0.85
C
C CASE 2
C INLET QUALITY AT OR ABOVE 0.85
C CALC. INSIDE TUBE H.T.C AT QUALITIES 0.85 AND 1.0
54 XA=0.85
XB=0.86
HCH=-999.
DO 58 IH=1,10
H1=HTCF2*EVGUNG(RMS,XA,XB,TGI,HFG,VLIQ,VVAP,DDI,WWIDTH)
CALL OVLWET(AO,API,APM,APO,H1,HD,HP,HPF,UD2,UD1,UA0,UP0,UWO)
DPPR=UA0/(CPA.AMS)
Q=CPA*Ams*(TAF-TRI)*(1.-DEXP(-DPPR))
HCH=Q/RMS
IF(ABS(HCH-HCHH).LT.0.001)GOTO 59
HCHH=HCH
XD=HCH/HFG
X=0.85+XD/2.
58 XB=0.85+XD/2.
WRITE(IPR,*)58 DID NOT CONVERGE, IAIR,I,TAI,AMS=',IAIR,I,TAI,AMS
59 CONTINUE
VISV=SATPR(2,TRI)
CONV=SATPR(4,TRI)
H1=HTCF1*SPHTC(CPR,VISV,CONV,RMS,DDI)
C
C CALC. HEAT TRANSFER FOR A TUBE WITH QUALITY RANGE 0.85 - 1.00
XRAV=XRI
HREE=-999.0
DO 88 IH=1,12
H1=H1A-(H1A-HIB)*(XRAV-0.85)/0.15
CALL OVLWET(AO,API,APM,APO,H1,HD,HP,HPF,UD2,UD1,UA0,UP0,UWO)
DPPR=UA0/(CPA.AMS)
Q=(1.-ANNL)*CPA*Ams*(TAF-TRI)*(1.-DEXP(-DPPR))
88 CONTINUE
HRE=HRI+Q/RMS
XRE=(HRE-HFI)/HFG
IF(XRE.GT.1.0)THEN
  XRE=1.0
  XDRY=(1.-ANNUL)*(HGI-HRI)/(HRE-HRI)
ELSE
  XDRY=1.-ANNUL
END IF
IF(ABS(HRE-HREE).LT.0.001)GOTO 63
HREE=HRE
60 XRAV=0.S.(XRI+XRE)
WRITE(IPR,.)'60 DID NOT CONVERGE, IAIR,I XDRY=',IAIR,I,XDRY
WRITE(IPR,.)'XRI,XRE,HRI,HRE=' ,XRI,XRE,HRI,HRE
63 IF(XRE.LT.1.)GOTO 70
HRI=HGI
C
C •••• CASE 3
C •••• INLET QUALITY EQUAL 1.; SATURATED OR SUPERHEATED VAPOR
64 TGAV=SATT(PRI)
VISVS=SATPR(2,TGAV)
CONVS=SATPR(4,TGAV)
HREE=999.
DO 66 1H1=1,7
TRAV=0.5*(TRI+TRE)
CALL CPCV(TRAV,PRI,CPR,CVR,GAR,SOR)
TT=SORT((TRAV+460.)/(TGAV+460.))
VISV=TT*VISVS
CONV=TT*CONVS
HI=HTCF1*SPHTC(CPR,VISV,CONV,RMS,DDI)
CALL OVLWET(AO,AP1,APM,API,APM,AP,WG,WG,WG,WG,WG,WG)
DPRES=UAP/CPA+AMS
DPRES=(1.-ANNUL-XDRY)*CPA+AMS*(1.-DEXP(-DPRES))/(CPA+RMS)
Q=CPR+RMS*(TAI-TRI)*(1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
HRE=HRI+Q/RMS
TRE=TRI+(HRE-HRI)*CPR
IF(ABS(HREE-HRE).LT.0.001)GOTO 68
66 HREE=HRE
WRITE(IPR,.)'S2 DID NOT CONVERGE, IAIR,I HRE=',IAIR,I,HRE
C
C •••• HEAT TRANSFER CALCULATIONS FOR TUBE 'I' COMPLETED
C
C •••• CALCULATE PRESSURE DROP
C •••• CASE 3. QUALITY EQUAL 1.
68 VSP=VPSV(PRI,TRAV)
AL1=(1.-ANNUL-XDRY)*WWIDTH+12.*DDI
DP1=DPF1+SPDP1(RMS,AL1,DDI,VSP,VISV)
IF(XDRY.EQ.0.)GOTO 72
70 AL2=WWIDTH-AL1+12.*DDI
VLIO=SATVF(TGI)VISL-SATPR(VGI)VISV=SATPR(2,TGI)
DP2=DPF2(EVPDP2(RMS,TGI,XRII,XRE,HFG,VLIO,VGI,VISL,VISV,AL2,DDI)
72 DP3=DYNADP(PRI,HRII,PRE,HRE,RMS,DDI)
PRE=PRI-DP1-DP2-DP3
C
C •••• ENTHALPY & PRESSURE AT TUBE I INLET ARE KNOWN
C •••• FIND TEMP., QUALITY & SPEC. VOLUME
PRM(IIO,2,1)=PRE
TGE=SATT(PRE)
CALL VPVMHS(1,TGE,PGE,VGE,HGE,SGE,HFE)
VGM(I)=VGE
IF(HGE.LT.HRE)THEN
  XRM(IIO,I)=(HRE-HFE)/(HGE-HFE)
  TRE=TGE
ELSE
  PROP2=HRE
  CALL ITRPR2(TRE,2.,PRE,2.,0.001,PROP2,VRE,HRE,S2)
  XRM(IIO,I)=1.0
END IF
TRM(IIO,I)=TRE
XSUP=(1.-ANNUL-XDRY)
HR(IIO,1)=HRE
C
OMECH1=0.
OMECH2=0.

C*** FIND AIR STATE PAST TUBE
C
C**** REFRIGERANT IN ANNUAL OR DISPERSED FLOW.  XSUP < 1.
IF(XSUP.LT.0.9999)THEN
  IF(XSUP.GT.0.0001)THEN
    Q=(HGI-HRII)*RMS
  ELSE
    Q=(HRE-HRII)*RMS
  END IF
  TAE=TAI-Q/(CPA*AMS)
  TAEE=TAE+DTHFG(IIO,I)
  TTAIR=0.5*(TAI+TAE)
  VELA=AMS*(468.+TTAIR)/AFLOW*(FFCH-FFTK-2.*TKICE(IIO,ICT))
  TWATAI=TRI+Q/UMO
  IF(XSUP.GT.0.00001)THEN
    TWATAE=TWATAI
  ELSE
    TWATAE=TWATAE*(1.-XSUP)
  END IF
  TPIPA=TRI+Q/UMO
  ELSE
    TWATAE=TRI+Q/UMO
  TPIPA=TRI+Q/UMO
  END IF
  CALL AIRPRI(TWATAI,APIN,1.,OMEGW,Cpw,RW,AMW,AKW)
  CALL AIRPRI(TWATAE,APIN,1.,OMEGW,Cpw,RW,AMW,AKW)
  OMECH=0.
  ELSE
    AFIN=3.14159*(DDTS-DDO)*(DDTS-DDO)/4.
    AFS=AFIN*(WIDTH/FFCH)
    SEG=SEG+2./(AFIN*(DDTS-DDO))
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMECH=OMEGI*(OMEGI-OMEGFM)*1.-DEXP(-DPRES)
  END IF
  IF(OMEGI.GT.OMEGIW)THEN
    DPRES=HCOO.APO/(CPA*AMS)
    OMECHP=(OMEGI-OMEGW)*(1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
    TEND=TWATA+(TFM-TWATA)/SEGFIN
    IF(TEND.GT.TAEE)TEND=TAEE
    CALL AIRPRI(1,TEND,APIN,1.,OMEGIS,Cpw,RW,AMW,AKW)
    DDTS=DDT-DDO*(OMEGI-OMEGW)/(OMEGIS-OMEGW)
    DDTS=DDT-DDO*(OMEGI-OMEGW)/(OMEGIS-OMEGW)
    OMECH=(1.-XSUP)*(OMECHP+OMECHF)
  ELSE
    AFIN=3.14159*(DDTS-DDO)*(DDTS-DDO)/4.
    AFS=AFIN*(WIDTH/FFCH)
    SEG=SEG+2./(AFIN*(DDTS-DDO))
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMECHF=OMEGI*(OMEGI-OMEGFM)*1.-DEXP(-DPRES)
  END IF
END IF
C
C**** REFRIGERANT IS SUPERHEATED IN "XSUP" FRACTION OF A TUBE
IF(XSUP.GT.0.0001)THEN
  IF(XSUP.GT.0.0001)THEN
    Q=(HRE-HRII)*RMS
  ELSE
    Q=(HRE-HRII)*RMS
  END IF
  TAE=TAI-Q/(CPA*AMS
  TAEE=TAE+DTHFG(IIO,I)
  IF(XSUP.GT.0.9999)THEN
    TWATAI=TI+Q/UMO
  ELSE
    TWATAE=TRI+Q/UMO
  END IF
  TPIPA=TRI+Q/UMO
  ELSE
    TWATAE=TRI+Q/UMO
  TPIPA=TRI+Q/UMO
  END IF
  CALL AIRPRI(1,TWATAI,APIN,1.,OMEGW,Cpw,RW,AMW,AKW)
  CALL AIRPRI(1,TWATAE,APIN,1.,OMEGW,Cpw,RW,AMW,AKW)
  IF(OMEGI.GT.OMEGIW)THEN
    DPRES=HCOO.APO/(CPA*AMS)
    OMECHP=(OMEGI-OMEGW)*(1.-DEXP(-DPRES))
    TEND=TWATA+(TFM-TWATA)/SEGFIN
    IF(TEND.GT.TAEE)TEND=TAEE
    CALL AIRPRI(1,TEND,APIN,1.,OMEGIS,Cpw,RW,AMW,AKW)
    DDTS=DDT-DDO*(OMEGI-OMEGW)/(OMEGIS-OMEGW)
    OMECH=(1.-XSUP)*(OMECHP+OMECHF)
  ELSE
    AFIN=3.14159*(DDTS-DDO)*(DDTS-DDO)/4.
    AFS=AFIN*(WIDTH/FFCH)
    SEG=SEG+2./(AFIN*(DDTS-DDO))
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMEGIS=AMIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
    OMECHF=OMEGI*(OMEGI-OMEGFM)*1.-DEXP(-DPRES)
  END IF
END IF
XCON=(OMEGI-OMEGW)/(OMEGI-OMEGW)
XCON=MAX1(XCON,0.)
XCON=MIN1(XCON,1.)
OMECON=MIN1(OMEGI,OMEGW)
OMEGI=0.5*(OMEGI+OMEGW)
TWATA=TWATA1+0.5*XCON*(TWATA1-TWATAE)
DPRES=HCOO*AP0/(CPA*AMS)
OMECHP=(OMEGI-OMEGW)*(1.-EXP(-DPRES))
Tm=Ttair-Tfiee*(Ttair-Twata)
Tend=Twata+(Tm-Twata)/segfin
IF(TEND.GT.TAEE)TEND=TAEE
CALL AIRPR(1.TEND,APIN,1.,OMEGIS,CPW,RW,AMW,Akw)
DPTS=DDO+(DPTS-DOO)*(OMEGI-OMEGW)/(OMEGI-OMEGW)
DPTS=MIN1(DPTS,DOO)
DPTS=AAX1(DPTS,DOO)
IF(DPTS.EQ.DDO)THEN
  OMECHF=0.
ELSE
  AFN=3.14159*(DPTS-DOO)/(DPTS+DOO)/4.
  AFS=AFN*2.*WIDTH/FFPCH
  SEG=SEG*2./AFN*(DPTS-DOO))
  OMEGIS=MIN1(OMEGIS,OMEGI)
  OMEGFM=OMEGW+(OMEGIS-OMEGW)*SEG
  DPRES=HCOO*AFS/(CPA*AMS)
  OMECHF=(OMEGI-OMEGF)*(1.-EXP(-DPRES))
END IF
OMECH2=XCON*XSUP*(OMECHP+OMECHF)
END IF
END IF

