Nanomechanical mapping of the osteochondral interface with contact resonance force microscopy and nanoindentation
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A B S T R A C T

The bone–cartilage, or osteochondral, interface resists remarkably high shear stresses and rarely fails, yet its mechanical characteristics are largely unknown. A complete understanding of this hierarchical system requires mechanical-property information at the length scales of both the interface and the connecting tissues. Here, we combined nanoindentation and atomic force microscopy (AFM) methods to investigate the multiscale mechanical properties across the osteochondral region. The nanoindentation modulus $M$ ranged from that of the subchondral bone ($M = 22.8 \pm 1.8 \text{ GPa}$) to that of hyaline articular cartilage embedded in PMMA ($M = 5.7 \pm 1.0 \text{ GPa}$) across a narrow transition region $<5 \text{ \mu m}$ wide. Contact resonance force microscopy (CR-FM), which measures the frequency and quality factor of the AFM cantilever’s vibrational resonance in contact mode, was used to determine the relative storage modulus and loss tangent of the osteochondral interface. With better spatial resolution than nanoindentation, CR-FM measurements indicated an even narrower interface width of $2.3 \pm 1.2 \text{ \mu m}$. Furthermore, CR-FM revealed a 24% increase in the viscoelastic loss tangent from the articular calcified cartilage into the PMMA-embedded hyaline articular cartilage. Quantitative backscattered electron imaging provided complementary measurement of mineral content. Our results provide insight into the multiscale functionality of the osteochondral interface that will advance understanding of disease states such as osteoarthritis and aid in the development of biomimetic interfaces.

Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of Acta Materialia Inc.

1. Introduction

Within the articular joint, forces are transmitted across the mechanically dissimilar layers of tissue that comprise the osteochondral region: rigid subchondral bone (SCB), a thin ($\sim 50 \text{ \mu m}$ to $100 \text{ \mu m}$) layer of articular calcified cartilage (ACC), and compliant hyaline articular cartilage (HAC). In vivo loading of the osteochondral region generates high stresses [1,2]. In particular, a stress concentration exists at the leading edge of mineralization, or the tidemark interface, between the mineralized ACC and the unmineralized HAC. Collagen fibers that traverse this interface perpendicularly are thought to dissipate and resist shear stresses [3]. The mineral within the ACC has long been thought to vary in mineral volume fraction to functionally grade properties from the SCB to the HAC [4]. Mineralization of the SCB and ACC often increases with age and altered loading conditions, and likely plays a key role in the development of disease states such as osteoarthritis [5–7]. However, our limited understanding of load transmission and mechanical properties across the osteochondral region restricts our ability both to understand disease progression and to engineer replacement materials [8].

Past investigations of the osteochondral region have focused primarily on bulk techniques [9,10] that test the combined mechanical response of several tissues (i.e. SCB, ACC and HAC). More recently, nanoindentation studies have probed the mechanical properties of these individual tissues [6,11–13]. However, the leading tidemark interface between ACC and HAC has been studied much less [11], primarily due to the micrometer-scale spatial resolution constraints of nanoindentation. Furthermore, to our knowledge, no studies have examined the spatial distribution of viscoelastic properties within the osteochondral region.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) methods can provide nanometer-scale mechanical property measurements on a wide array of materials [14–16]. Here, we demonstrate contact resonance force microscopy (CR-FM) [17,18], an AFM method for quantitative mapping of viscoelastic properties across the tidemark interface. The results are compared to complementary information about microscale mechanical properties obtained by nanoindentation and to mineral content obtained by quantitative backscatter electron microscopy (qBSE) imaging. Our results provide new insight into multiscale mechanical properties of the osteochondral region that...
will help elucidate its functionality in transmitting loads and anchoring HAC to SCB.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample

A femoral head from a New Zealand white rabbit approximately 6 months old was dehydrated in a series of ethanol solutions and embedded in poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA). The sample was collected under full Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee approval at the University of Colorado at Boulder. The femoral head was cut in half through the coronal plane with a low-speed saw. A small sample was removed from the anterior section and faced using an ultramicrotome. Use of an ultramicrotome has been shown to minimize the contribution of surface roughness to the measurement of modulus with nanoindentation [19]. The resulting surface region, as measured by tapping mode AFM.