92 OMEG=OMEGI-(OMECH1+OMECH2)
TWATA=TWATAE
TPIPA=TPIPAE
CALL WATPR(TWATA,TPIPA,VELA,OMEGI,WATRO,WATK, &WATM,WTHFG,WATCP)
IF(IAIR.LT.6)THEN
  DTHFG(IIO,I)=WTHFG+(OMEGI-OMEGE)/CPA
ELSE
  DTHFG(IIO,I)=0.5*(DTHFG(IIO,1)+WTHFG+(OMEGI-OMEGE)/CPA)
END IF
TAE=TAE-(HRE-HRII)+RMS/(CPA*AMS)
TAE=TAE+DTHFG(IIO,1)
IF(AIRN(ICT).EQ.0)GOTO 96
AA1=1./(AIRN(ICT)+1.)
AA2=AA1*AIRN(ICT)
TAIR(IIO,2,ICT+1)=AA1+TAIR(IIO,2,ICT)+AA2*TAIR(IIO,2,ICT+1)
OMEGA(IIO,2,ICT+1)=AA1+OMEGA(IIO,2,ICT)+AA2*OMEGA(IIO,2,ICT+1)
TWAT(IIO,I)=AA1+TWATA+AA2*TWAT(IIO,I)
TPIP(IIO,I)=AA1+TPIP+AA2*TPIP(IIO,I)
AIRN(ICT)=AIRN(ICT)+1.
GOTO 100
96 TAIR(IIO,2,ICT+1)=TAE
OMEGA(IIO,2,ICT+1)=OMEGA
TWAT(IIO,I)=TWATA
TPIP(IIO,I)=TPIPA
AIRN(ICT)=1.
100 TAIRE(IIO,1.2)=TAE
WAIRE(IIO,1.2)=OMEGE
C**** SELECT A NEW TUBE FOR CALCULATION
IF(MY(1).EQ.1)THEN
  DO 102 N=2,3
    NN=KFEED(IIO,1,N)
  IF(NN.EQ.0)GOTO 104
  IIN=ILN+1
102 KFEED(IILN)=NN
END IF
104 JJ=1
I=KFEED(IIO,JJ,1)
IF(JJ.EQ.1)GOTO 18
IF(JJ.EQ.0)THEN
  I=KFEED(IILN)
ILN=ILN-1
J=j FROM(IIO,1)
GOTO 18
END IF
110 CONTINUE
C**** ALL TUBES COMPUTED.
C**** CALCULATE REFRIGERANT STATE IN THE OUTLET MANIFOLD (H2 & P2).
H2=0
P2=0.0
SUMFLO=0.
DO 112 IIO=IIO2,KSL
DO 112 N=1,NOUT(IIO)
I=IOUT(IIO,N)
112 SUMFLO=SUMFLO+FLOW(IIO,1)
DO 114 IIO=IIO2,KSL
DO 114 N=1,NOUT(IIO)
I=IOUT(IIO,N)
H2=FLOW(IIO,1)*HR(IIO,1)/SUMFLO+H2
P2=FLOW(IIO,1)*PRM(IIO,2,1)/SUMFLO+P2
CX XOUT=XRM(IIO,1)
CX HOUT=HR(IIO,1)
CX POUT=PRM(IIO,2,1)
CX TOUT=TRM(IIO,1)
CX TSAT=SATT(POUT)
CX TSUP=TOUT-TSAT
CX WRITE(IPR,864)I,XOUT,POUT,HOUT,TOUT,TSAT,TSUP
CX864 FORMAT(113,1F6.3,5F9.3)
114 CONTINUE
H2AIR(IAIR,1)=H2
DPMAX=0.0
DO 118 IIO=IIO2,KSL
DO 118 N=1,NOUT(IIO)
I=IOUT(IIO,N)
DP=ABS(P2-PRM(IIO,2,1))
IF(IDIA.NE.0)WRITE(IDIA,766)I,IIO,DP,FLOW(IIO,1)
766 FORMAT(' I,IIO,DP,FLOW(IIO,1)-',214,2F7.3)
118 DPMAX=AMAX1(DPMAX,DP)
DPM(IAIR)=DPMAX
C**** CHECK IF CONVERGENCE WAS OBTAINED.
IF(IAIR.EQ.0)H2S=0.0
H2PH2=H2S=H2
IF(IDIA.NE.0)WRITE(IDIA,793)H2,H2PH2,P2,DPMAX
793 FORMAT(' H2,H2PH2,P2,DPMAX -',2F13.7,2F1e.6)
H2S=H2
H2AIR(IAIR,2)=H2PH2
C1 IF(IAIR.GT.4)THEN
IF(IAIR.LT.15)THEN
IF(DPM(IAIR-1).GT.0.1.OR.DPMAX.GT.0.05)GOTO 1182
END IF
IF(ABS(H2AIR(IAIR-1,2)).LT.0.3.AND.ABS(H2PH2).LT.0.15)GOTO 152
END IF
C
C******************************************************************************
C**** CONVERGENCE WAS NOT OBTAINED.
C**** PREPARE REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE NEXT LOOP.
1182 IF(DPMAX.LT.0.05.AND.IAIR.GT.4)THEN
IF(DPMAX.LT.0.05.AND.IAIR.GT.4)THEN
IDP=IDP+1
DO 119 IIO=IIO2,KSL
DO 119 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
FSTORE(IIO,1)=(FSTORE(IIO,1)*REAL(IDP-1)+FLOW(IIO,1))/REAL(IDP)
END IF
IIO=IIO2
IF(IAIR.LT.15)CALL BALFL1(KSLABS,110)
DO 1210 IIO=IIO2,KSL
IF(IAIR.LT.15)THEN
DO 1992 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
99
120  FLOW(IIO,1)=FSTORE(IIO,1)
   ELSE
   DO 1205  I=1,NTPS(IIO)
   FLOW(IIO,1)=0.5*(FLOW(IIO,1)+FLOW14(IIO,1))
   END IF
END IF
1210 CONTINUE
C**** PREPARE AIR SIDE DATA FOR NEW LOOP.
C**** PREPARE AIR TEMPERATURE AND HUMIDITY RATIO FOR EACH TUBE.
DO 1236 IIO=II2,KSL
   IF(IAIR.LT.15)THEN
      DO 121  I=1,NTPS(IIO)
         Taire(IIO,1,1)=Taire(IIO,1,2)
         WAIRE(IIO,1,1)=WARE(IIO,1,2)
      END IF
      DO 122  I=1,NTPS(IIO)
         TAEX(IIO,1,1)=0.25*Taire(IIO,1,1)
         WAIREX(IIO,1,1)=0.25*WARE(IIO,1,1)
      END IF
   ELSE
      DO 123  I=1,NTPS(IIO)
         Taire(IIO,1,1)=Taire(IIO,1,1)+0.25*Taire(IIO,1,2)
         WAIRE(IIO,1,1)=WARE(IIO,1,1)+0.25*WARE(IIO,1,2)
      END IF
      DO 124  I=1,NTPS(IIO)
         Taire(IIO,2,1)=0.
      END IF
   END IF
1236 CONTINUE
C**** PREPARE CONDESATE/FROST DATA: AVERAGE FOR EACH DEPTH ROW.
DO 149 IID=II2,KSL
   DO 124 I=1,NDEP(IIO)
      J=I+1
      Taire(IIO,1,J)=Taire(IIO,2,J)
      OMEGA(IIO,1,J)=OMEGA(IIO,2,J)
      OMEGA(IIO,2,J)=0.
   END IF
   DO 125 I=1,NDEP(IIO)
      TWATER=TWAT(IIO,1)
      TTair=Tair(IIO,1,1)
      WAIR=OMEGA(IIO,1,1)
      CALL AIRPR(1,TWATER,APIN,1.,WWATER,CCPA,RRA,AAAM,AAAK)
      TPIPE=TPIP(IIO,1)
      VELA=AAMAS*(460.+TTAIR)/AFLOW
      VELA=VELA/(FFPC+FTK-2.+TKICE(IIO,1))
      CALL WATPR(TWATER,TPIPE,VELA,WWAIR,WATRO,WATK,&WATM,WATF,G,WATPOL)
      IF(OMEGA(IIO,1,1).GT.TWATER)GOTO 125
      HICE(IIO,1)=1.E+30
      HFGWT(IIO,1)=0.
      TKICE(IIO,1)=0.
   GOTO 148
   IF(OMEGA(IIO,1,1+1).LT.OMEGA(IIO,1,1))GOTO 126
   OMEGA(IIO,1,1+1)=OMEGA(IIO,1,1)
   HICE(IIO,1)=1.E+30
   HFGWT(IIO,1)=0.
   TKICE(IIO,1)=0.
   GOTO 148
   IF(OMEGA(IIO,1,1+1).GT.OMEGA(IIO,1,1))GOTO 126
   OMEGA(IIO,1,1+1)=OMEGA(IIO,1,1)
   HICE(IIO,1)=1.E+30
   HFGWT(IIO,1)=0.
   TKICE(IIO,1)=0.
   GOTO 148
125 WMAS=AAMAS*(OMEGA(IIO,1,1*1)-OMEGA(IIO,1,1+1))
   HFGWT(IIO,1)=WATF+G*(OMEGA(IIO,1,1)-WWATER)
   CALL AIRPR(2,TTAIR,APIN,RRRH,WWAIR,CCPA,RRA,AAAM,AAAK)
   HFWGT(IIO,1)=HFGWT(IIO,1)/(CCPA*(TAIR(IIO,1,1)-TWATER))
   IF(TWATER.GT.32.)GOTO 128
   TKICE(IIO,1)=0.125+WMAS/(AO*NNROW*WATRO)
   TKMAX=0.5*(FFPC+FTK)
IF(TKICE(IIO,I).GE.TKMAX) TKICE(IIO,I)=0.9*TKMAX  
GOTO 132

128  WWW=WMAS/WFLW  
WWW=WATM+WWW/(WATRO*WATRO)  
TKICE(IIO,I)=1.494E-03*WWW**0.333

132  HICE(IIO,I)=MATK/TKICE(IIO,I)  
IF(HICE(IIO,I).LT.0.) HICE(IIO,I)=0.  
IF(TKICE(IIO,I).LT.0.) TKICE(IIO,I)=0.  
IF(HFGWT(IIO,I).LT.0.) HFGWT(IIO,I)=0.

140  CONTINUE

DO 142 ICT=1,NDEP(IIO)  
HICEX(IIO,ICT,IAIR)=HICE(IIO,ICT)  
HFGWTX(IIO,ICT,IAIR)=HFGWT(IIO,ICT)

142  TKICEX(IIO,ICT,IAIR)=TKICE(IIO,ICT)  
IF(IAIR.GT.9) THEN  
DO 144 ICT=1,NDEP(IIO)  
HICE(IIO,ICT)=0.  
HFGWT(IIO,ICT)=0.  
DO 146 ICT=1,NDEP(IIO)  
DO 146 N=1,4  
HICE(IIO,ICT)=HICE(IIO,ICT)+0.25*(HICEX(IIO,ICT,IAIR-4+N))  
HFGWT(IIO,ICT)=HFGWT(IIO,ICT)+0.25*(HFGWTX(IIO,ICT,IAIR-4+N))  
END IF

149  CONTINUE

150  CONTINUE

C  END OF MAIN LOOP

158  CONTINUE

IF(IAIR.GT.25)IAIR=25  
DO 529 J=1,IAIR
529  IF(IDIA.NE.0) WRITE(IDIA,530)H2IAIR(J,1),H2IAIR(J,2)  
530  FORMAT(' H2,H2PH2-·,2(1PE11.3)')  
I=5  
DO 151 IEV=6,IAIR
151  IF(ABS(H2IAIR(I,1)).GT.ABS(H2IAIR(IEV,2))) I=IEV  
H2PH2=0.5*H2IAIR(I,2)  
H2=H2IAIR(I,1)+H2PH2

152  CONTINUE

DTT=4.  
TOL=0.001  
CALL PHWET2(P2,H2,DTT,TOL,T2,V2,S2,X2,TG2)  
TSUP2=T2-TG2

168  QL=ACFM(IIO)*60.*((OMEGA(IIO,1,1)-OMEGA(IIO,1,NDEP(IIO)+1))  
1/(1.+OMEGA(IIO,1,1))) + QL

999  RETURN

END
FUNCTION FINCON(DIS, FPCH, FTK)

C
C***** PURPOSE: TO CALCULATE THE THERMAL CONDUCTANCE FOR FIN-TUBE CONTACT
C  MARCH 9, 1989
C
C***** INPUT: DO - OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF TUBE (FT)
C     FPCH - FIN PITCH (FT)
C     FTK - FIN THICKNESS (FT)
C
C***** OUTPUT: FINCON - FIN-TUBE CONTACT THERMAL CONDUCTANCE
C     (BTU/(H*FT*F))
C
C***** REFERENCE: SHEFFIELD, J.W., WOOD, R.A. AND SAUER, H.J.,
C     EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF THERMAL CONDUCTANCE
C     OF FINNED TUBE CONTACTS. EXPERIMENTAL THERMAL AND FLUID
C
C***** NOTE: THIS CORRELATION IS APPLICABLE TO MECHANICALLY EXPANDED COPPER
C     TUBES WITH ALUMINUM FINS, DIAMETERS 1/4 TO 5/8 INCH.
C     THE INDENTATION DIAMETER OF THE MICROHARDNESS TEST, D, AND TUBE
C     INTERFERENCE, I, ARE GIVEN TYPICAL VALUES
C     IN CALCULATIONS.
C
C     NOTE DIMENSIONS USED IN THE CORRELATION:
C     - D (MICRO METERS)
C     - ALL OTHER LENGTH DIMENSIONS IN INCHES
C
REAL I
DATA D/44.2/1/0.0065/
C
DOI=DO*12.
FP=1./(12.*FPCH)
FTK=12.*FTK
FINCON=6.992+.889(I*FP1*DOI)*0.75*(FTK1*FP1)*1.25
FINCON=EXP(FINCON)
RETURN
END
FUNCTION FINEF2(TPCH, DPCH, DR, T, AK, H)

C
C***** PURPOSE:
C   CALCULATE FIN EFFICIENCY USING SCHMIDT'S METHOD
C   10-19-88
C
C***** REFERENCE: HEATING, VENTILATING, AND AIR CONDITIONING,
C   MCQUISTON, F.C. AND PARKER, J.D.,
C
C***** INPUT DATA:
C   AK - FIN MATERIAL THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY (BTU/FT*H*F)
C   DPCH - DISTANCE BETWEEN TUBES IN NEIGHBOURING DEPTH ROWS
C   (FT)
C   DR - FIN ROOT DIAMETER (FT)
C   H - FIN SURFACE HEAT TRANSFER COEFF. (BTU/H*F*FT*2)
C   T - FIN THICKNESS (FT)
C   TPCH - DISTANCE BETWEEN TUBES IN THE SAME DEPTH ROW (FT)
C
C***** OUTPUT DATA: FINEF2 - FIN EFFICIENCY (-)
C
C REAL L, M
C
C***** CALC. RATIO = REQ/R
X=0.5*TPCH
Y=0.5*SQRT(X*X+DPCH*DPCH)
L=AMAX1(X,Y)
M=AMIN1(X,Y)
R=0.5*DR
PSI=M/R
BETA=L/M
RATIO=1.27*PSI*SQRT(BETA-0.3)

C***** CALC. FIN EFFICIENCY
FI=(RATIO-1.)*(1.+0.35*ALOG(RATIO))
M=SQRT(2.*H/(AK*T))
X=M*R*FI
FINEF2=TANH(X)/X
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE FRACT(NSPLIT,RN,F)

C**** PURPOSE:
C TO CALCULATE REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION AT A SPLIT POINT
C WITH ONE TUBE SPLITTING INTO UP TO 20 TUBES.
C
C 10-14-88
C**** INPUT:
C NSPLIT - NUMBER OF TUBES RECEIVING REFRIG. IN THE SPLIT POINT
C RN(I) - FLOW RESISTANCE (OR / OF TUBES) IN A GIVEN CIRCUIT
C**** OUTPUT:
C F(I) - FRACTION OF REFRIGERANT MASS FLOW RATE THROUGH A SPLIT
C FLOWING IN TUBE I
C
DIMENSION RN(20),F(20),FL1(20)

IF(NSPLIT.GT.20)THEN
    WRITE(*,*) ' ERROR IN CALLING FRACT, NSPLIT = ',NSPLIT
    RETURN
END IF

DO 10 I=1,20
   F(I)=0.0
   R1=RN(I)
   DO 20 I=2,NSPLIT
       A=R1/RN(I)
       IF(A.EQ.1.)THEN
           FL1(I)=0.5
       ELSE
           FL1(I)=1./(1.+A**0.571)
       END IF
   20    F(I)=F(I)+(1.-FL1(I))/FL1(I)
   F(I)=1./(1.+F(I))
   DO 30 I=2,NSPLIT
      F(I)=F(I)*(1.-FL1(I))/FL1(I)
   30    RETURN
END