2.2. Nanoindentation

Nanoindentation tests were performed with a commercial instrument (TriboIndenter, Hysitron, Minneapolis, MN). A nanoindentation test array comprising 30 indents spaced 8 indents apart was placed traversing the osteochondral region from the SCB across ACC and into HAC. Indentation tests were performed with a ramp-and-hold method, with constant loading and unloading rates of 100 µN s⁻¹. A 30 s hold at maximum load \( F_{\text{max}} = 500 \) µN was used to minimize the contribution of creep to the unloading curve [20]. Tests were run with a Berkovich indenter tip. The reduced modulus \( E' \) was measured from the slope of the unloading curve at maximum load by using a power law fit to 20–95% of the unloading curve with the Oliver–Pharr method [21]. Here, we report the indentation modulus \( M \), which eliminates the need to assume a Poisson’s ratio for the sample. \( M \) is given by

\[
E' = \left[ \frac{1}{M} + \frac{1 - \nu^2}{E_t} \right]^{-1}
\]

where \( E_t = 1140 \) GPa is the Young’s modulus and \( \nu = 0.07 \) is the Poisson’s ratio, respectively, of the diamond indenter tip. Indent sites were located in both scanning electron microscope and light microscope images for classification as falling on SCB, ACC or sites were located in both scanning electron microscope and light microscope images for classification as falling on SCB, ACC or

2.3. AFM

AFM measurements were performed with a commercial atomic force microscope (MFP-3D, Asylum Research, Santa Barbara, CA) equipped with a specialized cantilever holder containing a damped, high-frequency piezoelectric actuator. Cantilevers were glued directly onto the cantilever holder with fast-setting epoxy. All experiments used rectangular cantilevers with an average manufacturer-specified spring constant \( k_c = 13.5 \) N m⁻¹ and free resonance frequency \( f_{\text{res}} = 127 \) kHz for the first flexural eigenmode. Experimental values of \( k_c \) for each cantilever were determined with the thermal noise method [24]. CR-FM measurements utilized the second flexural eigenmode with an experimentally measured free resonance frequency \( f_{\text{res}} = 755 \) kHz.

Originally developed for quantitative elastic-property imaging of relatively stiff materials [25,26], CR-FM techniques have been recently advanced to allow mapping of viscoelastic properties on more compliant materials [27–29]. Viscoelastic CR-FM involves measuring the frequency \( f^\text{CR} \) and quality factor \( Q^\text{CR} \) of the AFM cantilever’s vibrational resonance while the tip is in contact with the sample. With the use of a point mapping procedure [29], \( f^\text{CR} \) and \( Q^\text{CR} \) are determined at each point in an image.

Detailed explanations of viscoelastic CR-FM analysis are provided elsewhere [27–29]. Briefly, the resonance of the cantilever is analyzed with a distributed-mass Euler–Bernoulli beam model. A Kelvin–Voigt element is included to model the response of the tip–sample contact. The element consists of a spring of stiffness \( k \) in parallel with a dashpot with damping \( \gamma \) located near the end of the cantilever. With this model for the cantilever dynamics, the normalized tip–sample contact stiffness \( \alpha = k/k_c \) and damping coefficient \( \beta \times \sigma \) are determined from the experimental values of \( f^\text{CR} \) and \( Q^\text{CR} \). Complete mathematical derivations of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are too lengthy to include here. Explicit equations to calculate \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are given in Ref. [29]. Application of sphere–plane Hertzian contact mechanics allows calculation of the elastic storage modulus \( E^\text{CR} \) of the unknown sample, as given by [27–29]

\[
E^\text{CR} = E_{\text{cal}}^0 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_{\text{cal}}} \right)^{1/2}
\]

This relation relies on calibration values \( E_{\text{cal}}^0 \) and \( \alpha_{\text{cal}} \) [29]. We ultimately report values for the elastic storage modulus \( M^\text{CR} \) calculated from

\[
M^\text{CR} = \left[ \frac{1}{M} + \frac{1}{M_{\text{tip}}} \right]^{-1}
\]