104
FUNCTION HYDDIA(IIO)

C**** PURPOSE: TO CALC. HYDRAULIC DIAMETER FOR SLAB # IIO
C JULY 15, 1988
C
C**** DEFINITION:
C HYDRAULIC DIAMETER = 4*L*(CRIT. FLOW AREA)/(TOTAL WETTED AREA)
C WHERE: L = NDEP(IIO)*DPCH(IIO)
C
C COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS,NDEP(2),NROW(2),DI(2),DO(2),DT(2),TPCH(2),
& DPCH(2),WIDTH(2),FPCH(2),FTK(2),FMK(2),TMK(2),CFM1,BSIDE(2),
& NTUB(2,5),IFROM(2,130),NTPS(2),BSPACE(2),
& ACFM(2),IFIN(2),ISUR(2),SFLOW(2)
DATA PI/3.141592654/
C
NDEPX=NDEP(IIO)
DOX=DO(IIO)
TPCHX=TPCH(IIO)
DPCHX=DPCH(IIO)
W=WIDTH(IIO)
FTKX=FTK(IIO)
NTPSX=NTPS(IIO)
C CALC. MAX. AND MIN. # OF TUBES IN DEPTH ROWS
NMAX=NTUB(IIO,1)
MIN=NMAX
DO 10 I=2,5
N=NTUB(IIO,I)
MAX0=MAX0(NMAX,N)
10 NMAX=MAX0(NMAX,N)
C CALC. HEIGHT OF THE COIL
HGT=REAL(NMAX-1)*TPCHX+.75*TPCHX
IF(NMAX.EQ.MIN)HGT=HGT+.5*TPCHX
C FLOW AREA BLOCKED BY FINS
FN=W*FPCH(IIO)
ABFINS=FN*FTKX*HGT
C FLOW AREA BLOCKED BY TUBES (ADJUSTED FOR AREA BLOCKED BY FINS)
ABTUBS=DOX*(W-FN*FTKX)*REAL(NMAX)
C MIN. FLOW AREA
AC=W*HGT-ABFINS-ABTUBS
C TOTAL HEAT TRANSFER AREA ON THE AIR SIDE, ATOTAL
AFINS=REAL(NDEPX)*DPCHX*HGT-.25*PI*DOX*DOX*REAL(NTPSX)
AFINS=2.*AFINS*FN
ATUBES=PI*DOX*(W-FN*FTKX)*REAL(NTPSX)
ATOTAL=AFINS+ATUBES
C HYDRAULIC DIAMETER
HYDDIA=4*AC*NDEP(IIO)*DPCH(IIO)/ATOTAL
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE ITRPR2(T,DT,P,AER,PROP2,V,H,S)

C***** PURPOSE:
C    TO ITERATE REFRIGERANT VAPOR PROPERTIES FROM GIVEN PRESSURE
C    AND ONE OF THE FOLLOWING PROPERTIES:
C    SPECIFIC VOLUME, ENTHALPY, ENTROPY

C***** INPUT DATA:
C    AER - CONVERGENCE PARAMETER NOT TO BE EXCEEDED
C           (IN UNITS OF RESPECTIVE PROPERTY)
C    DT  - TEMPERATURE INITIAL ITERATION STEP  (F)
C    I   = 1 IF SPECIFIC VOLUME IS PROP2  (-)
C          = 2 IF ENTHALPY IS PROP2  (-)
C          = 3 IF ENTROPY IS PROP2  (-)
C    P   - REFRIG. VAPOR PRESSURE (PSIA)
C    PROP2 - VALUE OF OTHER THEN PRESSURE KNOWN PROPERTY:
C            SPECIFIC VOLUME (I-1)  (FT**3/LBM)
C            ENTHALPY (I-2)  (BTU/LBM)
C            ENTROPY (I-3)  (BTU/LBM.F)
C            APPROXIMATE REFRIG. VAPOR TEMPERATURE (F)

C***** OUTPUT DATA:
C    H - VAPOR ENTHALPY (BTU/LBM)
C    T - VAPOR TEMPERATURE (F)
C    S - VAPOR ENTROPY (BTU/LBM.F)
C    V - VAPOR SPEC. VOLUME (FT**3/LBM)

C***** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY ITRPR2:
C    SATT,VPVHS

COMMON/PRINT/IPR
TG=SATT(P)
DO 100 IT=1,40
   IF(T.GT.TG)THEN
      CALL VPVHS(2,T,P,V1,H1,S1,HF)
   ELSE
      T=TG
      CALL VPVHS(1,T,P,V1,H1,S1,HF)
   END IF
IF(I.EQ.1)PROP22=V1
IF(I.EQ.2)PROP22=H1
IF(I.EQ.3)PROP22=S1
DIFF=PROP22-PROP2
IF(ABS(DIFF).LE.AER)GOTO 110
IF(T.EQ.TG.AND.DIFF.GT.0.)THEN
   WRITE(IPR,600)DIFF
   GO TO 110
END IF
IF(IT.NE.1)GOTO 10
   T1=T
   DTT=SIGN(DT,DIFF)
   T=T-DTT
   DIFF1=DIFF
   GOTO 100
  10 DDT=(T-T1)/(DIFF-DIFF1)
     IF(ABS(DIFF1).LE.ABS(DIFF))GOTO 20
     DIFF1=DIFF
     T1=T
  20 T=T1-DDT*DIFF1
100 CONTINUE
   WRITE(IPR,*)'ITRPR2 DID NOT CONVERGE, I,P,PROP2- ',I,P,PROP2
   V=V1
   H=H1
   S=S1
   600 FORMAT(' ERROR IN CALLING ITRPR2, DIFF=',1PE11.3)
   RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE MIXAIR(P, AW1, T1, W1, AW2, T2, W2, AW3, T3, W3, WMASS)

PURPOSE:
TO CALC. PROPERTIES OF THE AIR STREAM RESULTED FROM
THE MIXING PROCESS OF TWO WET AIR STREAMS
MAR/4/1987

INPUT:
AW1 - MASS FLOW RATE OF THE STREAM #1 (LB OF WET AIR/H)
AW2 - MASS FLOW RATE OF THE STREAM #2 (LB OF WET AIR/H)
P - AIR TOTAL PRESSURE (PSI)
T1 - TEMPERATURE OF THE STREAM #1 (F)
T2 - TEMPERATURE OF THE STREAM #2 (F)
W1 - HUMIDITY RATIO OF THE STREAM #1 (LB H20/LB DRY AIR)
W2 - HUMIDITY RATIO OF THE STREAM #2 (LB H20/LB DRY AIR)

OUTPUT:
AW3 - MASS FLOW RATE OF THE MIXED STREAM (LB OF WET AIR/H)
T3 - TEMPERATURE OF THE MIXED AIR STREAM (F)
W3 - HUMIDITY RATIO OF THE MIXED STREAM (LB H20/LB DRY AIR)
WMASS - CONDENSATION RATE AT MIXING (LB H20/H)

NOTE: APPLICATIONS RANGE OF THIS SUBROUTINE IS 32<T(F)<80.

H(TF,W)=0.240*TF+W.(1061.0+0.444*TF)
PSAT(Z)=EXP(0.17829*Z ••3-1.6896*Z •• 2-5.0988*Z+13.4353)

WMASS = 0
AD1=AW1/(1.+W1)
AD2=AW2/(1.+W2)
AD3=AD1+AD2
H1=H(T1,W1)
H2=H(T2,W2)
HTOTAL=AD1*H1+AD2*H2
H3=HTOTAL/AD3
W3=(W1*AD1+W2*AD2)/AD3
T3=((H3-1061.0*W3)/(0.240+W3*0.444))
Z=1000.0/(T3+459.67)
PSAT3=PSAT(Z)
WSAT3=0.62198*PSAT3/(P-PSAT3)
IF(W3.LT.(WSAT3+0.0001) GOTO 60

MIXED STREAM IS SATURATED, CONDENSATION DURING MIXING OCCURS
T3=T3+1.0
DO 50 N=1,10
Z3=1000.0/(T3+459.67)
PSAT3=PSAT(Z3)
W3=0.62198*PSAT3/(P-PSAT3)
H3=H(T3,W3)
WMASS=AD1*W1+AD2*W2-AD3*W3
HWATER=32.01+1.002*T3
DH=HTOTAL-AD3*H3-WMASS*HWATER
FR=DH/HTOTAL
IF(ABS(DH/HTOTAL).LT.0.00001) GOTO 60
IF(N.EQ.1) THEN
DT=SIGN(0.2,DH)
ELSE
DT=-DH*(T31-T3)/(DH1-DH)
END IF
NH=NH+1
T31=T3
50 T3=T3+DT
WRITE(*,*)'MIXAIR, LOOP 50 DID NOT CONVERGE'
60 AW3=AD3*(1.+W3)
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE OVLWET(AO,API,APM,APO,HI,HD,HP,HPF,HL,H0,
1 UAO,UPO,UWO)
C
C***** PURPOSE:
C TO COMPUTE OVERALL HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT
C FOR A WET FINNED TUBE (MAR 9, 1989)
C
C***** INPUT DATA:
C AO - TOTAL OUTSIDE SURFACE AREA (FT^2)
C API - TUBE INSIDE SURFACE AREA (FT^2)
C APM - SURFACE AREA BASED ON TUBE MEAN DIAMETER (FT^2)
C APO - TUBE OUTSIDE AREA (FT^2)
C HD - TUBE INSIDE SURFACE DEPOSIT HEAT TRANSFER COEFF.
2 (BTU/H*F*FT^2)
C HI - INSIDE TUBE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT
C (BTU/H*F*FT^2)
C HP - TUBE WALL HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT (BTU/H*F*FT^2)
C HPF - THERMAL CONDUCTANCE OF THE PIPE-FIN CONTACT
C (BTU/H*F*FT^2)
C HO - AIR-SIDE HEAT TRANSFER COEFF. FOR WET FINNED TUBE
C (BTU/H*F*FT^2)
C
C***** OUTPUT DATA:
C UAO - OVERALL HEAT TRANSFER COEFF. FOR WET FINNED TUBE
C (BTU/H*F*FT^2)
C UPO - HEAT CONDUCTANCE FROM REFRIGERANT TO TUBE SURFACE
C (BTU/H*F)
C UWO - HEAT CONDUCTANCE FROM REFRIGERANT TO WATER (FROST)
C SURFACE (BTU/H*F)
C
U=AO/(API+HI)+AO/(API+HD)+AO/(APM+HP)+AO/(APO+HPF)
UPO=AO/U
U=H+1./HL
UWO=AO/U
U=H+1./HO
UAO=AO/U
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE PHWET2(P,H,DT,TOL,T,V,S,X,TG)