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental parameters</th>
<th>Nanoindentation</th>
<th>CR-FM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>HAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_{\text{max}} ) (µN)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta h ) (µm)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_c ) (nm)</td>
<td>120–230</td>
<td>200–450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a ) (µm)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta a ) (µm)</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values for the maximum load \( F_{\text{max}} \) and test spacing \( \Delta h \) used in nanoindentation and CR-FM experimental parameters. Also shown are the corresponding calculated values of contact depth \( h_c \), contact radius \( a \) at maximum depth and approximate lateral width \( \Delta a \) of the elastic zone, as explained in Section 2.
In our calculations, we assume \( M_{\text{tip}} = 165 \text{ GPa} \) for the indentation modulus of the <100> silicon tip. (The further assumption of zero damping in the silicon tip has been made.) Absolute values of \( M' \) can be obtained by comparing CR-FM measurements of an unknown sample to those of a material with known \( M' \) values [26]. This referencing approach avoids measurements of absolute quantities, such as load, tip radius, contact area and spring constant, which are difficult to perform with sufficient accuracy. For the values of \( E_{\text{cal}} \) and \( \alpha_{\text{cal}} \), we used an internal or self-calibration approach. The average value of the indentation modulus \( M \) measured by nanoindentation in HAC was used to calculate \( E_{\text{cal}} \) with Eq. (4). For \( \alpha_{\text{cal}} \), the normalized contact stiffness of the calibration material, we used the average value of \( \alpha \) for each CR-FM image in a region of HAC as far as possible from the tidemark interface and at least \( 5 \mu m \times 10 \mu m \) in size.

In addition to \( M' \), we report values for the loss tangent \( \tan \delta \) of the tidemark interface. \( \tan \delta \) gives a measurement of the sample damping and is equivalent to \( E' / E \), where \( E' \) is the loss modulus of the material. Values for \( \tan \delta \) were obtained from the CR-FM images with

\[
\tan \delta = \frac{2\pi f_0^2 \sigma}{k} - \frac{f_0^2 \sigma_{\text{free}}^2}{2f_{\text{free}}^4}
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a parameter obtained from the cantilever dynamics model. The value of \( \lambda \) depends on the flexural mode chosen [30]; for the lowest three flexural modes, \( \lambda = 1.8751, 4.6941 \) and 7.8548. Eq. (5) was obtained by substituting the equivalent CR-FM quantities into the expression for \( \tan \delta \) developed for nanoindentation [31,32]. Previous work with viscoelastic CR-FM [27–29] gave expressions for \( \tan \delta \) instead of \( \tan \delta \). However, use of Eq. (5) is appealing, because it requires no calibration data \( (E_{\text{cal}}, E'_{\text{cal}}, \text{etc.}) \). It should be noted that measurements of \( \tan \delta \) are frequency dependent. The results cited here represent a contact frequency \( f_{\text{cr}} \approx 1 \text{ MHz} \).

CR-FM point maps were acquired with the second flexural eigenmode in different areas of the sample traversing the region from ACC into HAC where the sharp drop in mineralization exists. The tapping mode was used to locate and image the region prior to CR-FM point mapping. Six pairs of contact resonance frequency and quality factor point maps were collected for a total number \( n = 27,874 \) of data pairs. CR-FM experimental quantities are given in Table 1. For all CR-FM measurements, a constant force \( F_{\text{max}} = k_{c} \cdot d \approx 400 \text{ nN} \) was applied with a triggered set-point corresponding to cantilever deflection \( d = 30 \text{ nm} \). The value of contact radius \( a \) given in Table 1 for each tissue type was calculated by assuming sphere-plane Hertzian contact with [22]

\[
d = \left( \frac{3F_{\text{max}}R}{4E'_{\text{cr}}} \right)^{1/3}
\]