C**** PURPOSE:
C TO FIND REFRIGERANT PARAMETERS
C FROM KNOWN PRESSURE & ENTHALPY.
C 11/5/86
C
C**** INPUT:
C DT - ITERATION STEP OF TEMPERATURE (F)
C H - REFRIG. ENTHALPY (BTU/LBM)
C P - REFRIG. PRESSURE (PSIA)
C TOL - CONVERGENCE TOLERANCE OF ENTHALPY (BTU/LBM)
C
C**** OUTPUT:
C H - REFRIG. ENTHALPY (BTU/LBM)
C S - REFRIG. ENTROPY (BTU/LBM*F)
C T - REFRIG. TEMPERATURE (F)
C TG - REFRIG. SAT. TEMPERATURE (F)
C V - REFRIG. SPEC VOLUME (FR**3/LBM)
C X - REFRIG. QUALITY (-)
C
C**** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY PHWET2:
C CPCV,ITRPR2,SATT,SATVF,VPVHS

TG=SATT(P)
CALL VPVHS(1,TG,P,VG,HG,SG,HF)
IF(H.LT.HF)THEN
  TT=TG
  DO 10 K=1,5
  TAV=0.2*(TG+TT)
  T=TG-(HF-H)/SATPR(5,TAV)
  IF(ABS(T-TT).LT.0.05)GOTO 11
  TT=T
  10 CONTINUE
  11 V=SATVF(T)
  S=SG-(HF-H)/(0.5*(TG+T)+459.67)-((HG-HF)/(459.67+TG))
  X=0.0
ELSE
  IF(H.LE.HG)THEN
    T=TG
    X=(H-HF)/(HG-HF)
    V=X*VG+(1.-X)*SATVF(TG)
    S=SG-(HG-H)/(460.+TG)
  ELSE
    CALL CPCV(TG,P,CP,CV,GA,SO)
    T=TG+(H-HG)/CP
    PROP=H
    CALL ITRPR2(T,DT,P,2,TOL,PROP,V,H,S)
    X=1.0001
  END IF
END IF
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE RDATA3

C**** PURPOSE:
C TO READ FROM FILE 8 AND PREPARE THE EVAPORATOR DATA.
C JUNE 15, 1988
C**** NOTE:
C AT THE EXIT OF RDATA3, ALL COMMON STATEMENT VALUES ARE DEFINED
C WITH EXCEPTION TO /HPHX/ACFM(2) AND /PRINT/IPR

COMMON/PRINT/IPR
COMMON/RESTR/IEXP
COMMON/HPHX/NSLABS,NDEP(2),NROW(2),DI(2),DO(2),DT(2),TPCH(2),
& DPCH(2),WIDTH(2),FPCH(2),FTK(2),FMK(2),TMK(2),CFMTOT,BSIDE(2),
& NTUB(2,5),IFROM(2,130),NTPS(2),BSPACE(2),
& ACFM(2),IFIN(2),ISUR(2),SFLOW(2)
COMMON/ATEST/X(2,18),VX(2,18),NTEST(2)
DIMENSION ATITLE(20)
OPEN (UNIT=8, FILE='DTEV', STATUS='OLD')

C**** INPUT EVAPORATOR COIL DATA
READ(8,800)ATITLE
WRITE(IPR,800)ATITLE
800 FORMAT( 20A4)
READ(8,.)NSLABS,IEXP,CFMTOT

C**** READ & REDUCE DATA FOR EACH SLAB SEPARATELY
DO 100 N-1,NSLABS
READ(8,800)ATITLE
READ 8,. BSIDE(N) ,BSPACE(N) ,WIDTH N
READ 8,. TPCH(N)'DPCH(N)'DI(N)'DO~N~'TMK(N)'ISUR(N)
READ 8,. FPCH(N),FTK(N),FMK(N),IFIN(N)
READ 8,. (NTUB(N,I),1-1,5),SFLOW(N)
DO 12 1-1,13
K-10.1
12 READ(8,.)(IFROM(N,J),J=1,13)

C**** READ AIR DISTRIBUTION DATA
READ(8,*)NTEST(N)
READ 8,. X(N,I),I=1,8)READ 8,. X(N,I),I=9,16
READ 8,. VX(N,1),I=1,8)
READ 8,. VX(N,1),I=9,16)

C**** ASSIGN AIR VELOCITY AT THE DUCT WALL (IF NOT SPECIFIED BY DTEV)
IF(X(N,1).NE.0.)THEN
DO 14 IT-1,NTEST(N)
I=NTEST(N+1-IT)
X(N,I)=X(N,I)
14 VX(N,1)=VX(N,1)
X(N,1)=0.0
VX(N,1)=VX(N,2)
NTEST(N)=NTEST(N+1)
END IF

IF(X(N,IT).LT.BSIDE(N))THEN
NTEST(N)=NTEST(N)+1
I=NTEST(N)
X(N,I)=BSIDE(N)
VX(N,1)=VX(N,1)

C**** PREPARE DATA FOR CALCULATIONS
BSIDE(N)=BSIDE(N)/12.
BSPACE(N)=BSPACE(N)/12.
WIDTH(N)=WIDTH(N)/12.
TPCH(N)=TPCH(N)/12.
DPCH(N)=DPCH(N)/12.
DI(N)=DI(N)/12.
DO(N)=DO(N)/12.
FPCH(N)=FPCH(N)/12.
FTK(N)=FTK(N)/12.
DT(N)=SORT(4.*TPCH(N)*DPCH(N)/3.14159)
DO 20 I=1,NTEST(N)
20 X(N,I)=X(N,I)/12.
C***** FIND # OF TUBES IN THE SLAB, NTPS(N)
C FInd # OF DEPTH ROWS, NDEP(N)
    NTPS(N)=0
    DO 50 I=1,5
    IF(NTUB(N,I).NE.0)NDEP(N)=I
    50 NTPS(N)=NTPS(N)+NTUB(N,I)
C***** FIND MAX. NUMBER OF TUBES IN A DEPTH ROW, NROW(N)
    NMAX=0
    DO 60 ICT=1,NDEP(N)
       NX=NTUB(N,ICT)
    60 NMAX=MAX0(NX,NMAX)
    NROW(N)=NMAX
100 CONTINUE
REWIND B
CLOSE (UNIT=8, STATUS='KEEP')
RETURN
END
FUNCTION SATP(TG)

C**** PURPOSE:
C TO COMPUTE REFRIGERANT SATURATION PRESSURE
C FOR GIVEN TEMPERATURE

C**** INPUT DATA:
C TG - REFRIG. TEMPERATURE (F)
C REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.

C**** OUTPUT DATA:
C SATP - REFRIG. SATURATION PRESSURE (PSIA)

COMMON/PRINT/IPR
COMMON/TGPG/AG,BG,CG,DG,EG,FG,AA,BB
COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EFP
SAVE TGLAST,STPLST
DATA TGLAST/-111./

T=TG+TFR
IF(T.LE.0.)GOTO 999
IF(T.GT.TC)GOTO 999
IF(ABS(TG-TGLAST).GT. 1.0E-4)GOTO 5
SATP=STPLST
RETURN

5 C=ALOG(ABS(FG-T))
SATP=EFP*{(AG+BG/T+CG+ALOG(T)+DG*T+EG*C*((FG-T)/T))
STPLST=SATP
TGLAST=TG
RETURN

999 WRITE(IPR,100)
100 FORMAT(5X, 'ERROR IN CALLING -SATP-')
RETURN
END
FUNCTION SATPR(I,TG)

C  PURPOSE:
C  TO CALCULATE REFRIGERANT PROPERTIES AT SATURATION
C  JANUARY 13, 1989
C
C  INPUT DATA:
C  I = 1,2,3,4 OR 5
C  TG = REFRIG. TEMPERATURE (F)
C  REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.
C
C  OUTPUT DATA:
C  SATPR(1,TG) - SAT. LIQUID DYNAMIC VISCOSITY  (LBM/H*FT)
C  SATPR(2,TG) - SAT. VAPOR DYNAMIC VISCOSITY  (LBM/H*FT)
C  SATPR(3,TG) - SAT. LIQUID THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY  (BTU/H*FT*F)
C  SATPR(4,TG) - SAT. VAPOR THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY  (BTU/H*FT*F)
C  SATPR(5,TG) - SPEC. HEAT OF SAT. LIQUID  (BTU/LBM*F)
C
DOUBLE PRECISION DPRES,T,AA
COMMON/COEFPR/A(5,12)
DIMENSION PRLAST(5),TGLAST(5)
DATA TGLAST/S.-1111./

IF(ABS(TG-TGLAST(I).GT.1.0E-5)GOTO S
SATPR=PRLAST(I)
RETURN
S
T=TG
DPRES=0.D0
K=1
M=1
IF(TG.GT.100.)M=M+6
N=M+5
DO10 J=M,N
K=K+1
AA=A(I,J)
10 DPRES=DPRES+AA*T*K
SATPR=DPRES
PRLAST(I)=SATPR
TGLAST(I)=TG
RETURN
END
FUNCTION SATT(PG)
C**** PURPOSE:
C TO COMPUTE SATURATION TEMPERATURE FOR GIVEN PRESSURE
C
C**** INPUT DATA:
C PG - REFRIG. PRESSURE (PSIA)
C REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.
C
C**** OUTPUT DATA:
C SATT - REFRIG. SATURATION TEMPERATURE (°F)
C
COMMON/PRINT/IPR
COMMON/TGPG/AG,BG,CG,DG,EG,FG,AA,BB
COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EEP
SAVE PGLAST,SATLST
DATA PGLAST/0./

IF(PG.LE.0.)GOTO 999
IF(ABS(PG-PGLAST).GT.1.0E-3)GOTO 5
SATT=SATLST
PGLAST=PG
RETURN

5 PLOG=ALOG(PG)
TR=0.4342944*PG+BB
DO10 ITR=1,30
TR=TR
C=ALOG(ABS(FG-TRO))
F=AG+BG/TRO+CG+ALOG(TRO)+DG+EG*((FG-TRO)*C/TRO)-PLOG
FT=BG/TRO**2+CG/TRO+DG
IF(ABS(EG).GE.1.E-20)FT=FT-EG*(1./TRO+FG*C/TRO**2)
TR=TRO-FT/FT
IF(ABS(TR-TRO).LE.0.05)GOTO 20
10 CONTINUE
999 WRITE(IPR,100)PG
100 FORMAT(5X,'ERROR IN CALLING SATT, PG=',1PE11.3)
RETURN
20 SATLST=SATT
PGLAST=PG
RETURN
END
FUNCTION SATVF(TF)
C
C***** PURPOSE:
C TO COMPUTE SPECIFIC VOLUME OF SATURATED LIQUID REFRIGERANT
C
C***** INPUT DATA:
C TF - SATURATED LIQUID TEMPERATURE (F)
C REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.
C
C***** OUTPUT DATA:
C SATVF - SPECIFIC VOLUME OF SAT. LIQUID REFRIGERANT (FT**3/LBM)
C
COMMON/PRINT/IPR
COMMON/DENSF/AL,BL,CL,DL,EL,BPL,CPL,DPL,EPL
COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EEP
SAVE TFLAST,VLAST
DATA TFLAST/-1111./

C
T=TF+TFR
IF(T.GT.TC)GOTO 999
IF(T.LE.0.)GOTO 999
IF(ABS(TF-TFLAST).GT. 1.0E-3)GOTO 5
SATVF=VLAST
RETURN

5 T=1.-T/TC
SATVF=1.1/(AL+T*BL+T**2*CL+T**3*DL+T**4*EL+T**5*EPL)
TFLAST=TF
VLAST=SATVF
RETURN

999 WRITE(IPR,100)TF
100 FORMAT(5X,'ERROR IN CALLING SATVF, TF = ',1PE10.3)
RETURN
END
FUNCTION SPHDP(I,T,P,RMAS,AL,D)

C***** Purpose:
C TO COMPUTE FRICTIONAL SINGLE PHASE PRESSURE DROP IN A TUBE

C***** Input Data:
C AL - TUBE LENGTH (FT)
C D - TUBE INNER DIAMETER (FT)
C I = 1 FOR SATURATED LIQUID (-)
C = 2 FOR SATURATED VAPOR (-)
C = 3 FOR SUPERHEATED VAPOR (-)
C P - REFRIG. PRESSURE AT INLET (PSIA)
C RMAS - REFRIG. MASS FLOW RATE (LBM/H)
C T - REFRIG. TEMPERATURE AT INLET (F)

C***** Output Data:
C SPHDP - PRESSURE DROP OVER TUBE LENGTH (PSIA)

C***** Subprograms Called by SPHDP:
C SATP, SATPR, SATT, SATVf, VPSV

IF (I.EQ.2) GOTO 1
IF (I.EQ.3) GOTO 2
VSP = SATVF(T)
AMU = SATPR(1, T)
GOTO 3
1 P = SATP(T)
2 VSP = VPSV(P, T)
TG = SATT(P)
AA = ((T+460.)/(TG+460.))**0.5
AMU = AA * SATPR(2, TG)
3 AC = 2./(32.174+144.*3600.*.5)
G = 0.7853981*D*D
G = RMAS/G
RE = G*D/AMU
F = 0.046/RE**0.2
IF (RE.LT.2000.) F = 16./RE
SPHDP = AC * F * VSP * AL * G * G / D
RETURN
END
FUNCTION SPHDP1(AM, AL, D, VSP, AMU)

C
C***** PURPOSE:
C   TO COMPUTE FRICTIONAL PRESSURE DROP
C   FOR SINGLE PHASE FLOW IN A TUBE
C
C***** INPUT DATA:
C   AL   - TUBE LENGTH (FT)
C   AM   - FLUID MASS FLOW RATE (LBM/H)
C   AMU  - FLUID DYNAMIC VISCOSITY (LBM/H*FT)
C   D    - TUBE DIAMETER (FT)
C   VSP  - FLUID SPECIFIC VOLUME (FT**3/LBM)

ACC=3.3309E-11
G=0.78539816*D*D
G=AM/G
RE=G*D/AMU
F=0.046/RE**0.2
SPHDP1=ACC*F*VSP*AL*G*G/D
RETURN
END
FUNCTION SPHTC(CP, AM, AK, RMASS, D)

C**** PURPOSE:
C TO COMPUTE SINGLE PHASE HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT
C FOR FLOW INSIDE TUBE

C**** INPUT DATA:
C AM - FLUID DYNAMIC VISCOSITY (LBM/FT*H)
C AK - FLUID THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY (BTU/H*F*FT)
C CP - FLUID SPECIFIC HEAT AT CONST. PRESSURE (BTU/LBM*F)
C D - TUBE DIAMETER (FT)
C RMASS - FLUID MASS FLOW RATE (LBM/H)

C**** OUTPUT DATA:
C SPHTC - SINGLE PHASE HEAT TRANSFER COEFF. (BTU/H*F*FT**2)

G=RMASS/(0.7853982*D*D)
RE=D*G/AM
IF(RE.GE.2000.)GOTO10
SPHTC=4.36*AK/D
GOTO20
10 PR=(AM*CP/AK)**0.4
RE=RE**0.8
SPHTC=0.023*AK*PR*RE/D
20 RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TRACE3
C
C**** THIS PROGRAM DETERMINES REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION AMONG TUBES
C IN A CROSS FLOW EVAPORATOR BASED ON CIRCUITRY CONFIGURATION.
C**** THE EVAPORATOR ASSEMBLY MAY CONSIST OF ONE OR TWO COILS (SLABS).
C**** 11-16-1988
C
C**** INPUT DATA:
C IFROM(IIO,J) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE FROM WHICH TUBE J RECEIVES
C REFRIGERANT. IF THE TUBE IS CONNECTED TO THE
C INLET MAINFOLD, IFROM IS SET TO 0.
C II0 = 1 FOR THE FIRST SLAB (-)
C II0 = 2 FOR THE SECOND SLAB (-)
C NSLABS - NUMBER OF SLABS IN THE EVAPORATOR ASSEMBLY (-)
C NTUB(IIO,N) - NUMBER OF TUBES IN THE ROW N (-)
C
C**** OUTPUT DATA:
C FLOW(IIO,J) - FRACTION OF COIL TOTAL REFRIG. MASS FLOW
C PASSING THROUGH TUBE J (-)
C IDEPTH(IIO,J) - DEPTH ROW OF THE TUBE J (-)
C IMER(IIO) - NUMBER OF SPLIT POINTS (-)
C IOUT(IIO,L) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE CONNECTED TO THE OUTLET
C MANIFOLD, FOUND AS L SUCH TUBE (-)
C KFEED(IIO,J,N) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE RECEIVING REFRIGERANT
C FROM TUBE J, FOUND AS N SUCH A TUBE
C NOTE THAT TUBE J CAN FEED UP TO 3 TUBES
C (N CAN BE 1, 2 AND 3). KFEED IS SET TO -1 IF
C J TUBE FEEDS THE DISCHARGE MANIFOLD. KFEED
C IS SET TO 0 IF A TUBE IS NOT FED. (-)
C KSTART(IIO,N) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE CONNECTED TO THE INLET
C MANIFOLD, FOUND AS N SUCH TUBE (-)
C KST(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBES CONNECTED TO THE INLET
C MANIFOLD (-)
C MERGE(IIO,K,1) - NUMBER OF THE TUBE WHICH FEEDS A SPLIT POINT.
C FOUND AS K SUCH TUBE (-)
C MERGE(IIO,K,2) - NUMBER OF TUBES FED BY THE TUBE K (-)
C NDEP(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBE DEPTH ROWS IN THE SLAB (-)
C NOUT(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBES CONNECTED TO THE OUTLET
C MANIFOLD (-)
C NTPS(IIO) - NUMBER OF TUBES IN THE SLAB (-)
C SFLOW(IIO) - FRACTION OF TOTAL REFRIGERANT MASS FLOW RATE
C FOR THE COIL FLOWING THROUGH SLAB IIO
C
C**** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY TRACE3: FRACT
C COMMON/HHX/NSLABS,NDEP(2),NROW(2),DI(2),DO(2),DT(2),TPCH(2),
& DPCH(2),WIDTH(2),FPCH(2),FTK(2),FMK(2),TMK(2),CMF1,BSIDE(2),
& NTUB(2,5),IFROM(2,130),NTPS(2),BSPACE(2),
& ACFM(2),IFIN(2),ISUR(2),SFLOW(2)
COMMON/MERG/MERGE(2,2e,2).IMER(2).IOUT(2,2e).NOUT(2).
& IDEPTH(2,130),FLOW(2,130),KFEED(2,130,3).KSTART(2,130).KST(2)
& ISEE(20)
C
DO 200 IIO=1,NSLABS
C**** FIND NUMBER TUBES IN THE SLAB
NTPS(IIO)=0
DO 1 I=1,5
1 IF(NOUT(IIO,1).NE.8)NDEP(IIO)=1
1 NTPS(IIO)=NTPS(IIO)+NTUB(IIO,1)
C
DO 3 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
2 FLOW(IIO,1)=0.
3 KFEED(IIO,1,J)=0
DO 4 I=1,20
4 MERGE(IIO,1,1)=0
C
C**** FIND TUBES CONNECTED TO THE OUTLET MANIFOLD
C**** FIND TUBES WHICH FEED SPLIT POINTS
IS=0
I=0