The average value of \( E'_{\text{cr}} \) was obtained from CR-FM measurements, and the tip radius of curvature was assumed to be \( R = 50 \text{ nm} \). Again, the quantity \( 3a \) represents the approximate experimental spatial resolution based on Hertzian contact mechanics [22,23]. The experimental test spacing \( \Delta x \) ranged from 100 nm to 500 nm for various images, substantially larger than the spatial resolution. Methods for accurate scanning with CR-FM are under development to simultaneously reduce data acquisition periods and increase spatial resolution [29]. Finally, the contact depth \( h_{c} \) was calculated from \( a \) with [22]

\[
h_{c} = \frac{a^2}{R}
\]

2.4. qBSE imaging

qBSE provides images of local changes in mineral content that can be location-matched to measurements of mechanical properties [6,33,34]. The intensity scale in a qBSE image is proportional to the number of backscattered electrons, which is related to atomic number and, therefore, the sample composition [33,35]. After all mechanical testing was completed, the sample was coated with carbon and imaged in backscatter mode on a field-emission scanning electron microscope (Gemini LEO 1525 FEG–SEM, Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY). The sample was imaged after a 1 h warm-up period of the microscope with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV, an aperture of 60 \( \mu m \), a probe current at the sample surface of \( \sim 500 \text{ pA} \) and a working distance of 15 mm. Concurrent imaging of the sample and calibrated glass reference materials allows for quantification of the mineral volume percent, \( \chi \). The glass reference materials were imaged at the beginning and the end, and at 20 min intervals throughout the imaging session. Each image contained \( 1024 \times 768 \) pixels and ranged from 400 to 60 \( \mu m \) in size (X-dimension). The imaging time of the sample was minimized, and there was no perceptible damage visible during imaging.

Use of these reference materials has been described in detail elsewhere [36]. Briefly, “low” and “high” glass reference samples with compositions 0.25Li2O-0.30B2O3-0.45SiO and 0.15Li2O-0.10Rb2O-0.30B2O3-0.45SiO respectively, were selected to encompass the backscatter intensity of the sample. The qBSE images of the rabbit sample were rescaled such that the intensity values corresponding to those of the low reference sample were set to zero and those of the high reference sample were set to the maximum. From such calibrated images, \( \chi \) was calculated assuming that the mineralized tissue was a two-phase composite comprising a mineral phase and an organic matrix phase. The mineral component was assumed to be mineralogical hydroxyapatite, \( \text{Ca}_10[\text{PO}_4]_6[\text{OH}]_2 \), with a backscatter coefficient \( q = 0.1815 \). The organic portion was estimated as a 1:1 weight percent mixture of collagen and PMMA, with \( \eta = 0.0889 \).

It is important to recognize that the low glass calibration sample used in this study corresponds to a mineral content of \( \chi = 16\% \). Therefore, the mineralization of any sample regions with \( \chi \) below this cutoff value cannot be differentiated. Selection of the low glass calibration sample with \( \chi > 10\% \) allows for optimization of the dynamic range of atomic contrast within the mineralized portion of the tissue.

qBSE imaging was performed in the same regions of the sample where the mechanical measurements were made. Identification of the mechanical measurement sites was aided by creating deep (~2 \( \mu m \)) marker indents that were visible during qBSE imaging. Upon repeated alignment of images using the marker indent site, we estimate the error in location matching to be less than \( \pm 250 \text{ nm} \). Location-matched line traces were used to compare mechanical property maps to mineralization levels. To compare values of \( \chi \) for the SCB and ACC tissues, image-processing software (NIH ImageJ, Bethesda, MD, USA, http://www.rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) was used. The average value of \( \chi \) for each tissue was determined after image thresholding in order to ignore the contribution of pore spaces and the highly mineralized cement line. Inclusion of the cement line as either SCB or ACC tissue would drastically skew the average value of \( \chi \).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Morphology

A qBSE image of the osteochondral region is shown in Fig. 1, where darker regions correspond to areas of higher mineral content. HAC contains no minerals and thus appears white. The highly mineralized cement line (C) that separates the SCB and ACC is visible in Fig. 1, as are examples of Haversian canals (H) and arrested chondrocytes (CH). No significant difference (unpaired
The region of particular interest in this study is the leading tide-mark that demarcates the border between mineralized cartilage (ACC) and the unmineralized HAC. This region is further referred to as the tidemark interface. It can be seen in Fig. 1 that, at the tidemark interface, the mineral content decreases over a few micrometers from $\chi = 40\%$ to $\chi < 16\%$. (Recall that our qBSE standards limit the minimal detection to 16% mineral volume fraction. Regions with $\chi < 16\%$ are represented in white.) The gradient in mineralization likely contributes to the decrease in mechanical properties across the leading tidemark.

Additionally, the collagen fibers that traverse the interface [3,37] also likely play an important role in transmitting stresses [9,10]. These wavy and parallel, or crimped, collagen fibers are visible in Fig. 2, which contains an image of topography obtained in AFM tapping mode. While crimping is most often observed in tendons or ligaments, it is unclear if the collagen within unmineralized cartilage is crimped in vivo or if distortion resulted from sample dehydration and subsequent shrinkage during processing. Collagen fibers function under tension in vivo [38], suggesting that the crimping could be the result of sample preparation. Similar fibers were visible in most regions imaged prior to collection of mechanical property data. Future studies with optical methods such as polarized light microscopy or two-photon second harmonic imaging that enable visualization of directionality and prevalence of aligned collagen fibrils could help to clarify this question. Additionally, submicrometer porosity within ACC is evident in Fig. 2.

### 3.2. Nanoindentation

A nanoindentation map of indentation modulus $M$ across the osteochondral region is shown in Fig. 3a, and the corresponding qBSE image of mineral volume percent $\chi$ is given in Fig. 3b. The maps span three materials that compose the osteochondral region: SCB, ACC and HAC. A small but statistically significant (unpaired Student’s $t$-test, $p < 0.05$) difference between the average modulus of SCB and ACC was observed: $M(\text{SCB}) = 22.8 \pm 1.6 \text{ GPa for } n = 32$ points, whereas $M(\text{ACC}) = 21.3 \pm 1.8 \text{ GPa for } n = 45$. The average values of $M$ for both SCB and ACC were significantly larger (unpaired Student’s $t$-test, $p < 0.001$) than those for the PMMA-embedded HAC, with $M(\text{HAC}) = 5.7 \pm 1.0 \text{ GPa (}n = 131\text{).}$ It is important to note that the measured values of HAC are almost certainly influenced by the PMMA embedding medium ($M = 5.5 \text{ GPa, obtained by nanoindentation}$). A further discussion of this point is provided in Section 3.4. Despite the slightly larger value of values of $M$ in SCB compared to ACC, no difference in $\chi$ was observed.

ACC requires a higher mineral volume fraction relative to SCB to obtain comparable mechanical properties [6,11]. This is consistent with our slightly lower value of $M$ for ACC than SCB at the same mineral volume fraction. These property differences may result from molecular-level interactions between the mineral and collagen or from variations in crosslinking between type I collagen in bone and type II collagen in ACC. In support of this theory, others have observed a less-well-developed physical connection between mineral particles and collagen in ACC as compared to bone [39]. Alternatively, differences may be caused by exceptional anisotropy resulting from the highly aligned mineralized collagen fibers within the ACC as compared to the less anisotropic bone material [9]. Therefore, the slightly lower values of $M$ we observed for ACC compared to SCB are likely a result of differences in tissue organization as well as molecular level interactions.

As revealed by the representative line scans in Fig. 3a and b, the spatial location of the sharp decrease in $M$ from ACC to HAC corresponds to a region where $\chi$ decreases to less than 16%. The transition in modulus occurs abruptly, with no data points of intermediate values. Therefore, we conclude from the nanoindentation results that the interface is less than 5 $\mu$m wide. Increased spatial resolution is required to discriminate mechanical property variations across the interface.