119
DO 10 J=1,NTPS(IIO)
N=0
DO 6 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
IF(IFROM(IIO,I).NE.J)GOTO 6
N=N+1
6 CONTINUE
IF(N.EQ.0)GOTO 8
IF(N.EQ.1)GOTO 10
IM=IM+1
MERGE(IIO,IM,1)=J
MERGE(IIO,IM,2)=N
GOTO 10
8 IS=IS+1
IOUT(IIO,IS)=J
10 CONTINUE
NOUT(IIO)=IS
IMER(IIO)=IM
C
C FIND DEPTH ROW FOR EACH TUBE
NNDEP=NDEP(IIO)
ILAST=0
DO 22 J=1,NNDEP
IFIRST=ILAST+1
ILAST=ILAST+NTUB(IIO,J)
DO 22 I=IFIRST,ILAST
IDEP(IIO,I)=J
22 CONTINUE
C
C FIND REFRIG. FLOW PATH FROM THE INLET TO THE OUTLET (KFEED ARRAY)
DO 50 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
DO 48 IK=1,IMER(IIO)
IF(I.NE.MERGE(IIO,IK,1))GOTO 48
IDID(I)=MERGE(IIO,IK,2)
GOTO 50
48 CONTINUE
IDID(I)=1
50 CONTINUE
C
DO 60 IS=1,NOUT(IIO)
I=IOUT(IIO,IS)
KFEED(IIO,1,1)=1
54 J=1
I=IFROM(IIO,J)
IF(I.EQ.0)GOTO 60
N=IDID(I)
KFEED(IIO,1,N)=J
IDID(I)=IDID(I)-1
IF(IDID(I).EQ.0)GOTO 54
60 CONTINUE
C
C FIND THE INLET EVAPORATOR TUBES, KSTART(IIO,N)
KS=0
DO 63 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
IO=IFROM(IIO,I)
IF(IO.EQ.0)THEN
KS=KS+1
END IF
KSTART(IIO,KS)=I
63 CONTINUE
KST(IIO)=KS
C
C FIND REFRIGERANT FLOW DISTRIBUTION
C FIND FLOW RESISTANCE FOR EACH TUBE
DO 65 I=1,IMER(IIO)
65 LEFT(I)=MERGE(IIO,1,2)
DO 70 IT=1,NTPS(IIO)
70 TC(I)=0.
DO 120 IL=1,NOUT(IIO)
I=IOUT(IIO,IL)
RC=1.
DO 100 IT=1,NTPS(IIO)
IP=IFROM(IIO,I)
IF(IP.EQ.0)THEN
100 CONTINUE
120
TC(I)=RC
GOTO 126
END IF
IF(KF'EED(IIO,IP,2).EQ.0)THEN
   RC=RC+1
   I=IP
   GOTO 100
END IF
TC(I)=RC
DO 75 IM=1,IMER(IIO)
75 IF(IP.EQ.MERGE(IIO,IM,1))GOTO 77
77 LEFT(IM)=LEFT(IM)-1
   IF(LEFT(IM).GT.0)GOTO 120
NSPLIT=MERGE(IIO,IM,2)
DO 90 I=1,NSPLIT
   N=KF'EED(IIO,IP,11)
90 RN(I)=TC(N)
   CALL FRACT(NSPLIT,RN,F)
   DO 100 1=1,NSPLIT
          N=KF'EED(IIO,IP,11)
   100 CONTINUE
120 CONTINUE
NSTART=KST(IIO)
   DO 130 I=1,NSTART
          N=KST(IIO,I)
   130 RN(I)=TC(N)
   CALL FRACT(NSTART,RN,F)
   DO 132 I=1,NSTART
          N=KST(IIO,I)
   132 RN(I)=F(I)
   CONTINUE
C
C**** ASSIGN REFRIGERANT DISTRIBUTION, FLOW(IIO,I)
ISTORE=0
   DO 136 I=1,IMER(IIO)
          ITUBE(I)=0
   136 IS=1,NSTART
   I=KST(IIO,IS)
   IL=1
   DO 150 IO=1,NOUT(IIO)
      IT=1,NTPS(IIO)
      IN1=KF'EED(IIO,I,IL)
      IF(IN1.EQ.-1)THEN
         IF(ISTORE.GT.0)THEN
            I=ITUBE(ISTORE)
            IL=ISEE(ISTORE)
            ISEE=ISTORE-1
            GOTO 150
         END IF
         GOTO 100
      END IF
      IF(IL.GT.1)GOTO 137
      DO 135 I=2,3
         IN2=KF'EED(IIO,I,I1)
         IF(IN2.EQ.0)GOTO 137
         ITUBE(ISTORE)=I
      135 ISEE(ISTORE)=I
      137 ITUBE(ISTORE)=I
      137 IN2=KF'EED(IIO,1,2)
      IF(IN2.GT.0)THEN
         FLOW(IIO,IN1)=FLOW(IIO,IN1)*FLOW(IIO,1)
      ELSE
         FLOW(IIO,IN1)=FLOW(IIO,IN1)
      END IF
      I=IN1
      IL=1
   145 CONTINUE
150 CONTINUE
160 CONTINUE
   DO 170 I=1,NTPS(IIO)
170  FLOW(I1O,I)=FLOW(I1O,I)*SFLOW(I1O)
200  CONTINUE
     RETURN
     END
FUNCTION VPSV(P,TG)

C**** PURPOSE:
C TO COMPUTE REFRIGERANT VAPOR SPECIFIC VOLUME
C**** JANUARY 11, 1989

C**** INPUT DATA:
C P - REFRIG. VAPOR PRESSURE (PSIA)
C TG - REFRIG. VAPOR TEMPERATURE (F)
C REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.

C**** OUTPUT DATA:
C VPSV - SPECIFIC VOLUME OF REFRIG. VAPOR (FT**3/LBM)

C**** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY VPSV:
C SAT

DOUBLE PRECISION F,FV,V, VN,Z, EMAV, T, AKTTC, ES0, ES1, ES2, ES3,
  ES4, ES5, ES6, ES7, ES32, ES43, ES54, ES55, ES56, ES57, ES32, ES43,
  ES54, ES55, ES56, ES57, ES32, ES33, ES34, ES35, ES36, ES37,
  ES44, ES45, ES46, ES47, ES58, ES65, ES66, ES67, ES68, ES69,
  VN, A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J

COMMON/PRINT/IPR
COMMON/STATE/A1, B1, C1, A2, B2, C2, A3, B3, C3, A4, B4, C4, A5, B5, C5,
  A6, B6, C6, ALPHA, AK
COMMON/CONST/TC, PC, VC, TFR, AJ, EEP
SAVE PLAST, TGLAST, VLAST
DATA PLAST, TGLAST /-1., -111./

T=TG+TFR
IF(T.LT.0.)GOTO999
IF(P.LE.0.)GOTO999
IF(ABS(P-PLAST).GT.1.0E-4)GOTO 5
IF(ABS(TG-TGLAST).GT.1.0E-4)GOTO 5
VPSV=VLAST
RETURN

5 TSAT=SATT(P)
IF(TG.LT.(TSAT-0.05))GOTO999
AKTTC=AKT/TC
ES0=DEXP(-AKTTC)
ES1=P
ES2=A1*T
ES3=A1+B2+T+C2*ES0
ES4=A3+B3+T+C3*ES0
ES5=A4+B4+T+C4*ES0
ES6=A5+B5+T+C5*ES0
ES7=A6+B6+T+C6*ES0
ES32=2.0*ES3
ES33=3.0*ES4
ES34=4.0*ES5
ES35=5.0*ES6
VN=A1*T/P
DO 10 ITR=1,30

V=VN
V2=V*V
V3=V2*V
V4=V3*V
V5=V4*V
V6=V5*V
Z=ALPHA*(V+V1)
IF(Z.GT.150.D0)Z=150.D0
EMAV=DEXP(-Z)
FV=ES2*V2+ES3*V3+ES43*V4+ES54*V5+ES65*V6+ES7*EMAV
VN=V*F/FV
IF(DABS((VN-V)/V).LE.1.0D-06)GOTO20
CONTINUE
VPSV=VNH1
WRITE(IPR,50)
10 FORMAT(SX,'VP5V DOES NOT CONVERGE')
WRITE(IPR,100)
50 FORMAT(SX, 'VP5V DOES NOT CONVERGE')
RETURN
999 VPSV=0.
WRITE(IPR,100)TG, TSAT, P
100 FORMAT(SX, 'ERROR IN CALLING -VP5V-', P)
 & '/'' TG, TSAT, P=' ', 3(1PE11.3))
RETURN
20 VPSV=VN+81
VLAST=VPSV
PLAST=P
TGLAST=TC
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE VPVHS(I,TSG,P,V,H,S,HF)