In an attempt to improve the spatial resolution of the nanoindentation measurements, several nanoindentation line scans were acquired with 3 $\mu$m spacing. However, these scans showed evidence of boundary effects [40], especially in HAC, and thus were considered unreliable. As mentioned above, our nanoindentation instrument is limited to load-controlled operation, resulting in different maximum depths of indentation in different materials. Thus, the lateral spatial resolution differs from region to region. Previous finite element analysis of nanoindentation near an infinitely sharp interface showed that boundary effects can influence measured modulus values at distances up to 10 times the indentation depth for a Berkovich tip [40]. Because the maximum depth $h$ in HAC was $\sim 450 \text{ nm}$, data within 4.5 $\mu$m of either side of the interface could contain errors due to boundary effects. Thus it is not surprising that we observed boundary effects in the line scans with 3 $\mu$m spacing but not in the maps with 5 $\mu$m spacing. Although we were unable to spatially resolve the interface with maximum-load nanoindentation methods, improved spatial resolution may be
possible with the constant-depth-controlled operation available on other nanoindentation systems.

3.3. CR-FM

Higher spatial resolution mechanical mapping across the tidemark interface was achieved with viscoelastic CR-FM methods. Fig. 4 shows CR-FM results for $M'$ and $\tan \delta$ for the region indicated in Fig. 1. The corresponding AFM height image in Fig. 4a shows that the topography in this region is relatively smooth. Thus we expect minimal topographic effects on the measured values of $M'$ and $\tan \delta$. The line profile (average of four line scans) on the right in Fig. 4b displays a decrease in storage modulus from $M'(ACC) = 12.1 \pm 0.9$ GPa to $M'(HAC) = 5.7 \pm 0.2$ GPa. By comparing the line scan of $M'$ in Fig. 4b to the corresponding one for $\chi$ obtained by qBSE in Fig. 4d, it can be seen that the transition region in $M'$ occurs over the same physical location where the mineral content decreases to less than 16%.

Average storage modulus values $M'(ACC) = 11.1 \pm 2.4$ GPa $(n = 6676)$, and $M'(HAC) = 6.1 \pm 1.1$ GPa $(n = 7309)$ were obtained by evaluation of all CR-FM data at least 5 μm away from the tidemark interface. Recall that the values of $M'$ were calibrated from a smaller region in HAC, away from the interface, from the average value of $M$(HAC) obtained by nanoindentation ($M_{cal} = 5.7$ GPa). Within the ACC, there was a difference of almost a factor of two between the AFM $M'$ of 11.1 ± 2.4 GPa and the nanoindentation $M'(ACC)$ of 21.3 ± 1.8 GPa. We performed several independent tests (with large spherical-tip cantilevers, multiple calibration samples, etc.) to verify the observed discrepancy between the measurement methods. The results indicated that it was not an experimental artifact or calibration error.

Transition regions with a steep gradient in storage modulus were observed in every CR-FM map. The six CR-FM maps were taken across a ∼1 cm region of the femoral head. To determine an average interface width, a total of 26 lateral profiles were extracted from the six CR-FM maps of $M'$. In order to reduce the scatter, each profile represents the average of four adjacent rows of data. For each profile, the interphase upper and lower boundaries were defined as the average value of $M'(ACC)$ minus one standard deviation and the average value of $M'(HAC)$ plus one standard deviation, respectively. The interface width $w$ was defined as the distance between these boundaries. All the data points falling within the boundaries as defined above for $M'$ are indicated by filled symbols in Fig. 4. The average interface width for all profiles combined was $w = 2.3 \pm 1.2$ μm. Because the interface width was <5 μm, it is consistent with our nanoindentation results.

The depths typically probed with CR-FM (see Table 1) imply that boundary effects should influence data only within ~40 nm of the interface. Therefore, our CR-FM data resolves the interface to the experimental spacing (100–500 nm, depending on the image) without a substantial contribution of boundary effects. However, other effects, such as averaging four rows together, might broaden the measured interface width. Further, the interface contains curvature in three dimensions, indicating that subsurface features may also skew the location or width of the interface. It should be noted that the absolute values of the osteochondral mechanical properties, as well as the exact interface width, are likely to vary with the specific sample’s anatomical location and loading history.

All CR-FM maps of $\tan \delta$ indicated an increase in damping when moving from ACC into HAC. For example, in the profile in Fig. 4c, $\tan \delta(ACC) = 0.071 \pm 0.01$ and $\tan \delta(HAC) = 0.110 \pm 0.02$. The average values obtained for all images combined were $\tan \delta(ACC) = 0.066 \pm 0.04$ for $n = 6676$ and $\tan \delta(HAC) = 0.087 \pm 0.03$ for $n = 7309$. However, in contrast to the results for $M'$, $\tan \delta$ displayed no sharp transition at the interface. Instead, a more gradual increase in $\tan \delta$ began at the edge of the interface and proceeded toward the articular surface. The gradient in damping properties is not only related to the mineral content but is also likely to depend on the degree of collagen organization. Collagen fibers traverse the tidemark interface from within the ACC, where they are tightly bound by the minerals across to HAC with no mineral to stiffen the fibers. Further from the interface, the fibers are likely to be less constrained, which may promote damping and explain the increase in $\tan \delta$ away from the interface.

CR-FM measurements of $\tan \delta$ are independent, as they rely on no referencing or calibration technique (unlike those for $M'$). Comparison of CR-FM $\tan \delta$ measurements to those made by dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) are complicated by the sample preparation (PMMA embedding) and the high test frequencies of CR-FM (~1 MHz) compared to DMA (~1 Hz). Despite these limitations, DMA measurements on wet HAC ($\tan \delta = 0.086 \pm 0.01$) [41] and wet bone ($\tan \delta = 0.040 \pm 0.07$) [42] compared well with our results.
3.4. Sample considerations

In interpreting our results, it is important to consider the possible effects of sample preparation. Previous work indicates that the indentation modulus of dehydrated mineralized tissues is typically ~30% less than wet tissue [43]. However, mechanical property variations between tissue types [43], as well as mineral micro- and nanostructure [44], are preserved with ethanol dehydration. While PMMA ($M = 5.5$ GPa) embedding has little effect on the mechanical properties of the mineralized tissue (SCB, ACC) [45], it contributes substantially to properties measured in the HAC ($M = 5.7$ GPa). Although our absolute values of $M'$ and tan $\delta$ almost certainly do not represent those of HAC in vivo, variations within the tissue as compared to baseline PMMA values directly reflect the underlying microstructural organization and relative differences in mineral composition. For example, PMMA is expected to have a constant value of damping (tan $\delta$); thus, variations in tan $\delta$ in HAC are most likely representative of changes in the microstructure. Further, in this study we are primarily concerned with the transition in properties across the interface, not the absolute values. The measurement of interface width is likely unaffected by PMMA embedding. Future development of new analytical methods to account for fluid–cantilever interactions in CR-FM may allow for testing in fluid. Wet testing without PMMA embedding would allow for measurements of material properties closer to in vivo conditions.

Additionally, this study utilized only a single sample, with data taken from a relatively small region of approximately 1 cm x 1 cm in size that was representative of the tissue across the joint surface. Interface width likely depends on such variables as loading conditions, tissue type, age of the tissue, exercise level, anatomical locations and disease state. For example, nanoindentation testing within the human patella measured an interface $\sim$30 $\mu$m in width [11], more than 10 times that observed here. However, the use of only one small region in this study allowed us to obtain extensive high-resolution information with several techniques.

3.5. Multiscale considerations

In this study, modulus measurements probed ACC at different length scales. The fundamental difference in testing length scales may explain the nearly factor-of-two difference in the measured
modulus values for CR-FM ($M_{\text{ACC}} = 11.1 \pm 2.4$ GPa) and nanoindentation ($M_{\text{ACC}} = 21.3 \pm 1.8$ GPa). Assuming Hertzian contact, the probed volume of material is approximately $3a$ in depth and diameter. Under the experimental conditions used here, the values of $3a$ for nanoindentation and CR-FM are ~1.9 μm and ~33 nm, respectively (see Table 1). Thus, AFM-based techniques such as CR-FM probe the mechanical response of the tissues at nanometer length scales similar to that of multiple mineral platelets (roughly 1 nm × 10 nm × 15 nm) and smaller than that of the collagen fibers (diameter approximately 100–200 nm) [46]. In contrast, nanoindentation probes lateral lengths that are 10 times larger than the diameter of a collagen fiber. Therefore, nanoindentation mechanically probes the network of mineralized collagen fibers while CR-FM probes individual mineralized collagen fibers. Similar behavior was observed in wet cartilage [47], where AFM force-distance measurements with a tip ~20 nm in radius yielded stiffness values one-sixth of that determined with a 10 μm tip.