C***** PURPOSE:
C TO COMPUTE REFRIGERANT PARAMETERS
C AT AND ABOVE SATURATION
C***** JANUARY 11, 1989
C
C***** INPUT DATA:
C I = 1 FOR SATURATED REFRIGERANT (-)
C P - REFRIG. PRESSURE (REQUIRED FOR I=2 ONLY) (PSIA)
C TSG - REFRIG. TEMPERATURE (F)
C REFRIG. CONSTANTS - REFER TO THE MAIN PROGRAM.
C
C***** OUTPUT DATA:
C H - ENTHALPY OF VAPOR (BTU/LBM)
C HF - ENTHALPY OF SAT. LIQUID (FOR I=1 ONLY) (BTU/LBM)
C P - SATURATION PRESSURE (FOR I=1 ONLY) (PSIA)
C S - ENTROPY OF VAPOR (BTU/LBM-F)
C V - SPECIFIC VOLUME OF VAPOR (FT**3/LBM)
C
C***** SUBPROGRAMS CALLED BY VPVHS:
C SATP,SATT,SATVF,VPSV
C
C DOUBLE PRECISION Z,T,C,T2,T3,T4,VR,VR2,VR3,VR4,AKE,AKEXP,
1 H1,H2,H3,H4,HFGD,HD,CD,EMAV,S1,S2,S3,S4,HO
COMMON/PRINT/IFR
COMMON/TGPG/AG,BG,CG,EG,FG,AA,BB
COMMON/STATE/A1,B1,C1,A2,B2,C2,A3,B3,C3,A4,B4,C4,A5,B5,C5,
& A6,B6,C6,ALPHA,AK
COMMON/SPHTV/AC,BC,CC,DC,EC,FC,X,Y
COMMON/CONST/TC,PC,VC,TFR,AJ,EEP
SAVE TSGLST,PLAST,VLAST,HLAST,SLAST,HFLAST
DATA TSGLST,PLAST/2.-111./
T-TSG+TFR
IF(T.LE.0.D0)GOTO 999
IF(I.EQ.2)THEN
 IF(P.LT.0.)GOTO 999
 END IF
IF(ABS(TSG-TSGLST).GT. 1.0E-4)GOTO 5
IF(I.EQ.2)THEN
 IF(ABS(P-PLAST) .GT. 1.0E-4)GOTO 5
 END IF
IF(I.EQ.1)P-PLAST
V-VLAST
H-HLAST
S-SLAST
HF-HFLAST
RETURN
5 IF(I.EQ.1)GOTO10
TSAT-SATT(P)
IF(TSG.LT.TSAT)GOTO 999
V-VPSV(P,TSG)
GOTO20
10 P=SATP(TSG)
V=VPSV(P,TSG)
C=DLOG(DABS(FG-T))/T
VF=SATVF(TSG)
HFGD=(V-VF)*P*AJ*(-BG/T+CG+DG+T-EG*(1.+FG+C))
20 T2=T+T2
T3=T+T3
VR=W,B1
VR2=2.D0*VR+VR
VR3=3.D0*VR+VR2/2.D0
VR4=4.D0*VR+VR3/3.D0
AKE=AKE*T/TC
AKEXP =EXP(-AKE)
Z=ALPHA*V
IF(Z.GT.150.D0) Z=150.D0
EMAV=EXP(-Z)
H1 = AC·T + BC·(T^2/2) + CC·(T^3/3) + DC·(T^4/4) - FC/T
H2 = AJ·P·V
H4 = C2/VR + C3/VR2 + C4/VR3 + C5/VR4
S1 = AC·DLOG(T) + BC·T + CC·T^2/2 + DC·T^3/3 - FC/(2·T^2)
S2 = AJ·A1·DLOG(VR)
S4 = H4

IF(ABS(A6) .LE. 1.E-20) GOTO 30
H0 = EMAV
IF(ABS(C1) .GT. 1.E-20) H0 = H0 - C1·DLOG(1.00 + EMAV/C1)
H0 = H0/ALPHA
H3 = H3·A6·H0
H4 = H4 - C6·H0
S3 = S3 + B6·H0
S4 = S4 - C6·H0

HD = H1 + H2 + AJ·H3 + AJ·AKEXP·(1. + AKE)·H4 + X
S = S1 + S2 - AJ·S3 + AJ·AKEXP·AK·TC·S4 + Y
HFG = HFGD
H = HD

IF(I .EQ. 1) HF = H - HFG
TSLST = TSG
PLAST = P
VLAST = V
HLAST = H
SLAST = S
HLAST = HF
RETURN

999 WRITE(IPR,100) TSG, P
100 FORMAT(5X,'ERROR IN CALLING -VPVHS-','2(1PE11.3))
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE WATPR(TW, TP, VA, WA, WATRO, WATK, WATM, WATHFG, WATCP)
C
C••••PURPOSE:
C TO COMPUTE WATER AND FROST PROPERTIES
C
C••••
C
C
C
C
C
C
C•••• OUTPUT DATA:
C WATRO - DENSITY OF WATER (FROST) (LBM/FT**3)
C WATK - THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF WATER (FROST) (BTU/HR.FT)
C WATM - DYNAMIC VISCOSITY OF WATER (FROST) (LBU/HR.FT)
C WATHFG - WATER HEAT OF CONDENSATION OR
C FROST HEAT OF SUBLIMATION (BTU/LBU)
C WATCP - SPECIFIC HEAT OF WATER (FROST) (BTU/LBU.F)
C
C DIMENSION ARO(5), AK(5), AM(5), AHFG(5)
C
ARO=(8.11847E83)
ARO=8.48854E88
ARO=8.18815E-82
ARO=8.12387E-85
ARO=8.49882E-89
AK=(8.27694E88)
AK=8.45215E-83
AK=8.49888E-85
AK=8.8613E-88
AK=8.41387E-11
AM=(8.79424E83)
AM=8.47589E81
AM=8.18622E-81
AM=8.18416E-84
AM=8.3769E-88
AHFG(1)=8.31514E84
AHFG(2)=8.13714E82
AHFG(3)=8.35945E-81
AHFG(4)=8.43525E-84
AHFG(5)=8.19695E-87
TWR=TW+468.
TPR=TP+468.
WATRO=0.
WATK=0.
WATM=0.
WATHFG=0.
IF(TW.LE.32.)GOTO 100
DO181=1,5
J=1
WATRO=WATRO+ARO(J).TW**J
WATK=WATK+AK(J).TW**J
WATM=WATM+AM(J).TW**J
10 WATHFG=WATHFG+AHFG(J).TW**J
WATCP=1.
GOTO 200
100 BI=11.9521+0.02422+TPR+35.5498+WA
&+9.1742E-07+VA+3.1138E-09+VA+TPR-0.03858
B2=13.1606-0.02133+TPR-0.955+WA)/(/32.918-TP)
WATRO=10.0+EXP(81.955+WA)/(TW-TP)
WATK=0.012138+3.8909E-83+WA+5.1409E-86+WA+TPR
WATM=1.525
WATHFG=1.219
WATCP=0.46
200 RETURN
END
APPENDIX C. Example of Run of the Program, EVSIM

Below is a printout from execution of EVSIM. The simulated coil is shown in Figure A1. The coil data file is presented in Table A4. The working fluid is Refrigerant 22.

************************************************************
EVSIM (VER. 1.1): SIMULATION OF AN EVAPORATOR COIL
************************************************************
March 14, 1989
COIL INFORMATION:
DTEV*** A-SHAPE COIL, 3 DEPTH ROWS, 16 TUBES PER ROW.

NUMBERS OF SLABS IN THE ASSEMBLY: 2
NUMBER OF EXPANSION DEVICES: 2
TOTAL CFM: 1120

AIR CONDITION:
AIR DRY BULB TEMPERATURE: 80.00 F
AIR RELATIVE HUMIDITY: 0.51

REFRIGERANT 22
REFRIGERANT CONDITIONS:
REFRIG. QUALITY AT INLET: 0.20
REFRIG. SAT. TEMPERATURE AT EXIT: 45.00 F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. DEPT. OF COMM.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHEET (See instructions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NISTIR 89-4133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Publication Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST 1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. AUTHOR(S)
Piotr A. Domanski

11. ABSTRACT (A 200-word or less factual summary of most significant information. If document includes a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here)
The report describes a computer model, EVSIM, of a refrigerant-to-air heat exchanger of the type used in residential air conditioning as an evaporator. The model provides performance predictions of a one-slab or two-slab evaporator for a given refrigerant enthalpy at the coil inlet, saturation temperature and superheat at the coil outlet, and at imposed one dimensional air mass flow distribution over the coil face.

The model accounts for air distribution and for complex refrigerant circuitry designs by simulating refrigerant distribution. Performance of the coil is calculated employing a tube-by-tube scheme. Performance of each tube is evaluated individually based on individual air and refrigerant mass flow rates and their respective thermodynamic states assigned for each tube. The modelling effort emphasis was on the local thermodynamic phenomena which were described by fundamental heat transfer equations and equations of state relationships among material properties.

This report includes a User's Guide and a listing written in FORTRAN 77. Due to the detailed algorithms and tube-by-tube performance evaluation scheme, mini and main frame computers are best suited for simulation studies using EVSIM. Nevertheless, the model converges on an IBM AT compatible machine within 2-6 minutes when simulating a single slab evaporator.

12. KEY WORDS (Six to twelve entries; alphabetical order; capitalize only proper names; and separate key words by semicolons)