CR-FM probes a contact area with a radius of ~33 nm, which encompasses the width of multiple mineral platelets. The measured interface width of $w = 2.3 \pm 1.2$ μm likely represents a region where the number of mineral platelets decreases toward the edge of mineralization. The edge of the interface might represent the location of the last few mineral platelets which have diffused the furthest into the HAC. This idea is further collaborated by qBSE data, which demonstrated a gradation in mineral content over the interface region. The interface width of $w = 2.3 \pm 1.2$ μm is substantially narrower than previously thought [3,4,10,11]. For example, many tissue engineers have considered the entire width (~100 μm) of ACC to be graded in mineralization and mechanical properties and seek to mimic this structure in engineered osteochondral constructs [48,49]. Our multiscale results provide a new paradigm for the role of mineralization in the functionality of the bone–cartilage interface.

4. Conclusions

In this study we utilized two methods, CR-FM and nanoindentation, to measure multiscale mechanical properties across the osteochondral interface region. Particular focus was placed on the tidemark interface, where high stress concentrations exist during in vivo loading conditions. In addition, AFM topography imaging and qBSE imaging were performed to provide complementary data on structural and mineralization properties. With nanoindentation, we observed an abrupt drop in storage modulus from SCB/C to ACC into HAC. CR-FM enabled quantitative mapping with sufficient spatial resolution to examine the spatial distribution of mechanical properties within the tidemark interface. With viscoelastic CR-FM mapping, we obtained an interface width $w = 2.3 \pm 1.2$ μm for this particular sample. The modulus gradient across the tidemark interface corresponded with a decreasing trend in mineral content measured by qBSE. CR-FM measured a gradual increase in loss tangent $\delta$ across the tidemark interface moving into HAC.

The functionality of the osteochondral interface depends on both the mineral and organic phases. We observed similar modulus values within the SCB and ACC, with a gradation of mineral at the leading tidemark region that corresponded to the transition in mechanical properties from ACC to HAC. In addition, the collagen fibers, which have been shown to perpendicularly traverse the tidemark interface in articular joint tissues, anchor the hyaline cartilage to the underlying ACC and may facilitate load transmission into the supportive mineralized region. The mechanical interface between these two tissues is sharp in the sample examined here. In unpublished results, we have observed a similar abrupt interface between hyaline and mineralized cartilage at the osteochondral interface regions in similarly prepared articular and cartilaginous joint tissues collected from human, equine, ovine and small animal models.

Overall, our multiscale mechanical measurements provide insight into the functionality of the osteochondral region as a robust interface that functions despite being made of highly dissimilar materials. We have demonstrated that the transition between mineralized and unmineralized tissues exists at very small length scales, and that the contrast at this interface is sharp. This work demonstrates the need for further analysis of this fascinating region to determine the role played by collagen fibrils and how osmotic pressure and hydrated macromolecules (e.g. glycosaminoglycans) within the hyaline cartilage serve to facilitate function and prevent osteochondral interface failure. This work also highlights the need for an improved understanding of the precise relationship between mineralization patterns within calcified cartilage and extrinsic factors such as loading conditions, tissue type, age of the tissue, exercise level, anatomical locations and disease state. An improved understanding of this complex anatomical region will enable improved tissue engineering strategies for osteochondral defect repair and treatment of joint disease.
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Appendix A. Figures with essential colour discrimination

Certain figures in this article, particularly Figs. 1, 3, and 4, are difficult to interpret in black and white. The full colour images can be found in the on-line version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2012.07.042.
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